

© OECD, 2003.

© Software: 1987-1996, Acrobat is a trademark of ADOBE.

All rights reserved. OECD grants you the right to use one copy of this Program for your personal use only. Unauthorised reproduction, lending, hiring, transmission or distribution of any data or software is prohibited. You must treat the Program and associated materials and any elements thereof like any other copyrighted material.

All requests should be made to:

Head of Publications Service,
OECD Publications Service,
2, rue André-Pascal,
75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

© OCDE, 2003.

© Logiciel, 1987-1996, Acrobat, marque déposée d'ADOBE.

Tous droits du producteur et du propriétaire de ce produit sont réservés. L'OCDE autorise la reproduction d'un seul exemplaire de ce programme pour usage personnel et non commercial uniquement. Sauf autorisation, la duplication, la location, le prêt, l'utilisation de ce produit pour exécution publique sont interdits. Ce programme, les données y afférentes et d'autres éléments doivent donc être traités comme toute autre documentation sur laquelle s'exerce la protection par le droit d'auteur.

Les demandes sont à adresser au :

Chef du Service des Publications,
Service des Publications de l'OCDE,
2, rue André-Pascal,
75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

Assessment and recommendations

French economic growth has slowed

Activity has slowed in the past 18 months, following several years of strong employment and output growth. Although GDP increased by only 1.2 per cent in 2002, the labour market has resisted remarkably well. Employment has continued to rise and unemployment has edged up only marginally. Accommodative monetary conditions and a sharp and largely structural relaxation of fiscal policy likely reduced the extent of the slowdown. However, the budgetary easing caused the general government deficit to reach 3.1 per cent of GDP, prompting the European Commission to initiate its excessive deficit procedure. The slowdown reflected a significant cutback in investment activity. While the average growth rate for 2003 should be less than 1 per cent, corporate and household balance sheets remain in good shape, suggesting that, as international uncertainty dissipates, growth could accelerate, reaching a rhythm of about 2 per cent during the course of 2004. Moreover, the slowdown has turned the output gap negative, greatly reducing supply side pressures. As a result, a return to higher growth rates is not expected to generate increasing inflation in the near term.

Rising pension and health costs pose a medium-term challenge,...

France faces a daunting task in meeting the fiscal challenge posed by the ageing of its population, which is expected to result in the ratio of people retired to those employed doubling by 2030. As a result, there will be only one worker to support every retiree. This has obvious implications for the sustainability of the country's pay-as-you-go pension scheme, but will also add further impetus to health-care costs that are already rising strongly as a share of GDP. The challenge posed is all the greater because, at the same time as ageing raises spending pressures, the exit from the

workforce of the large baby-boom cohorts will reduce the rate at which employment and therefore potential output grow – thereby slowing the increase in the tax base needed to pay for these services. Notwithstanding continued improvements in productivity and income levels, the operational deficit of the health and pension systems would increase by some 5 per cent of GDP by 2030 in the absence of far-reaching policy changes. Allowing such deficits to accumulate over time is not a realistic option because the resulting build-up of debt would be unsustainable. OECD estimates suggest that the debt to GDP ratio could more than double by 2030. While the ageing challenge is a long-run one, actions to deal with it are nonetheless urgent, both because deep reforms can only yield results over time and because reform options become fewer and more costly the longer they are deferred.

***... requiring
fundamental
reform to avoid
an unsustainable
build up of debt***

Addressing the ageing challenge will require substantial efforts on a number of fronts. Raising taxes or social security contributions to close the emerging fiscal imbalance, might quickly become self-defeating in an increasingly integrated world economy. The tax burden in France is already very high in international comparison. Raising it further could induce both capital and skilled labour to go elsewhere, and, in so doing, threaten both the tax base and longer-term growth prospects. On the other hand, there would seem to be considerable scope for structural reforms that could generate savings while nevertheless respecting societal priorities. Proposals to reform the healthcare system by making both its administrators and its users more responsible for overall costs are important initiatives that could have significant medium-term impacts on the growth of expenditure. As concerns pensions, plans to align the mandatory contribution period of public servants to those of the private sector, currently 40 years, and extend both in line with improvement in life expectancy are sensible. Such steps should help reduce the substantial inequalities between the private and public systems, although many will remain. Proposals to abolish financial disincentives to continue working after reaching retirement age will serve to raise potential output and reduce imbalances. All of these are steps in the right direction. However, notwithstanding

the real difficulties that such measures may imply, more changes along similar lines will be required. Moreover, the authorities will need to take steps to improve the way public expenditure is managed and programmes evaluated – issues addressed below.

As a result, fiscal policy needs to be tightened

The long-run fiscal challenge amplifies the need to strengthen the budget in the shorter term. The authorities will need to quickly redress the recent fiscal slippage and make concrete progress towards re-establishing medium-term budgetary equilibrium in line with official objectives. Unfortunately, even taking into account decisions to cancel some State Budget credits and to create an additional reserve, the OECD expects the deficit to exceed 3½ per cent of GDP in 2003. While this represents a broadly neutral stance on a structurally adjusted basis and notwithstanding the current cyclical slump, the failure to reduce the structural deficit during recent years of fast growth requires policy to be more rigorous now. In this regard, the authorities' decision to seek a 0.5 per cent of GDP reduction in the structural deficit in 2004 is appropriate. For this to be achieved, additional measures, including importantly the partial non-replacement of retiring civil servants, will need to be taken. Once public finances are on a more sustainable path, a programme of tax cuts could yield a virtuous circle of higher growth, higher incomes and similar levels of public services.

