EL SALVADOR

1. Socio-economic and perceived impacts of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic

The crisis hit El Salvador's economy hard. In 2020, gross domestic product (GDP) contracted by 7.9% annually. The population will not equally share the consequences. Based on the latest international comparable estimations, in 2020 the poverty rate reached 36.4%, among the highest in the Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) region (30.9%). It has increased six percentage points from a year earlier, compared to an increase of four percentage points in LAC. The extreme poverty rate has reached 8%, an increase of 2.4 percentage points, compared to 10.0% and 1.9 percentage points, respectively, in LAC. Public expenditures on health before the crisis stood at 7.2% of GDP, slightly higher than in LAC (6.8%) but lower than Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries (8.8%). The perceived quality of health services was negatively affected. In 2020, 54.8% of people declared being satisfied with health care, compared to 48.2% in LAC. People's satisfaction was 11 percentage points lower than ten years before, a larger decrease than in LAC (8.7 percentage points). Between March 2020 and May 2021 schools were fully closed for 46 weeks, more than in LAC (26 weeks) and the OECD (15 weeks). In 2020, 41.4% of El Salvador citizens thought that the government was corrupt, a lower share than in LAC (72.4%) and the OECD (58.8%).

2. National and international co-operation initiatives aimed to build forward better

El Salvador has adopted several measures to lessen the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the most vulnerable, workers and enterprises. Regarding households, since the start of the confinement measures, the government announced a subsidy of USD 300 for more than 1 million families, as well as the delivery of food packages to all households with greater emphasis on the most vulnerable. Additionally, El Salvador allowed for the temporary deferral of utilities, phone and internet bills payments, as well as freezing payments on mortgages, loans and credit cards. To protect the most affected workers and enterprises, El Salvador implemented a job-retention subsidy for micro, small and medium-sized enterprise (MSMEs) workers, a programme to grant loans to formal enterprises and a programme to help finance small business owners in the informal sector.

Going forward, El Salvador is committed to providing a new approach through technological modernisation and institutional transparency, to respond to structural problems worsened by the crisis. Accordingly, the government proposed the Economic and Social Welfare Plan 2019-2024, a flexible, evidence-based tool that will allow the country to overcome the crisis and work towards the United Nations 2030 Agenda. El Salvador granted 1.2 million computers to students, launched a plan to transform territorial development in rural areas and made financial inclusion and the digital transformation priorities. As for long-term plans, El Salvador aims to build forward better through the execution of policies to increase private and public investment and to boost formalisation and growth of MSMEs, focusing on innovation and adoption of new technologies.

El Salvador's international co-operation projects within and beyond the region focused on taking advantage of regional and international knowledge and resources to face the COVID-19 crisis. Within LAC, El Salvador's co-operation schemes included a partnership with Colombia to strengthen the capacity of the El Salvador's hospitals to attend to the pandemic and, through Sistema de la Integración Centroamericana, a donation to the Ministry of Health of the tools necessary for the detection of the virus. Beyond LAC, co-operation initiatives included the creation of the first university hospital in the country, with support from Luxembourg, and the construction of a speciality hospital dedicated to COVID-19 patients, with support from Morocco. To tackle the socio-economic challenges caused by the pandemic, El Salvador engaged in projects to prevent violence against women through a dedicated hotline (Spotlight Initiative) and created youth employability programmes through exchange of experiences and capacity building activities. Lastly, different Salvadorian women organisations, in close co-operation with the European Union, developed the programme "Strengthening agricultural cooperatives and peasant women's organisations for the enforceability of the Human Right to Food and food sovereignty in El Salvador" (2021-2023) with the objective of improving the resilience and sustainability of agro-productive development processes in vulnerable situations following the climate and health emergency in the country.

