# **Dominican Republic**

The Dominican Republic has met all aspects of the terms of reference (OECD, 2021<sub>[1]</sub>) (ToR) for the calendar year 2022 (year in review), and no recommendations are made.

The Dominican Republic can legally issue five types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework.

In practice, the Dominican Republic issued rulings within the scope of the transparency framework as follows:

| Type of ruling   | Number of rulings |
|--|-------------------|
| Future rulings in the period 1 March 2019 – 31 December 2019 | 23                |
| Future rulings in the calendar year 2020                     | 57                |
| Future rulings in the calendar year 2021                     | 83                |
| Future rulings in the year in review                         | 17                |

Peer input was received from one jurisdiction in respect of the exchanges of information on rulings received from the Dominican Republic. The input was positive, noting that information was complete, in a correct format and received in a timely manner.

## Information gathering process (ToR I.A)

369. The Dominican Republic can legally issue the following five types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework: (i) preferential regimes;<sup>1</sup> (ii) cross-border unilateral APAs and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles; (iii) rulings providing for unilateral downward adjustments; (iv) permanent establishment rulings; and (v) related party conduit rulings.

370. For the Dominican Republic, past rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued prior to 1 March 2019. However, there is no obligation for the Dominican Republic to conduct spontaneous exchange information on past rulings. Future rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued on or after 1 March 2019.

371. In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that the Dominican Republic's undertakings to identify past and future rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. In addition, it was determined that the Dominican Republic's review and supervision mechanism was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. The Dominican Republic's implementation remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

372. The Dominican Republic has met all of the ToR for the information gathering process and no recommendations are made.

## Exchange of information (ToR II.B)

373. The Dominican Republic has the necessary domestic legal basis to exchange information spontaneously. The Dominican Republic notes that there are no legal or practical impediments that prevent the spontaneous exchange of information on rulings as contemplated in the Action 5 minimum standard.

374. The Dominican Republic has international agreements permitting spontaneous exchange of information, including: (i) the *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011<sub>[2]</sub>) ("the Convention") and (ii) bilateral agreements in force with three jurisdictions.<sup>2</sup>

| Future rulings within                         | Number of exchanges  | , ,  |                           |                       |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| the scope of the<br>transparency<br>framework | transmitted within three<br>months of the information<br>becoming available to the<br>competent authority or<br>immediately after legal<br>impediments have been<br>lifted | Number of exchanges<br>transmitted later than three<br>months of the information<br>on rulings becoming<br>available to the competent<br>authority | Reasons for the<br>delays | Any other<br>comments |
|   | 66   | 0  | N/A                       | N/A                   |
| Total   | 66   | 0  |                           |                       |

375. For the year in review, the timeliness of exchanges is as follows:

| Follow-up requests received<br>for exchange of the ruling | Number | Average time to provide response | Number of requests not<br>answered |
|---|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|   | 0      | N/A                              | N/A                                |

376. The Dominican Republic has the necessary legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information, a process for completing the templates in a timely way and has completed all exchanges. The Dominican Republic has met all of the ToR for the exchange of information process and no recommendations are made.

## Statistics (ToR IV.D)

| 377  | The statistics for the year in review are as follows: |
|------|---|
| 511. |   |

| Category of ruling   | Number of exchanges | Jurisdictions exchanged with   |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Ruling related to a preferential regime  | 0                   | N/A  |
| Cross-border unilateral APAs and any<br>other cross-border unilateral tax rulings<br>(such as an advance tax ruling)<br>covering transfer pricing or the<br>application of transfer pricing principles | 65                  | Barbados, Canada, Curaçao, France<br>Ireland, Luxembourg, Mexico,<br>Netherlands, Panama, Paraguay,<br>Saint Kitts and Nevis, Spain,<br>Switzerland, United States, and<br>Uruguay |
| Cross-border rulings providing for a<br>unilateral downward adjustment to the<br>taxpayer's taxable profits that is not<br>directly reflected in the taxpayer's<br>financial / commercial accounts     | 0                   | N/A  |
| Permanent establishment rulings  | 0                   | N/A  |
| Related party conduit rulings  | 0                   | N/A  |
| Total  | 65                  |  |

### Matters related to intellectual property regimes (ToR I.A.1.3)

378. The Dominican Republic does not offer an intellectual property regime for which transparency requirements under the Action 5 Report (OECD, 2015<sub>[3]</sub>) were imposed.

# Summary of recommendations on implementation of the transparency framework

| Aspect of implementation of the transparency<br>framework that should be improved | Recommendation for improvement |
|---|--------------------------------|
| -   | No recommendations are made.   |

#### References

OECD (2021), BEPS Action 5 on Harmful Tax Practices - Terms of Reference and Methodology for the Conduct of the Peer Reviews of the Action 5 Transparency Framework, OECD
Publishing, Paris, <u>https://www.oecd.org/tax/beps/beps-action-5-harmful-tax-practices-peer-review-transparency-framework.pdf</u>.

[3]

[2]

- OECD (2015), Countering Harmful Tax Practices More Effectively, Taking into Account Transparency and Substance, Action 5 - 2015 Final Report, OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264241190-en</u>.
- OECD/Council of Europe (2011), *The Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative* Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264115606-en</u>.

#### Notes

<sup>1</sup> i) Border development and ii) Logistics centres. It should be noted that FHTP has not yet concluded if these regimes are in scope. If the FHTP decides that these regimes are out of scope for the FHTP, then exchange of information on rulings with respect to these regimes would no longer be required under the Action 5 transparency framework. However, until then, the Dominican Republic has committed to do the spontaneous exchange of information on rulings related to these regimes.

<sup>2</sup> Participating jurisdictions to the Convention are available here: <u>www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm</u>. The Dominican Republic also has bilateral agreements with Canada, Spain and United States.



From: Harmful Tax Practices – 2022 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/22bbeacc-en

#### Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), "Dominican Republic", in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2022 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/ed5e1a30-en

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <u>http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions</u>.