Eliminating employment barriers would increase opportunities for people out of work and also bolster fiscal resources

Over the longer-term and in order to minimise the social cost of addressing the rise in ageing-related costs, policy needs to concentrate on making more effective use of its resources. In this regard, raising employment rates and hours worked will help restore fiscal sustainability by increasing resources available to pay for existing programmes and the substantial contingent liability represented by France's public pension systems. France distinguishes itself from other OECD countries by combining very low youth and older worker employment rates, with higher than average ones for so-called prime-age workers. Favourable demographic trends in the recent past and policies designed to assist low-skilled and inexperienced workers price themselves into the labour market contributed importantly to the strength of employment

growth during the last ten years. Notwithstanding these successes, more needs to be done to eliminate barriers that prevent those who wish to work from doing so. Simulations suggest that a set of policies that succeeded in raising employment rates for youths and older workers to OECD average levels could raise potential output by 6 per cent and reduce fiscal pressure over the next several decades by as much as 2 per cent of GDP. Moreover, raising the employment rates of younger workers has the advantage of smoothing the pension burden. While additional contributions will come on stream precisely when operating deficits are projected to be growing most quickly, the associated pension costs will not come due until the middle of the century when the system's equilibrium is projected to be improving.

Extending on-the-job training would help raise employment levels...

In order to overcome impediments to the employment of youth and the low-skilled, policy needs to continue to reduce the cost of hiring such workers. While many state-subsidised employment programmes have limited impacts on job prospects, some have improved employability for well targeted population groups. The apprenticeship and qualification contract schemes have proven a particularly effective means of significantly and durably improving the employability of low-skilled workers, in part because of their significant reliance on co-financing by both employers and employees. By providing inexperienced and low-skilled workers with private-sector job experience in exchange for lower wages, such programmes help them price themselves into the labour market, and, at the same time, acquire valuable and marketable skills. In order that more individuals currently excluded from the labour market can share in such benefits, access to these programmes should be expanded.

... as would efforts to further reduce their labour costs

Reducing labour costs of low-paid workers by reducing their social charges is also a successful strategy for raising employment. Unfortunately, the cuts in charges implemented since 1997 and those planned during the period 2003 to 2005 have served in part to offset the additional costs imposed by the 35 hour work week and the multiple minimum wages created in its wake. Because cuts in social charges are an expensive option for the public purse, care must be exercised

in the future, to ensure that any additional cuts really do reduce labour costs.

Offsetting the hike in minimum wages associated with their harmonisation by smaller increases later on...

Following the period of harmonisation of the SMICs, the authorities should restrict further increases in the SMIC to the rate of inflation for several years, so as to re-establish a downward trend in the relative labour costs of lower skilled workers and to offset the negative impact of the planned 5 per cent real increase in the minimum wage. Here, the earned-income tax credit (*Prime pour l'emploi*, PPE) could be used, in combination with more moderate increases in the minimum wage, to lower labour costs. As long as it is focused only on households presenting a real social need, such a combination would be less expensive than an equivalent reduction in social charges. Moreover, all low-skill and youth workers would benefit from increased employment opportunities as the SMIC returns to more moderate levels.

... and decreasing the disincentives caused by out-of-work benefits would help

Combating inactivity traps also requires a reinforcement of policies that promote greater labour force attachment on the part of those out of work. Here, there appears to be room to improve the effectiveness with which the locally administered public employment service helps the unemployed find work. In this regard, proposals to transfer financial responsibility for the main non-work income support scheme (*Revenu minimum d'insertion*, RMI) to the same governmental level that is responsible for job activation policy is a step forward. Associating financial responsibility for passive income support with the administration of activation policy should improve institutional incentives to get individuals off income support and into work. Moreover, the proposal to complement the RMI by allowing firms to hire beneficiaries for a limited period of time, but only pay them the difference between the minimum wage and their benefit, could be a powerful instrument to create job opportunities and reactivate such individuals. A careful individualised follow up by the public employment service will be necessary to minimise the impact of windfall gains.

For older workers, policy needs to restore financial incentives to work...

The main challenges facing the authorities as concerns older workers is to cut-back sharply on various state and unemployment insurance measures that subsidise early labour withdrawal and restore financial incentives to those that wish to continue working beyond the retirement age. Existing rules are at the root of low employment among older workers, and these reflect several decades of policy that explicitly sought to encourage early labour force withdrawal in the mistaken hope that this would help reduce unemployment.

... by dramatically scaling back early retirement schemes, and...

In this regard, recent efforts to cut back on state-subsidised early-retirement programmes should help reduce premature labour market exit. Similarly, the decision to reduce the extent to which the extended unemployment benefits offered by the UNEDIC (the unemployment insurance system) can be used to finance early retirement is welcome, although more needs to be done. Indeed, by making non-employment a viable alternative to work for older workers and implicitly subsidising firms that lay off such employees, the system appears to be a major cause of joblessness among older workers. More workers 55-60 years of age permanently exit the labour force on UNEDIC-financed schemes than via government early retirement schemes. Thus, policy-makers need to eliminate this programme as a source of job loss, while nevertheless preserving extended benefits for older workers who genuinely lose their jobs and providing lifelong training opportunities to workers so that they remain attractive as employees. Experience-rating firms that disproportionately lay off older workers would reduce recourse to early retirement by imposing more of the substantial social costs that such layoffs entail on to those firms taking advantage of the system. In addition, the responsibility of the social partners for the broader costs of these programmes would be enhanced if the State ceased subsidising the scheme by paying the pension contributions of new entrants to it. Finally, there may be scope for redirecting money spent on subsidising the non-employment of these workers towards programmes that reduce their labour costs.

***... making
pension income
actuarially neutral***

Additional reforms are necessary if workers who wish to work beyond the official retirement age are to find it financially worthwhile to do so. The authorities' plans to make benefits more actuarially neutral around age 60 could help raise employment levels by eradicating the existing massive financial incentive to take one's pension as soon as 40 years of contributions have been made and one reaches age 60. International experience suggests that when financial incentives are neutral, a significant share of people 60 years or older choose to continue working – resulting in higher levels of output and higher tax revenues. In this regard, proposals to extend “standard” contribution periods in line with improvements in life expectancy go in the right direction and should be implemented. Such a more actuarially neutral system could be further expanded by allowing individuals to draw reduced benefits at an earlier age.

