

References

Dustmann, C., J. Ludsteck and U. Schonberg (2007), "Revisiting the German Wage Structure", IZA Discussion Paper 2685.

Goldman, S. and J. Greeno, (1998) "Thinking Practices: Images of Thinking and Learning in Education", in Thinking Practices in J. Greeno and S. Goldman (eds.) *Mathematics and Science Learning*, Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Goos M. and A. Manning (2007) "Lousy and Lovely Jobs: The Rising Polarization of Work in Britain", *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, MIT Press, 89 (1), 118-133.

Gutman, A. (1987), Democratic Education, Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Hanushek, E., D. T. Jamison, E. A. Jamison and L. Woessmann (2008), "Education and Economic Growth", Education Next, 8 (2) Spring, pp.62-70.

Hanushek, E., J. Kain, J. Hartman and S. Rifkin (2003), "Does Peer Ability Affect Student Performance?" *Journal of Applied Economics*, 18 (5), pp. 527-44.

Inkeles, A. (1966), "The Socialization of Competence", Harvard Education Review, 36 (3), pp. 265-83.

Levin, H. M. (2007) "On the Relationship Between Poverty and Curriculum," North Carolina Law Review, 85 (5), pp. 1381-1418.

Levy, F. and R.J. Murnane (2007), "How computerised work and globalisation shape human skill demands", in M. M. Suárez-Orozco (Ed.) Learning in the Global Era: International Perspectives on Globalization and Education, University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.

Minne, B., M. Rensman, B. Vroomen, and D. Webbink (2007), Excellence for Productivity? CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis, The Hague.

OECD (2009a), Take the Test: Sample Questions from OECD's PISA Assessments, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2009b), PISA 2006 Technical Report, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2009c), Gender Matters, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2009d), PISA Data Analysis Manual, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2008), The Global Competition for Talent: Mobility of the Highly Skilled, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2007), PISA 2006: Science Competencies For Tomorrow's World, OECD, Paris.

 $\textbf{OECD} \ (2006a) \ \textit{Assessing Scientific, Reading and Mathematical Literacy: A Framework for PISA 2006, OECD, Paris.}$

OECD (2006b), Where immigrant students succeed – A comparative review of performance and engagement in PISA 2003, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2004), Problem Solving for Tomorrow's World – First Measures of Cross-curricular Competencies from PISA 2003, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2002), Reading for Change - Performance and Engagement across Countries: Results from PISA 2000, OECD, Paris.

OECD (2001), Knowledge and Skills for Life - First Results from PISA 2000, OECD, Paris.

Rutherford, F. J. and A. Ahlgren (1990), Science for All Americans, American Association for Advancement of Science, Washington, D.C.

Schleicher, A. (2006), "Gender Differences in Student Engagement with Mathematics", Education Policy Analysis 2006, 139-163, OECD, Paris.

Teichler U. and **B. M. Kehm** (1995) "Towards a New Understanding of the Relationships between Higher Education and Employment", *European Journal of Education*, 30 (2), pp. 115-132.

Vandenberghe, V. (2002), "Evaluating the Magnitude and the Stakes of Peer Effects Analyzing Science and Math Performance Across OECD", Applied Economics, 34 (10), pp. 1283-90.

Willms, D. J. (2003), Student Engagement At School, a Sense Of Belonging and Participation: Results from PISA 2000, OECD, Paris.

Wolfe, B. and S. Zuvekas, (1997), "Nonmarket Outcomes of Schooling," International Journal of Educational Research, 27 (6), 491–502.

Zimmer, R. and Toma, E. (2000), "Peer Effects in Public and Private Schools Across Countries," Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 19 (1), pp. 75-92.



Table of contents

FOREWORD	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	11
READER'S GUIDE	15
CHAPTER 1 EXCELLENCE IN SCIENCE PERFORMANCE	17
Introduction	18
The OECD Programme for International Student Assessment	22
Main features of PISA	22
■ 2006 PISA assessment	23
Definition of top performers in science	25
Examples of tasks that top performers in science can typically do	27
CHAPTER 2 STUDENTS WHO EXCEL	35
Who are top performing students in science?	36
• Are top performers in science also top performers in mathematics and reading?	
• Are males and females equally represented among top performers?	
• How well represented are students with an immigrant background among the top performers?	
Students' socio-economic background	
Which schools do top performers in science attend?	
• Are top performers in science in schools that only serve other top performers in science?	
Differences in socio-economic background across schools	
Do top performers mainly attend schools that are privately managed?	
 Do top performers mainly attend schools that select students based on their academic record? 	
Implications for educational policy and practice	52
CHAPTER 3 EXPERIENCES, ATTITUDES AND MOTIVATIONS FOR EXCELLENCE	53
How do top performers experience the teaching and learning of science?	54
Do top performers spend more time in school learning science?	54
■ Do top performers spend more time in science lessons outside of school?	
How do top performers describe their science lessons?	
Do top performers pursue science-related activities?	58
Are top performers engaged and confident science learners?	
Which science topics are top performers interested in?	
Do top performers enjoy learning science?	
How important is it for top performers to do well in science	
• Are top performers confident learners?	64

TABLE OF CONTENTS



Are top performers interested in continuing with science?	
Do top performers perceive science to be of value?	66
Do top performers intend to pursue science?	67
Do top performers feel prepared for science-related careers?	68
When top performers are relatively unmotivated, what are they like?	70
Implications for educational policy and practice	74
REFERENCES	77
APPENDIX A DATA TABLES	79
APPENDIX B STANDARD ERRORS, SIGNIFICANCE TESTS AND SUBGROUP COMPARISONS	163