	Key indicators – El Salvador¹						
Socio-environmental	El Salvador		LAC ²		OECD3		
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	
Extreme poverty⁴	5.6 2019	8 2020	8.1 2019	10.0 2020	N/A 2019	N/A 2020	
Poverty ⁵	30.4	36.4	26.8	30.9	N/A	N/A	
, otolity	2009	2019	2009	2019	2009	2018	
Gini index ⁶	0.48	0.41	0.50	0.46	0.31	0.31	
	Bottom 20%	Top 20%	Bottom 20%	Top 20%	Bottom 20%	Top 20%	
Total population in informal households by quintile, 2018 ⁷	90.8	24.4	78.0	19.1	N/A	N/A	
Health expenditures ⁸	2010 8.2	7.2	2010 6.5	6.8	2010 8.1	2017 8.8	
iteatti experiartures	2010	2017	2010	2017	2010	2017	
Out-of-pocket health expenditures ⁹	33.6	29.2	35.6	34.1	20.2	20.6	
_			03/2020-05/2021				
Neeks of full school closure ¹⁰	46	46		26		15	
Effective online learning ¹¹	N/	N/A		2018		54.1	
Erroctive offilite learning	14)	14/71		2018		· · · ·	
Effective online learning in disadvantaged ¹¹ schools	N/	A	21.		48.	.8	
	2009	2019	2009	2019	2009	2019	
Share of Internet users ¹²	12.1	50.5	29.3	67.8	68.3	85.8	
Number of students per computer 13	2015 N/A	2018	2015	2018	2015	2018	
Number of students per computer ¹³	N/A 2010	N/A 2019	2.4	2019	2010	1.1 2019	
Exposure to PM 2.5 ¹⁴	24.3	22.3	18.1	18.0	15.7	13.9	
			2000				
% of change in intact forest landscape ¹⁵	N/A		-8.8		-6.3		
	FI 0 - I	El Salvador		LAC ²		OECD3	
Competitiveness and innovation	2009	2019	2009	2019	2009	و ل 2019	
_abour Productivity¹6	N/A	N/A	29.3	26.7	72.2	70.7	
•	2009	2019	2009	2019	2009	2019	
High-tech exports ¹⁷	6.5	5.1	8.7	8.3	19.5	17.9	
202 10	2009	2017	2009	2017	2009	2017	
R&D expenditures ¹⁸	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	2.4	2.5	
ICT patents ¹⁹	2009	2017	2009 726	2017 521	2009 173 440	2017 141 358	
o - patonio		· ·	. 20	02.		000	
Citizens' perceptions and institutions	El Salv	El Salvador		LAC ²		OECD3	
	2009	2020	2009	2020	2009	2020	
Citizens' perceptions of corruption in government ²⁰	66.0	41.4	72.0	72.4	67.5	58.8	
Citizens' perceptions of country governed in the interests of few ²¹	2009 46.1	2018 89.0	2009 64.8	2018 82.0	2009 N/A	2018 N/A	
or country governed in the interests of rew	2009	2020	2009	2020	2009	2020	
					69.2	70.7	
Satisfaction with health care ²²	65.8	54.8	56.9	48.2			
		54.8 2020	56.9 2009	2020	2009	2020	
	65.8 2009 80.5	2020 85.8	2009 74.8	2020 76.0	2009 77.9	79.4	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³	65.8 2009 80.5 2010	2020 85.8 2016	2009 74.8 2010	2020 76.0 2016	2009 77.9 2010	79.4 2016	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³	65.8 2009 80.5	2020 85.8	2009 74.8 2010 19.6	2020 76.0 2016 23.8	2009 77.9	79.4	
Satisfaction with health care ²² Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2	2020 85.8 2016 23.1	2009 74.8 2010	2020 76.0 2016 23.8	2009 77.9 2010 N/A	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴	65.8 2009 80.5 2010	2020 85.8 2016 23.1	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202	2020 76.0 2016 23.8	2009 77.9 2010	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2	2020 85.8 2016 23.1	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21	2009 77.9 2010 N/A	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵ Change in political polarisation ²⁶	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2	2020 85.8 2016 23.1	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6 201	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 21 21 21 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	2009 77.9 2010 N/A	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2	2020 85.8 2016 23.1	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 21 21 21 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	2009 77.9 2010 N/A	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵ Change in political polarisation ²⁶ SIGI index ²⁷	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2 82 8.	2020 85.8 2016 23.1 2	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6 201 25.	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 21 21 21 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	2009 77.9 2010 N/A 36 N//	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵ Change in political polarisation ²⁶ SIGI index ²⁷	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2 82 8.	2020 85.8 2016 23.1 2 5 9	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6 201 25.	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 21 21 21 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	2009 77.9 2010 N/A	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵ Change in political polarisation ²⁶ SIGI index ²⁷ Fiscal position	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2 82 8.	2020 85.8 2016 23.1 2	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6 201 25.	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 21 21 21 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	2009 77.9 2010 N/A 36 N//	79.4 2016 N/A	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵ Change in political polarisation ²⁶ SIGI index ²⁷ Fiscal position	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2 82 8. 8. 22 El Salu 2009 17.9 2009	2020 85.8 2016 23.1 2 5 9 yador 2019	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6 201 25.	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 2-18 6-18 6-6 2-2 2019 22.9 2019	2009 77.9 2010 N/A 36 N/A 16. 0EC 2009 31.6 2009	79.4 2016 N/A 3 3 2019 33.8 2019	
Satisfaction with water quality ²³ % of people victim of criminality ²⁴ Rank in the Press Freedom Index ²⁵ Change in political polarisation ²⁶	65.8 2009 80.5 2010 24.2 82 8. 8. 22 El Salu 2009	2020 85.8 2016 23.1 2 5 9 yador 2019 20.8	2009 74.8 2010 19.6 202 82 2009 9.6 201 25. LAG	2020 76.0 2016 23.8 21 21 -18 6 9 6 22 2019 22.9	2009 77.9 2010 N/A 36 N// 16. 0EC 2009 31.6	79.4 2016 N/A 3 3 2019 33.8	