***Policies to
promote
investment and
fast growing firms
would spur
productivity
growth***

Economic performance and longer-term fiscal sustainability would also benefit from higher investment rates and better productivity performance. Here current efforts to ease the administrative complexity of the regulatory environment and increase incentives for innovation are welcome. As regards efforts to stimulate R&D, the establishment of networks of industrialists and research laboratories to identify promising technologies for government programmes to support is likely an improvement over the previous model. However, these programmes need to be subjected to more systematic evaluation. In this respect, the consistency of R&D support would be reinforced by increasing the emphasis on the medium term in the financing and management of laboratories. Furthermore, R&D remains principally a state-funded activity, and researchers do not have a large enough stake in the fruits of their discoveries. In order to ensure that public-sector research continues to become more responsive to business and societal needs, reforms that reduce rigidities in the allocation of resources between programmes and research areas should be pursued. The recent creation of the National Science Fund and the Research and Technology Fund are reforms that go in this direction. So as to encourage more investment and thereby further boost potential output, the authorities should continue to reduce relatively high corporate income tax rates and rigid labour

market regulations. Moreover, cross-country research suggests that a less onerous taxation of lightly capitalised firms (often smaller fast growing companies) and a less rigid regulation of employment conditions helps boost productivity trends by favouring fast-growing high-tech firms.

Better governance of state-owned firms and continued privatisation should also help

Overall efficiency would be further enhanced by the pursuit of privatisation. Although progress over the past twenty years has been impressive, with the State divesting itself of some 2 000 firms, it still owns or controls 1 500 companies. Most of these are small, but several very large firms remain in State hands, and, as a whole, publicly-owned companies continue to occupy an important place in the business sector. Moreover, although many of these firms have been commercial successes, the sector's overall record is marred by a number of failures and large losses. The recent creation of a new structure (*Agence des Participations de l'Etat*, APE) to supervise state commercial assets and to exercise the State's shareholder rights should increase transparency and improve the State's governance of these firms. However, to be successful the link between state-owned firms and their ministry-owners will need to be broken and the APE should be granted more independence from the bureaucracy. A proposal to make explicit state-owned firms' public-service obligations and transform these into contracts would enhance transparency and should be implemented. It could also yield cost savings so long as private firms are allowed to bid for these contracts. Notwithstanding these efforts, the need for further progress on privatisation remains. The authorities are proceeding with some more large-scale divestments, but the very many smaller firms also need to be transferred to private hands and the State's many minority stakes sold off. Finally, given the projected pressures on public finances, privatisation revenues need to be applied to reducing the debt or transferred into the special retirement reserve fund. Too much money continues to be channelled back into money-losing and inefficient state-owned firms.

To accommodate ageing-related cost pressures, spending needs to be brought under control

Given the ageing of the population and in order to preserve fiscal sustainability over the medium and long-term without raising taxes, the authorities will have to do a much better job of controlling the rate of increase of public expenditure. Indeed, since 1990, a period during which most OECD countries substantially reduced general government expenditure as a share of GDP, public spending rose by 3 per cent of GDP in France. Between 1995 and 2002, however, better expenditure control and the introduction of expenditure norms for the State Budget resulted in the share of general government spending in GDP falling by 1.1 percentage points. Nevertheless, this reduction was significantly less than had been forecast by the authorities at that time. In order to permit them to better meet their fiscal objectives, public expenditure governance systems need to be improved. Indeed, the repeated failure to respect multiyear spending objectives reflects in part the authorities' inability to exercise effective control over that share of general government spending that lies outside the State Budget. There is a clear need to introduce reforms that allow the authorities to require all spending agencies to contribute to expenditure control efforts and at the same time to confront decision makers more directly with the longer-term consequences of their actions.

A more medium-term and integrated approach to budgeting is needed

To assist policy makers allocate resources effectively, additional measures are required to raise the visibility of the tradeoffs between State Budget and other general government expenditures. In addition, the time frame over which budgetary decisions are made needs to be lengthened, so as to help prevent pro-cyclical and permanent increases in spending. The inclusion of the government's medium-term spending plan as an annex to the State Budget and the almost simultaneous presentation of the Social Security and State Budgets are steps in the right direction. They should be reinforced, however, by having parliament vote the plan (currently it is not voted) and by making the medium-term projections more detailed and better integrated into both the State and Social Security Budgets. They could then be brought forward to serve as an umbrella to the two annual budgets, with the out-year projections serving as a basis for the projections of the following year. If

underpinned by more prudent macroeconomic assumptions than has been the case in the past, such a scheme would contribute to more realistic medium-term budgeting by making it more difficult to introduce politically expedient programmes with short-term gains but long-term costs. Moreover, they would increase the likelihood that the authorities' longer term objectives for public finances are attained.

Moreover, roles and responsibilities need to be clarified...

While such a coherent and integrated budgeting system will be essential to enhancing the authorities' ability to better manage public expenditures, it is unlikely to be successful unless mechanisms can be found to ensure that all spending agencies participate in the effort to control spending levels. The main challenge is to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the central government on the one hand and those of the various regimes and sub-national governments on the other. Indeed, in many cases ultimate financial responsibility is poorly defined and does not coincide with administrative power, resulting in recurrent cost overruns, weak incentives to improve efficiency and the failure to put in place certain reforms.

