Zambia

A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

Zambia has 23 tax agreements in force, as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire. One of those agreements, the agreement with Switzerland, complies with the minimum standard.

Zambia has not signed the MLI.

Zambia is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT.

B. Implementation issues

As Zambia has not signed the MLI or implemented anti-treaty-shopping measures in its agreements, the Secretariat will offer its support to design a plan for the implementation of the minimum standard to strengthen its network of agreements.

Summary of the jurisdiction response - Zambia

| | Treaty partners | Compliance with the standard | If compliant, the alternative implemented | Signature of a complying instrument | The alternative implemented through the complying instrument (if not the MLI) | Comments |
|----|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1 | Botswana | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 2 | Canada | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 3 | China (People's Republic of) | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 4 | Denmark | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 5 | Finland | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 6 | France | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 7 | Germany | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 8 | India | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 9 | Ireland | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 10 | Italy | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 11 | Japan | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 12 | Kenya | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 13 | Mauritius | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 14 | Netherlands | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 15 | Norway | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 16 | Romania | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 17 | Seychelles | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 18 | South Africa | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 19 | Sweden | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 20 | Switzerland | Yes | PPT alone | No | N/A | |
| 21 | Tanzania* | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 22 | Uganda* | No | N/A | No | N/A | |
| 23 | United Kingdom | No | N/A | No | N/A | |

OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project

Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Third Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

INCLUSIVE FRAMEWORK ON BEPS: ACTION 6

The BEPS Action 6 minimum standard on preventing the granting of treaty benefits in inappropriate circumstances, is one of the four BEPS minimum standards that all members of the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on BEPS (Inclusive Framework) have committed to implement. This report reflects the outcome of the third peer review of the implementation of the Action 6 minimum standard on treaty shopping as approved by the Inclusive Framework. It includes the aggregate results of the review and data on tax treaties concluded by each of the 137 members of the Inclusive Framework on 30 June 2020 and it contains the jurisdictional section for each member. The data compiled for this peer review demonstrate that the MLI has been the tool used by the vast majority of jurisdictions that have begun to implement the minimum standard and that the MLI has started to impact tax treaties of jurisdictions that have ratified it.



PRINT ISBN 978-92-64-70701-6 PDF ISBN 978-92-64-95098-6





From:

Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Third Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/d6cecbb8-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2021), "Zambia", in *Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Third Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping : Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/7a033ec3-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

