## Foreword

How will education reinvent itself to respond to the megatrends that are shaping the future of our societies and educate learners for their future, rather than our past?

Governments cannot innovate in the classroom, but they can help build and communicate the case for change. They can also play a key role as platform and broker, as stimulator and enabler; they can focus resources, set a facilitative policy climate, and use accountability to allow innovation rather than compliance. To that effect, education policy makers need to develop proper innovation policies, better identify key agents of change, champion them, and find more effective approaches to scaling and disseminating innovation. This includes finding better ways to recognise, reward and give visibility to success, doing whatever is possible to make it easier for innovators to take risks, to encourage the emergence of new ideas – but also to monitor change in education systems and be able to link innovations with educational performance.

While it is easy to talk about innovation in education, it is time to engage in the more difficult task to talk about how we actually know where and how innovation is happening, and whether it is effective. While most countries and most companies have innovation policies or departments, innovation remains a marginal policy agenda in most education systems. Even where there is some policy, few systems know whether their efforts have any effectiveness. Policy reform is usually preferred, as a top-down change decision, but many policy reforms change institutions and administrative rules without having impact on what really makes a difference: teaching and learning within the classroom.

*Measuring Innovation in Education* is one of the few available tools to make innovation in education visible. This year's edition builds on the first issue that was published in 2014 with a wealth of information about what has changed in education systems over the last decade. It aims to initiate debate on how to develop the capacity of our education systems to prepare learners for their future, to sharpen innovation policies in education and better target policy instruments.

In most areas, the prevalence of educational practices varies greatly across countries. There is perhaps more innovation than we might believe, but probably a lot less than what the challenges faced by many systems would require.

Among the educational practices covered by this report, major changes in informal teacher professional development should be highlighted as an encouraging trend. Innovation and improvement requires collaboration, peer learning, including international peer learning. It requires to turn schools into learning organisations. Apparently, this is gradually happening, and that's a great news, even if it happens slowly.

Some of the results should lead us to think more carefully about policy implementation. For example, some countries have invested in major curriculum reforms, but saw little innovation in the classroom.

There is also little evidence that the curriculum emphasis on teaching the skills that will allow students to thrive in a world were innovation is critical have translated into different teaching and learning practices. This is worrisome in a world where artificial intelligence and robotics might transform the role of humans in the productive and social processes.

This report exploits in innovative ways the international studies that countries have engaged in over the past few years, showing the value of countries' investments in these surveys. The OECD is committed to do more on this agenda. Our work on developing new measures of innovation in education will continue, taking new innovative approaches, so that countries better understand how to deal effectively with innovation to improve their education systems.

While waiting for the next edition, I strongly encourage readers to browse this book, a few indicators or one chapter at a time, to check how educational practices have evolved within countries, and to reflect on whether they believe this is the right strategic move. The information provided here is indeed a key resource to step back on how students learn and are taught, and to think strategically on the education we want in the future.

Andreas Schleicher

Director for Education and Skills

Special Adviser on Education Policy to the OECD Secretary General

## Acknowledgements

This book was authored by Stéphan Vincent-Lancrin, Senior Analyst, Joaquín Urgel, Consultant, Soumyajit Kar, Consultant, and Gwénaël Jacotin, Statistician, with the help of Anastasia Andreeva during her internship at the OECD. The project was led and conceptualised by Stéphan Vincent-Lancrin, and carried out as part of the work on Innovation in Education of the OECD Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI) within the Directorate for Education and Skills.

Other OECD colleagues made valuable contributions to the project and the book: Carlos González-Sancho gave feedback throughout the process, from the inception to the final steps of the book; Judit Pál made a significant input to the design of the graphs; Federico De Luca gave useful feedback on the methodology. Other colleagues also contributed on various aspects of the project. Madeleine Gereke provided invaluable project assistance, Mathias Bouckaert and Quentin Vidal made helpful comments on the drafts, and Rachel Linden coordinated the publication process. Cassandra Davis and Anne-Lise Prigent supervised the publication and communication processes. Florence Guérinot gave useful advice regarding the production of the book. Finally, John Leo Tarver (Atriptyc Communications) prepared the infographics for the country notes.

Members of the CERI Governing Board are thankfully acknowledged for their input to the work, notably Gábor Hálasz, who gave detailed comments and advice on the concept of the report and its methodology, and Robert Rakocevic, who made helpful comments on the final version of the report. Fernando Galindo-Rueda, from the OECD Directorate for Science, Technology and Innovation, also made very insightful comments on the report and its methodology. Yves Punie and Riina Vuorikari, Joint Research Centre in Seville of the European Commission, contributed to the exploratory process of the project and notably the identification of possible data sources. Also, as the book builds on previous work, many other colleagues, at the OECD and outside, contributed to this edition through their work and comments on the 2014 edition: they cannot all be named, but know who they are.

The book would never have been possible without the co-funding and active support of the European Union. Geir Ottestad and Jan Pakulski, Policy Officer and Head of Unit at the Directorate-General for Education, Youth, Sport and Culture of the European Commission, respectively, are gratefully acknowledged for the confidence they placed in the project: without their commitment to the development of new indicators that can support countries' policies and their willingness to support and take innovation in education seriously, this project would not have seen the light.

Last but not least, the project benefited from the advice of Deborah Roseveare, Head of the Innovation and Measuring Progress division within the OECD Directorate for Education and Skills, Dirk Van Damme, former Head of the IMEP division, and Andreas Schleicher, Director for Education and Skills and Special Advisor on Education Policy to the Secretary General. Their enthusiastic support has made a big difference to the success of the project.



From: Measuring Innovation in Education 2019 What Has Changed in the Classroom?

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264311671-en

## Please cite this chapter as:

Vincent-Lancrin, Stéphan, et al. (2019), "Foreword", in Stéphan Vincent-Lancrin, et al., Measuring Innovation in Education 2019: What Has Changed in the Classroom?, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/aa234de3-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