... including at the local level

The authorities' plan to clarify responsibilities for programme delivery at the local level and to transfer financial resources to the level where programmes are administered is a step in this direction. By allowing local governments to keep the savings generated, the reform significantly sharpens incentives to seek efficiency gains and should result in more efficient programme delivery. However, to generate overall reductions in spending, mechanisms need to be introduced that guarantee that lower levels of government assume their share in the overall effort at expenditure control. Moreover, while reforms that allow municipalities to jointly provide some services and overcome scale inefficiencies have been broadly successful, the vast majority of France's 37 000 municipalities are far too small and serious consideration should be given to their consolidation. While the creation of various institutions for intercommunal co-operation have helped in this regard, much remains to be done. Furthermore, the advantages of having both a

regional and a departmental level of government remain to be demonstrated.

The authorities need to provide themselves with the means to ensure that their objectives are attained...

For social security, the problem is simultaneously more serious and more complicated. In so far as the parliament is ultimately responsible for ensuring overall fiscal sustainability, it may be necessary for it to provide itself with the means necessary to ensure that the various social security funds respect the objectives it fixes for them. This could be done while giving them more liberty to determine the content of programmes and how they are managed. Indeed, parliament has already assumed some of this responsibility with the passage of Social Security Budgets in 1996, and the State Budget support of some social security regimes is already substantial. In addition, more needs to be done to reduce the rigidities in budgetary allocations caused by earmarking and to make the financing of the schemes more transparent. In order to better ensure that objectives are realised and so as to make fund management more responsible for financial outturns, Parliament should include in the social security budget, *ex ante* mechanisms designed to correct any eventual overruns.

... and make budget constraints more binding

Such a measure would help make the budgets of the social security system more binding and is particularly necessary in the healthcare sector, a perennial source of expenditure overrun. Unfortunately, experience in all OECD countries attests that no easy solutions exist. Nevertheless, as discussed in the 2000 OECD *Survey of France*, a variety of measures could help slow the rate of increase of health insurance spending and make the government's spending objective (the ONDAM) more binding. In particular, reform should seek to force administrators, doctors and consumers to take greater responsibility for the costs their actions generate. In this regard, the introduction of a rolling cap on ambulatory-care services could help limit doctors' incentives to oversupply, by making the level of their future remuneration dependent on respecting the previous year's norms. In addition, softening the threshold effect associated with the means-tested universal health coverage (*couverture médicale universelle*, CMU), while at the same time conferring more of the overall costs to complementary regimes, would

also help by making individuals and their insurance schemes more interested in controlling costs. Coupled with an increase in the cost actually borne by patients, such changes, like the decision to reimburse pharmaceuticals at the price of generic drugs, should exercise additional restraint on the demand side.

The new State Budget framework should increase efficiency...

The newly introduced budgetary framework law (the LOLF), by placing the emphasis on programme outputs and their regular evaluation, could constitute an important tool for increasing the efficiency with which government services are delivered. The law extends to parliament a greater policymaking role and responsibility for ensuring the population gets value for their money. However, international experience suggests that, for such systems to be effective, significant changes in administrative and even political culture are required, necessitating continued strong political and administrative support and leadership. Current efforts to involve staff in the definition of the indicators that will be used to evaluate programmes and the use of pilot projects to test ideas should both promote a sense of ownership among public servants and make the final product more relevant. Finally, given the importance that policy evaluation is to play in directing reform efforts, it will be critical for programmes to be designed so as to permit evaluations and for these to be conducted with an eye to proposing improvements.

... but its output orientation should be extended to other levels of public expenditure

The notion of output oriented budgeting should also be extended to other areas of public expenditure. In this regard, the creation of a Social Security Budget has helped to expose such spending to more regular scrutiny, but the budget needs to be given a more explicit output orientation and the systems' administrators need to be made responsible for meeting them. The introduction of performance contracts between the State and the various social security administrations is a step forward and more should be done in this vein. Even so, there appears to be significant scope for efficiency improvement by rationalising the systems' overlapping contribution-collection and benefit-distribution networks. The recent decision to create a one-stop counter for small and medium enterprises goes partly in this direction by reducing the administrative formalities facing firms. Finally, as

reform of the pension and health systems proceeds, the authorities should look at the desirability of consolidating regimes and harmonising benefit systems, for reasons of both social equity and administrative efficiency.

Efforts are also required to assure environmental sustainability

Putting in place policies to ensure the environmental sustainability of growth is a further challenge facing French policy makers. In this regard, the authorities should reconsider their strategy for meeting their international greenhouse gas commitments. In particular, a central role for permit trading at the EU level should be envisaged and the expensive implicit subsidy of wind-generated energy reduced to levels consistent with alternative sources of reductions in greenhouse gases. Opportunities to reduce emissions in road transportation through higher taxes are limited but tax levels on emissions from sea and air transport are too low (although progress here will require international agreement). Extensive efforts have succeeded in improving water quality but were expensive. To improve their cost effectiveness, existing regulations need to be more vigorously enforced and an effective tax instrument introduced to control agricultural pollution. In the area of phosphates and pesticides, regulations need be better aligned with the actual toxicity of individual chemicals.

To sum up

In sum, after several years of rapid expansion the economy has entered into a period of below potential growth, with a negative output gap opening up. Monetary conditions have been relaxed, while fiscal policy has eased excessively, provoking the European Commission to initiate an excessive deficit procedure. As uncertainty dissipates towards the middle of this year, the economy should pick up speed, reaching a growth rhythm of around 2 per cent in 2004. Nevertheless, over the medium-term in the absence of substantial reforms, the ageing of the population risks to threaten economic and fiscal equilibrium. Current pension and healthcare reform initiatives and plans to redress spending over the medium term go in the right direction. However, in order to ensure medium and long-term fiscal sustainability, additional policies to slow the expansion of health and pension spending are required, while efforts to raise employment rates and potential output are needed to improve the economy's ability to finance

future ageing-related expenditures. Here, programmes that offer the possibility of on the job-training should be expanded so as to reactivate young and low-skilled workers, while reforms to early-retirement schemes and the pension system need to be continued so as to restore work incentives for older workers. Ongoing tax and labour market reforms and policies to facilitate the development of high-tech and fast growing enterprises, which should help promote investment and higher productivity growth, also need to be pursued. The opening of the capital of state-owned enterprises, their eventual privatisation and planned improvements to governance structures should help promote growth, but revenues from sell-offs ought to be used to reduce debt. Finally, in order to better manage the totality of public expenditures, the authorities need to implement reforms that can be used to ensure that all spending organisms contribute to spending control. Here, it will be necessary to implement mechanisms that would improve the effectiveness of measures to control healthcare spending. Moreover, decision-makers need to be more directly confronted with the long-term consequences of their actions. Initiatives such as decentralisation and the new budget framework law should help in this regard. Pursuit of reforms along all of these lines should permit society to meet the fiscal challenge posed by population ageing, while retaining high levels of service.