Sources, footnotes and technical details can be found at the end of the country notes.

Technical notes

- 1. As best as possible, the table follows the key areas identified in Latin American Economic Outlook 2021: i) socio-environmental aspects; ii) economic competitiveness and innovation; iii) citizens' perceptions and institutions; and iv) fiscal position.
- 2. Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) average is a simple average of the largest set of LAC countries for which data are available in the latest year.
- 3. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) average is a simple average that includes all OECD member countries as of May 2021.
- 4. Data refer to the definition of extreme poverty as specified by the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC, 2021_[1]), Social Panorama of Latin America 2020. www.cepal.org/sites/default/files/publication/files/46688/S2100149_en.pdf. Data for 2020 refer to an estimate based on 2019 data, as specified in Annex I.A2 of (ECLAC, 2021). Projections are based on 2017 data for Chile, 2014 for Guatemala and 2018 for Mexico. Applying the same criteria as in previous LEO editions, data selection prioritizes comparability across LAC countries and shows the latest comparable data available at the report's publication date.
- 5. Data refer to the definition of poverty as specified by (ECLAC, 2021_[1]), Social Panorama of Latin America 2020, www.cepal.org/sites/default/files/publication/files/46688/S2100149 en.pdf. Data for 2020 refer to an estimate based on 2019 data, as specified in Annex I.A2 of ECLAC (2021). Projections are based on 2017 data for Chile, 2014 for Guatemala and 2018 for Mexico. Applying the same criteria as in previous LEO editions, data selection prioritizes comparability across LAC countries and shows the latest comparable data available at the report's publication date.
- 6. Data for LAC from ECLAC, CEPALSTAT | Databases and Statistical Publications, https://cepalstat-prod.cepal.org/cepalstat/tabulador/ConsultaIntegrada.asp?idIndicador=3289&idioma=i. Data for Chile refer to 2017, instead of 2019, data for Guatemala refers to 2014.
- 7. Data for the OECD from OECD Income Distribution Database, <u>www.oecd.org/social/income-distribution-database.htm.</u>
- 8. Indicator provides the distribution of the total population living in informal households by quintile. An informal household has all of its workers in informal work. Quintiles are based on monthly total household consumption or income. The source of this indicator is the OECD Key Indicators of Informality based on Individuals and their Households database, https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=KIIBIH_B5.
- 9. Health expenditures as % of gross domestic product (GDP). Data from OECD (2020), Health at a Glance: Latin America and the Caribbean. Share of current expenditure on health. Data are from OECD (2020), Health at a Glance: Latin America and the Caribbean.
- 10. Data from UNESCO, https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse#schoolclosures.
- 11. Data from OECD et al. (2020), Latin American Economic Outlook 2020, www.oecd.org/publications/latin-american-economic-outlook-20725140.htm.
- 12. Data from International Telecommunication Union (2020), www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/stat/default.aspx.
- 13. Data from OECD et al. (2020), Latin American Economic Outlook 2020, www.oecd.org/publications/latin-american-economic-outlook-20725140.htm.
- 14. µg/m³. Data refer to population exposure to more than 10 micrograms/m³ and are expressed as annual averages. Data from OECD, https://data.oecd.org/air/air-pollution-exposure.htm.
- 15. Data from OECD, https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=INTACT_FOREST_LANDSCAPES.
- 16. Output per employed person as % of United States 2019 output per person employed. Data from the Conference Board, https://conference-board.org/data/economydatabase/total-economy-database-productivity
- 17. High-technology exports as % of manufactured exports. Data from The World Bank, https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/TX.VAL.TECH.MF.ZS.
- 18. Research and development expenditure as % of GDP. Data from The World Bank, https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS.
- 19. IP5 Patent families. Data from OECD, https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=PATS_IPC.
- 20. Percentage of people who think that the government is corrupt. "Is corruption widespread throughout the government in (this country), or not?" Data from Gallup 2021.
- 21. Percentage of people who think that the country is governed in the interests of few. "En términos generales ¿diría usted que (país) está gobernado por unos cuantos grupos poderosos en su propio beneficio, o que está gobernado para el bien de todo el pueblo?" Data from Latinobarómetro, 2020.
- 22. Percentage of people who think that the health care is of good quality. "In your city of area where you live, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the availability of quality health care?" Data from Gallup 2021.