LIST OF BOXES


Box 1.1	Defining and comparing top performers in PISA	26
Box 2.1	Comparing top performers with other students using PISA indices	42
LIST OF FIG	GURES	
Figure 1.1	Top performers in science, reading and mathematics	19
Figure 1.2	The global talent pool: a perspective from PISA	21
Figure 1.3	Science top performers in PISA and countries' research intensity	22
Figure 1.4	A map of PISA countries and economies	24
Figure 1.5	Acid Rain	28
Figure 1.6	Greenhouse	30
Figure 2.1	Overlapping of top performers in science, reading and mathematics on average in the OECD	36
Figure 2.2	Overlapping of top performers by gender	38
Figure 2.3	Percentage difference of top performers by immigrant status	40
Figure 2.4	Percentage difference of top performers by language spoken at home	41
Figure 2.5a	Difference in socio-economic background between top performers and strong performers	42
Figure 2.5b	Percentage of top performers with socio-economic background (ESCS) "below" or "equal to or above" the OECD average of ESCS	43
Figure 2.6	Percentage of students in schools with no top performers	45
Figure 2.7	Relationship between socio-economic and performance differences between schools with top and strong performers	47
Figure 2.8	Top performers in public and private schools	49
Figure 2.9	Top performers, according to schools' use of selecting students by their academic record	
Figure 3.1a	Regular science lessons in school, by performance group	54
Figure 3.1b	Out-of-school science lessons, by performance group	55
Figure 3.2	Top and strong performers' perception of the science teaching strategy focus on application	57
Figure 3.3	Student science-related activities, by performance group	59
Figure 3.4	Enjoyment of science, by performance group	62
Figure 3.5	Self-efficacy in science, by performance group	64
Figure 3.6	Future-oriented motivation to learn science, by performance group	68
Figure 3.7a	Proportion of relatively unmotivated top performers, by country	70
Figure 3.7b	Some characteristics of relatively unmotivated top performers, by country	71
LIST OF TA	BLES	
Table 3.1	Interest in different science topics and enjoyment of science	61
Table 3.2	Instrumental motivation to learn science and the importance of doing well in science	63
Table 3.3	Self-concept in science	65
Table 3.4	General and personal value of science	66
Table 3.5	Motivation to use science in the future	67
Table 3.6	Science-related careers: school preparation and student information	69



Table A2.1a Overlapping of top performers in science, reading and mathematics	80
Table A2.2 Percentage of students by performance group in science, reading and mathematics, by gender	81
Table A2.2 Percentage of students by performance group in science, reading and mathematics, by gender	82
Table A2.4 Percentage of students by performance group, according to the language spoken at home	
Table A2.5a Students' socio-economic background, by performance group	87
Table A2.5b Percentage of students with the PISA index of economic, social and cultural status (ESCS) lower than the national average ESCS, by performance group	89
the national average ESCS, by performance group	91
the OECD average ESCS, by performance group	92
Table A2.6a Percentage of students in schools with no top performers	93
	94
Table A2.6b School average performance in science, by performance group	95
Table A2.7 Average socio-economic background of school, by performance group	96
Table A2.8a Percentage of students by performance group, by school type	97
Table A2.8b Students' socio-economic background in public and private schools	100
Table A2.9 Percentage of students by performance group, by schools' use of selecting students by their academic record	101
Table A2.1a. Degular science lessage in school, by performance group	103
Table A3.1a Regular science lessons in school, by performance group	
Table A3.2a Science teaching strategy: focus on applications	
Table A3.2b Science teaching strategy: hords on applications	
Table A3.2c Science teaching strategy: interaction	
Table A3.2d Science teaching strategy: student investigations	
Table A3.3a Students' science-related activities (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.3b Students' science-related activities (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.3c Parents' report of students' science activities at age 10	
Table A3.4a General interest in science (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.4b General interest in science (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.5a Enjoyment of science (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.5b Enjoyment of science (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.6a Instrumental motivation to learn science (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.6b Instrumental motivation to learn science (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.7 Importance of doing well in science, mathematics and reading, by performance group	
Table A3.8a Self-efficacy in science (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.8b Self-efficacy in science (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.9a Self-concept in science (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.9b Self-concept in science (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.10a General value of science (mean index), by performance group	
Table A3.10b General value of science (underlying percentages), by performance group	
Table A3.11a Personal value of science (mean index), by performance group	



Table A3.11b Personal value of science (underlying percentages), by per	formance group144
Table A3.12a Future-oriented motivation to learn science (mean index),	by performance group147
Table A3.12b Future-oriented motivation to learn science (mean index) by	by performance group, by gender148
Table A3.12c Future-oriented motivation to learn science (underlying pe	rcentages), by performance group151
Table A3.13a School preparation of science-related careers (mean index), by performance group153
Table A3.13b Future-oriented motivation to learn science (underlying pe	rcentages), by performance group154
Table A3.14a Student information on science-related careers (mean inde	ex), by performance group156
Table A3.14b Student information on science-related careers (underlying pe	rcentages), by performance group157
Table A3.15 Proportion of relatively unmotivated top performers and th	eir characteristics, by country159



From:

Top of the ClassHigh Performers in Science in PISA 2006

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264060777-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2009), "References", in *Top of the Class: High Performers in Science in PISA 2006*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264060777-7-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