Glossary of acronyms

| | |
|---------------|---|
| ACCORD | New State's mission oriented data system |
| ACOSS | Central fund for social security |
| ARHs | Regional hospital agencies |
| ARPE | Employment replacement allowance |
| ASFNE | (an early retirement scheme) |
| APA | Personalised assistance allowances to the elderly |
| APE | State ownership agency |
| BAPSA | Separate annex budget for the agriculture social fund |
| CADES | Fund for the amortisation of the social debt |
| CAE | Prime Minister's Economic Analysis Council |
| CEC | Consolidated employment contract |
| CES | Solidarity employment contract |
| CGP | General Planning Commission |
| CIT | Corporate income tax |
| CNAF | Family social security regime |
| CNAMTS | Healthcare social security regime |
| CNAVTS | Old age social security regime |
| COG | Management target and management conventions between the State and the social security regimes |
| COR | Retirement Advisory Council |
| CSG | Generalised social contribution |
| EDF | French Electricity Company |
| EPICs | French Public Companies |
| EPCIs | Public Companies for municipal cooperation |
| EU | European Union |
| FCATA | Asbestos workers early retirement fund |
| FCAATA | Agriculture work accident fund |
| FIP | Local investment funds |
| FOREC | Fund for the financing of the reform of the enterprises' social charges |
| FRR | Retirement reserve fund |
| FSV | Old age solidarity fund |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| GIAT | Armament Company |
| GDF | French Gas Company |
| GHG | Greenhouse gas |
| IGF | General Finance inspectorate |
| ISF | Wealth tax |
| INSEE | National Institute for Statistics and Economic Studies |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| IPO | Initial public offering |
| LOLF | New framework law for the State Budget |
| MINEFI | Ministry of Industry, economy and finance |
| ONDAM | National health spending target |
| PARE | Return to employment assistance scheme |
| PEE | Enterprise saving plan |
| PES | Public employment service |
| PIT | Personal income tax |
| PLF | State draft budget |
| PLFSS | Draft social security budget |
| PPE | An earned-income tax credit |
| PPES | Voluntary saving partnership plan |
| R&D | Research and development |
| RFF | French railways infrastructure company |
| RMA | Minimum activity revenue |
| RMI | Guaranteed minimum revenue |
| RRIT | Research and technology network |
| SEMs | Local public service companies |
| SIFE | Insertion and training stage programme |
| SNCF | French railways Service Company |
| SMEs | Small and medium seized enterprises |
| SMIC | Minimum insertion wage |
| SNECMA | Aeronautical manufacturing firm |
| TIPP | Petrol tax |
| TRACE | Access to employment programme |
| UNEDIC | Organisation in charge of the management of the unemployment insurance scheme |
| URSAFFs | Regional agencies in charge for collecting social security contributions |
| WHO | World Health Organisation |

Bibliography

- Anglaret, D. and M. Massin (2002),
 “Les Préretraites: Un outil important de la gestion des âges dans les entreprises”,
Premières informations et premières synthèses, DARES, No. 45.
- Anglaret, D., (2002),
 “Bilan des préretraites et des mesures en faveur des chômeurs âgés en 2000”, *Premières informations et premières synthèses*, DARES, No. 5.
- Bathélémy, J. and G. Cette (2002),
 “Droits du travail réglementaire et conventionnel: une articulation en mutation”, in
 Ferrandon, Benoit ed., *Cahiers français*, No. 311.
- Barbier De La Serre *et al.* (2003),
L'état actionnaire et le gouvernement des entreprises publiques, Ministère de l'Économie,
 Finances et de l'Industrie.
- Belleville, A., Charpail, C. and T. Klein (2002),
 “Évaluation de deux programmes d'aide à l'emploi: Contrat de qualification et contrat
 initiative emploi”, *Données Sociales*, Paris, La Documentation Française.
- Bellamy, V., (A) (2002),
 “Les jeunes sortis du programme ‘nouveaux services- emplois jeunes’: plus de six sur
 dix sont en emploi”, *Premières informations et premières synthèses*, DARES, Vol. 4, No. 1.
- Bellamy, V., (b) (2002),
 “Nouveaux services emploi-jeunes en 2001 : tassement des créations de postes et
 embauches”, *Premières informations et premières synthèses*, DARES, Vol. 36, No. 1.
- Berger, E., *et al.* (2002),
 “Les bénéficiaires des politiques de l'emploi: des parcours sensibles aux mouvement
 conjoncturels de l'économie”, *Premières informations et premières synthèses*, DARES, Vol. 52,
 No. 3.
- Blanchet and Caussat (2000),
Choix de l'âge de la retraite : le rôle des règles de liquidation in Taddei (1999).
- Bleaney, M. *et al.* (2001),
 “Testing the endogenous growth model: public expenditure, taxation and growth over
 the long run”. *Canadian Journal of Economics*. Vol. 34, No. 1, 36-57.
- Cancé, R. and H. Fréchou (2003),
 “Les contrats courts: source d'instabilité mais aussi tremplin vers l'emploi permanent”,
Premières informations et premières synthèses, No. 14.1, Paris DARES.
- Capros, P., L. Mantzos, L. Vouyoukas, K. Delkis, D. Petrelis and V. Panos (1999),
 “Analysis of energy system changes to reduce CO₂ emissions in 2010 for Austria”, *Report*