- 23. Percentage of people who think that the water is of good quality. "In your city or area where you live, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the quality of water?" Data from Gallup 2021.
- 24. Percentage of people who are victim of criminality, "have you been a victim of any type of crime in the past 12 months?" Data from Latin American Public Opinion Project, www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop/data-access.php.
- 25. Data from RFS, Reporters without Borders, https://rsf.org/en/ranking_table.
- 26. Percentage of people who declare being left or right on a 1-10 scale (1=left, 10 = right). "In politics, it is normal to refer to left and right. On a scale where 0 is the left and 10 is the right, where would you place yourself?" Data from Latinobarómetro. 2020.
- 27. Data from OECD, www.genderindex.org/ranking/.
- 28. Revenues as % of GDP. Data from OECD et al. (2021), Revenue Statistics in Latin America and the Caribbean (2021).
- 29. Value added taxes as % of total revenues. Data from OECD et al. (2021), Revenue Statistics in Latin America and the Caribbean (2021).
- 30. Social protection expenditures as % of GDP. Data from OECD and ECLAC.

References

- Conference Board (2015), The Conference Board's Alternative China GDP, http://www.conference-board.org//retrievefile.cfm?filename=FAQ-for-China-GDP 9nov1511.pdf&type=subsite.
- ECLAC (2020), Social Panorama of Latin America, United Nations Publication, http://www.cepal.org/sites/default/files/publication/files/46688/S2100149 en.pdf.
- Eurosocial (2021), The roadmap for EU Cooperation on social cohesion, https://eurosocial.eu/en/.
- Gallup (2021), Gallup World Poll (database), www.gallup.com/analytics/232838/world-poll.aspx.
- ITU (2020), World Telecommunication/ICT Indicators Database 2020, https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/stat/default.aspx.
- Latinobarómetro (2020), *Vanderbilt* (database), Latin American Public Opinion Project, http://www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop/data-access.php.
- OECD (2021), OECD.Stat (database), Social and Economical Indicators, https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx.
- OECD et al. (2020), Latin American Economic Outlook 2020: Digital Transformation for Building Back Better, OECD Publishing, https://doi.org/10.1787/e6e864fb-en.
- OECD/The World Bank (2020), Health at a Glance: Latin America and the Caribbean 2020, OECD Publishing, https://doi.org/10.1787/6089164f-en.
- Reporters without Borders (2021), World Press Freedom Index (database), https://rsf.org/en/ranking_table.
- SIGI (2019), OECD.Stat (database), Social Institutions & Gender Index (SIGI), http://www.genderindex.org/ranking/.
- UNESCO (2020), COVID-19 impact on education school closure, https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse #schoolclosures.
- World Bank (2020), "Research and development expenditure (% of GDP)", https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/GB.XPD.RSDV.GD.ZS.
- World Bank (2019), "High-technology exports", https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/TX.VAL.TECH.MF.ZS.



From:

Latin American Economic Outlook 2021 Working Together for a Better Recovery

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/5fedabe5-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD, et al. (2021), "El Salvador", in Latin American Economic Outlook 2021: Working Together for a Better Recovery, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/039d7881-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