- to the DG Environment of the European Commission, National Technical University of Athens, March.
- Charpin, J.M. (1999),
L'Avenir de nos Retraites, Rapport du Commissaire au Plan au Premier ministre, La Documentation Française, Paris.
- Charzat, M. (2001),
Rapport au Premier ministre sur l'attractivité du territoire français, Paris.
- Chevauchez, B. (2002),
"Government budgeting and accounting reforms in France", in *Models of Public Budgeting and accounting Reform*, OECD.
- Commissariat Général du Plan (1994),
Transports: pour un meilleur choix des investissements, referred to as *Rapport Boiteux No. 1*, La Documentation Française.
- Commissariat Général du Plan (1995),
Perspectives à long terme des retraites, La Documentation Française.
- Commissariat Général du Plan (2001),
Transports: choix des investissements et coûts des nuisances, referred to as *Rapport Boiteux No. 2*, La Documentation Française.
- Commissariat Général du Plan (2001),
La politique de préservation de la ressource en eau destinée à la consommation humaine, September, Paris.
- Commissariat Général du Plan (2002),
Effet de serre: modélisation économique et décision publique, March.
- Commissariat Général du Plan (2002),
Avenirs des métiers, November.
- Commission de Régulation de L'Électricité (2001),
"Avis en date du 5 juin 2001 sur l'arrêté fixant les conditions d'achat de l'électricité produite par les installations utilisant l'énergie mécanique du vent", Paris, June.
- Conseil d'Analyse Économique (2003),
Compétitivité, report written by M. Debonneuil et L. Fontagné, La Documentation Française, Paris.
- Conseil d'Orientation des Retraites (2001),
"Retraites : renouveler le contrat social entre les générations – Orientations et débats", *Premier rapport*, La Documentation Française, Paris.
- Conseil d'Orientation des Retraites (2001b),
Âge et Travail, La Documentation Française, Paris.
- Conseil Supérieur de la Pêche (2002),
L'état écologique fonctionnel des rivières de France, available at www.csp.environnement.gouv.fr/pages/milieux/etat%E9corivi%E8res.htm
- Cour des Comptes (2002),
La sécurité sociale.
- Crépon, B., and R. Desptatz (2001),
"Une nouvelle évaluation des effets des allègements de charges sociales sur les bas salaires", *Économie et statistique*, No. 348.
- Criqui, P., O. Blanchard and A. Kitous (2002),
"Après La Haye, Bonn et Marrakech: le futur marché international des permis de droits

d'émissions et la question de l'air chaud", *Cahier de Recherche* No. 27, Institut d'Économie et de Politique de l'Énergie, Grenoble.

Direction Générale du Gaz, de l'Électricité et du Charbon (1997),
Les coûts de référence de la production électrique, Paris, May.

Docteur, E. and H. Oxley (2003),
Health care systems: Lessons from the reform experience.

Economic Policy Committee of the European Commission (2000),
Budgetary challenges posed by ageing populations: the impact on public spending on pensions, health and long-term care for the elderly and the possible indicators of the long-term sustainability of public finances, Commission of the European Union, Brussels.

Englander, A.S., and A. Gurney (1994),
"OECD Productivity Growth: Medium-Term Trends", *OECD Economic Studies*, No. 22, Spring.

Ernst and Young (2002),
France, je t'aime ... moi non plus, Ernst and Young, Paris.

European Commission (2001),
"Commission proposes ratification of Kyoto Protocol and emissions trading system",
Press Release, Brussels, 23 October.

European Commission (2002),
State aid scoreboard.

Giorno, C., P. Richardson, D. Roseveare and P. Van Den Noord (1995),
"Potential output, output gaps and structural budget balances", *OECD Economic Studies*,
No 24, 1.

Givord, P. (2002),
"Prévoir l'évolution des taux d'activité aux âges élevés: un exercice difficile", *Économie et Statistique*, INSEE, No. 355, pp. 105 – 124.

Greciano, P.A. (2002),
Les Retraites en France: Quel Avenir ?, La Documentation Française, April.

Guegano, Y. (2000),
"Vers une plus grande liberté de choix de départ en retraite avec des barèmes respectant la neutralité actuarielle" in *Questions retraite*, No. 20, Caisse des dépôts et consignations, Paris.

Holcblat (2002),
Stratégie européenne et politique française de l'emploi (1997-2001), La Documentation Française, Paris.

IEA (2000),
"The France 2000 Review", *Energy Policies of IEA Countries*.

IEA (2002),
Energy Prices and Taxes, 3rd quarter.

IEA (2002),
Beyond Kyoto: Energy Dynamics and Climate Stabilisation.

IMF (2002),
Article IV Consultation.

Institut Français de l'Environnement (2002),
L'environnement en France, Orléans.

- INSEE (2002),
“L'évolution de l'emploi faiblement rémunéré au cours des dix dernières années” in
L'Économie française: Édition 2002-2003, INSEE, Paris.
- Jugnot, S. and M. Bunel,
“35 heures: Évaluations de l'effet emploi”, contribution to AFSE Colloquium,
September 2002
- King, R. and S. Rebelo (1990),
“Public Policy and economic growth: developing neo-classical implications”, *Journal of
Political Economy*, Vol. 98.
- Leclair, M. (2002),
“Réduction du temps de travail et tensions sur les facteurs de production”, INSEE:
documents de travail, No. 13 .
- Leibfritz, W., et al. (1997),
“Taxation and economic performance”, *OECD Economics Department Working Papers*,
No. 176, Paris.
- Mas, S. (2002),
“Un jeune sur deux en emploi à la sortie du dispositif TRACE”, *Premières informations et
premières synthèses*, DARES, No. 34.
- Marini, P. (2002),
“Rapport général au nom de la commission des Finances, du contrôle budgétaire et
des comptes économiques de la Nation sur le projet de loi de finances pour 2003
adopté par l'Assemblée nationale”, Volume No. 1, November, Paris.
- McKinsey (2000),
Reaching higher productivity growth in France and Germany, McKinsey Global Institute.
- Micheau, J., C. Poujolly and P. Pommier (2001),
“Le service personnalisé pour un nouveau départ vers l'emploi: programme français
d'accompagnement des chômeurs”, *Premières informations et premières synthèses*, DARES
No. 18.1.
- Minni, C. and P. Poulet-Coulibando (2003),
“Coup de frein à l'insertion professionnelle des jeunes en 2002”, *Premières informations et
premières synthèses*, DARES, No. 7.
- Marlier, E. and M. Cohen-Solal (2002),
“Social Benefits and their redistributive effect in the EU”, *EUROSTAT Statistics in Focus*,
Thème 3-9, EUROSTAT.
- Ministère de l'Aménagement du Territoire et de l'Environnement (2001),
Les pollutions de l'eau d'origine agricole, leaflet, Paris, September.
- Ministère de l'Économie, des Finances et de l'Industrie (2001),
“Agences de l'eau”, *Projet de loi de finances pour 2002*, Yellow Series, Paris.
- Mission Interministérielle de l'Effet de Serre (2000),
Programme national de lutte contre le changement climatique, Paris, January.
- Mission Interministérielle de l'Effet de Serre (2001),
*Troisième communication nationale à la Convention cadre des Nations Unies sur les changements
climatiques*, Paris.
- Mission Interministérielle de l'Effet de Serre (2002),
Bilan 2002 du programme national de lutte contre le changement climatique, Paris.

- Nash, C., P. Bickel, R. Friedrich, H. Link and L. Stewart (2002),
"The Environmental Impact of Transport Subsidies", *Background paper for the OECD Workshop on Environmentally Harmful Subsidies*, Paris, 7-8 November.
- Nicodème, G. (2001),
"Computing Effective Corporate Tax Rates: comparisons and results", *Economic Paper*, European Commission., No. 153.
- Rideau, J.-P. (2002),
"L'évaluation des dommages environnementaux, le financement de la politique de l'eau", *Colloque du Cercle Français de l'Eau*, 10 October, Paris.
- Observatoire National de l'Action Sociale Décentralisée (2003),
"Allocation personnalisée d'autonomie: enjeux et perspectives", *Report*, January, Paris.
- OECD (2001),
Economic Surveys: France.
- OECD (2001),
Environmental Indicators for Agriculture, Volume 3, Methods and Results.
- OECD (2001),
Taxing Wages.
- OECD (2002),
Economic Surveys: United States.
- Pisani-Ferry, J. (2000),
Plein emploi, Rapport du Conseil d'analyse économique, Paris.
- Sedillot (2000),
La réforme des règles de décote dans le régime général : quels enjeux pour le bien-être des retraités et l'équilibre financier du régime ? in Taddei (1999).
- Sharpe (2001),
"Estimates of relative and absolute poverty rates for the working population in developed countries", Centre for the Study of Living Standards, Ottawa.
- Slemrod, J. (1995),
"What do cross-country studies teach about government involvement, prosperity and economic growth?", *Brooking Papers on Economic Activity*, No. 2.
- Taddei (1999),
Retraites choisies et progressives, Conseil d'analyse économique, Paris.
- Turner, D., L. Boone, C. Giorno, M. Meacci, D. Rae and P. Richardson (2001),
"Estimating the structural rate of unemployment for the OECD countries", *OECD Economic Studies* No. 33.
- Walraet, E. and A. Vincent (2002),
"Intragenerational distributional analysis in the French private sector pension scheme", *Document de Travail G 2002/14*, INSEE.
- Zaidman, C., M. Okba, L. Olier, B. Salzman and A. Savary (2000),
"Les dispositifs de cessation d'activité : état des lieux et évolutions souhaitables", in Taddei, Dominique (1999), *Retraites choisies et progressives*, Conseil d'analyse économique, Paris, pp. 95-121.
- Zamora, P., J.-P. Zoyem (2003),
"Les six premières mois de mise en œuvre des contrats jeunes en entreprise", *Premières informations et premières synthèses*, DARES, No. 15.

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Assessment and recommendations | 9 |
| I. Overview of economic developments and challenges | 23 |
| Recent developments | 23 |
| The short-term outlook | 37 |
| Fiscal policy in 2003 and 2004 | 39 |
| The challenge of ageing to long-term fiscal sustainability | 40 |
| Improving potential output could improve prospects | 42 |
| Action is required soon | 47 |
| II. Policies to raise potential output | 51 |
| Growth through resource deepening | 51 |
| Reforms to improve firm-level performance | 74 |
| Some aspects of sustainable development | 90 |
| Summary | 103 |
| III. Public expenditure management | 111 |
| Spending in international comparison | 111 |
| The challenges ahead | 113 |
| Budgetary processes and arrangements across levels of government | 117 |
| Policies to strengthen public expenditure management | 130 |
| Summing up | 154 |
| Glossary of acronyms | 158 |
| Notes | 160 |
| Bibliography | 166 |
| I. Long-term fiscal simulations | 171 |
| II. Chronology of main economic events | 173 |



Boxes

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Recent measures affecting taxes | 38 |
| 2. The government's proposed pension reform | 43 |
| 3. Evaluating the employment impact of the 35 hours legislation | 59 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4. Proposed measures to support the creation, financing and efficiency of small and medium enterprises | 75 |
| 5. The State as entrepreneur | 87 |
| 6. Policy integration across sustainable development areas | 91 |
| 7. Fiscal relations between levels of government | 119 |
| 8. The social security system | 126 |
| 9. Special social security financing funds | 129 |
| 10. Incomes of the elderly | 138 |
| 11. Main difference between public- and private-sector pension schemes | 142 |
| 12. Decentralisation | 152 |
| 13. Summary of recommendations | 155 |

Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Quarterly gross domestic product | 25 |
| 2. General government accounts | 36 |
| 3. Fiscal sustainability and structural reform | 46 |
| 4. Impact of spending reform on fiscal sustainability | 48 |
| 5. Employment programmes for youth under 26 years of age | 54 |
| 6. Expected change in labour costs for minimum-wage workers | 66 |
| 7. Early-retirement schemes and older-worker employment | 70 |
| 8. Legal corporate income tax rates | 81 |
| 9. Inflation of name brand <i>versus</i> in-house products | 83 |
| 10. Main indicators: climate change | 93 |
| 11. Greenhouse gas emissions and sectoral indicators | 94 |
| 12. Long run marginal costs of power generation | 97 |
| 13. Overview of progress in structural reform | 104 |
| 14. Major current government outlays | 114 |
| 15. Organisation of the public sector in France | 118 |
| 16. Preparation of the State budget | 122 |
| 17. Fiscal consolidation in the OECD | 131 |
| 18. Performance indicators: sustainable retirement income | 139 |
| 19. Official estimates of pension fund balances | 143 |

Figures

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Recent economic trends | 24 |
| 2. Reduced supply-side tensions | 26 |
| 3. Business sector indicators | 28 |
| 4. Price developments | 29 |
| 5. Wages, labour costs and competitiveness | 31 |
| 6. Employment and unemployment | 32 |
| 7. Current account balance | 33 |
| 8. Monetary conditions | 34 |
| 9. Long-term debt dynamics | 41 |
| 10. Employment rates | 44 |
| 11. Trends in youth employment | 53 |
| 12. Minimum wages | 62 |
| 13. SMIC, take-home pay, labour and employment | 63 |
| 14. Harmonising minimum wages and low-wage worker social security reductions | 65 |
| 15. Older worker employment rates | 69 |
| 16. Financial incentives of retirement schemes | 71 |
| 17. Water quality of rivers and streams | 100 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 18. Nitrates in underground aquifers | 101 |
| 19. Financial costs of water pollution | 102 |
| 20. Public expenditure | 112 |
| 21. General government primary expenditure by economic category | 116 |
| 22. Expenditure shares by level of government | 120 |
| 23. Slippage in multiyear targets | 133 |
| 24. Asymmetric response of expenditures to the economic cycle | 134 |
| 25. Range of activity of social security schemes | 148 |

BASIC STATISTICS OF FRANCE

THE LAND

| | | | |
|--|-------|--|-------|
| Area (1 000 km ²), 1998 | 632.8 | Major cities (thousand inhabitants), 1999: | |
| Agricultural area, excl. overseas departments (1 000 km ²), 1998 | 300.0 | Paris | 2 125 |
| | | Marseille | 798 |
| | | Lyon | 445 |

THE PEOPLE (2002)

| | | | |
|--|--------|---|--------|
| Population (thousands) | 61 075 | Total labour force excl. overseas departments (thousands) | 27 048 |
| Number of inhabitants per km ² | 96 | Percentage of employment in: | |
| Average annual increase (thousands), 1990-2002 | 254 | Agriculture | 3.7 |
| | | Industry and construction | 23.7 |
| | | Services | 72.7 |

PRODUCTION (2002)

| | | | |
|--|--------|---|------|
| Gross domestic product at market prices (euros billion) | 1 523 | Gross value-added by activity at basic prices (per cent): | |
| Gross domestic product per capita (euros) | 24 930 | Agriculture | 3.1 |
| Gross fixed investment as a per cent of GDP (current prices) | 19.5 | Industry | 22.2 |
| | | Construction | 4.3 |
| | | Services (excl. FISIM) | 70.4 |

GENERAL GOVERNMENT (2002)

| | |
|------------------------------------|------|
| ESA95 concept, as per cent of GDP: | |
| Current expenditure | 54.0 |
| Current revenue | 50.5 |
| Gross fixed investment | 3.3 |

FOREIGN TRADE (2002)

| | | | |
|---|------|---|------|
| Exports of goods and services (% of GDP) | 27.0 | Imports of goods and services (% of GDP) | 24.9 |
| Main exports as a percentage of total exports (SITC): | | Main imports as a percentage of total imports (SITC): | |
| Food, beverages and tobacco (0 + 1) | 12.8 | Food, beverages and tobacco (0 + 1) | 8.3 |
| Chemical products (5) | 15.2 | Chemical products (5) | 13.3 |
| Manufactured products (6 + 8 + 9) | 23.9 | Manufactured products (6 + 8 + 9) | 28.8 |
| Machinery and transport equipment (7) | 43.8 | Machinery and transport equipment (7) | 37.6 |

THE CURRENCY

| | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--------|
| Monetary unit: euro | | Currency unit per \$, average of daily figures: | |
| | | Year 2002 | 1.061 |
| | | April 2003 | 0.9199 |

This Survey is published on the responsibility of the Economic and Development Review Committee of the OECD, which is charged with the examination of the economic situation of member countries.

The economic situation and policies of France were reviewed by the Committee on 20 May 2003. The draft report was then revised in the light of the discussions and given final approval as the agreed report of the whole Committee on 26 June 2003.

The Secretariat's draft report was prepared for the Committee by Andrew Burns, Alessandro Goglio and Boris Cournède under the supervision of Yutaka Imai.

The previous Survey of France was issued in November 2001.



From:
OECD Economic Surveys: France 2003

Access the complete publication at:
https://doi.org/10.1787/eco_surveys-fra-2003-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2003), "Assessment and Recommendations", in *OECD Economic Surveys: France 2003*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/eco_surveys-fra-2003-2-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.