**Ukraine**

**Summary of key findings**

1. Consistent with the agreed methodology this first annual peer review covers: (i) the domestic legal and administrative framework, (ii) certain aspects of the exchange of information framework, as well as (iii) certain aspects of the confidentiality and appropriate use of CbC reports. Ukraine does not have a legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting. It is recommended that Ukraine finalise its domestic legal and administrative framework in relation to CbC requirements as soon as possible (taking into account its particular domestic legislative process) and put in place an exchange of information framework as well as measures to ensure appropriate use.

**Part A: Domestic legal and administrative framework**

2. Ukraine does not yet have a complete domestic legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting and thus does not implement CbC Reporting requirements for the 2016 fiscal year. It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to implement a domestic legal and administrative framework\(^1\) to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.

**Part B: Exchange of information framework**

3. Ukraine is a Party to the *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011) (signed on 27 May 2010, in force on 1 September 2013 and in effect for 2016). It is not a signatory to the CbC MCAA. As of 12 January 2018, Ukraine does not have bilateral relationships activated under the CbC MCAA. In respect of the terms of reference under review,\(^2\) it is recommended that Ukraine take steps to sign the CbC MCAA and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites. It is however noted that Ukraine will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Part C: Appropriate use**

4. Ukraine does not yet have measures in place relating to appropriate use.\(^3\) It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Ukraine will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.
Part A: The domestic legal and administrative framework

5. Part A assesses the domestic legal and administrative framework of the reviewed jurisdiction by reviewing the (a) parent entity filing obligation, (b) the scope and timing of parent entity filing, (c) the limitation on local filing obligation, (d) the limitation on local filing in case of surrogate filing and (e) the effective implementation of CbC Reporting.

6. Ukraine does not yet have legislation in place to implement the BEPS Action 13 minimum standard.

(a) Parent entity filing obligation

Summary of terms of reference: Introducing a CbC filing obligation which applies to Ultimate Parent Entities of MNE Groups above a certain threshold of revenue, whereby all required Constituent Entities of the MNE Group are included in the CbC report and no entity is excluded from CbC Reporting other than permitted (paragraph 8 (a) of the terms of reference).

(b) Scope and timing of parent entity filing

Summary of terms of reference: Providing that the filing of a CbC report by an Ultimate Parent Entity commences for a specific fiscal year; includes all of, and only, the information required; and occurs within a certain timeframe; and the rules and guidance issued on other aspects of filing requirements are consistent with, and do not circumvent, the minimum standard (paragraph 8 (b) of the terms of reference).

(c) Limitation on local filing obligation

Summary of terms of reference: If local filing requirements have been introduced, that such requirements may apply only to Constituent Entities which are tax residents in the reviewed jurisdiction, whereby the content of the CbC report does not contain more than that required from an Ultimate Parent Entity, whereby the reviewed jurisdiction meets the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use requirements, whereby local filing may only be required under certain conditions and whereby one Constituent Entity of an MNE Group in the reviewed jurisdiction is allowed to file the CbC report, satisfying the filing requirement of all other Constituent Entities in the reviewed jurisdiction (paragraph 8 (c) of the terms of reference).

(d) Limitation on local filing in case of surrogate filing

Summary of terms of reference: If local filing requirements have been introduced, that local filing will not be required when there is surrogate filing in another jurisdiction when certain conditions are met (paragraph 8 (d) of the terms of reference).
7. Ukraine does not yet have its legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting and thus does not implement CbC Reporting requirements for the 2016 fiscal year.

8. The steps for implementing new legislation in Ukraine are: (1) drafting legislation, (2) public discussion, (3) discussion within the Government, (4) approval by the Government, (5) submitting to the Parliament, (6) discussion within the Tax and Customs Committee of the Parliament, (7) first reading at the Parliament / voting / approval, (8) development of the draft law, (9) second reading at the Parliament / voting / approval, (10) submitting to the President for the signing and (11) signing by the President.

9. Ukraine states that in accordance with the information provided by the State Fiscal Service of Ukraine, there are about 100 MNE Groups, which could be considered as headquartered in Ukraine (i.e. place of management). Roughly, not more than ten of them comply with the threshold of EUR 750 million. There is no legislatively prescribed place of management test as well as mechanism for monitoring whether particular MNE is headquartered from Ukraine. State Fiscal Service performs such analysis according to its internal procedures based on the information, submitted by taxpayers (e.g. tax returns, financial statements, reports on beneficiary owners, etc.) and information available from open sources.

Conclusion

10. In respect of paragraph 8 of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017), Ukraine does not yet have a complete domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements on the Ultimate Parent Entity of an MNE Group that is resident for tax purposes in Ukraine. It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to implement a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.

Part B: The exchange of information framework

11. Part B assesses the exchange of information framework of the reviewed jurisdiction. For this first annual peer review process, this includes reviewing certain aspects of the exchange of information framework as specified in paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
12. Ukraine does not have a domestic, legal basis for the exchange of information in place. Ukraine is a Party to the *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011) (signed on 27 May 2010, in force on 1 September 2013 and in effect for 2016). It is not a signatory to the CbC MCAA. Ukraine does not report any Double Tax Agreements or Tax Information Exchange Agreements that allow Automatic Exchange of Information.

13. As of 12 January 2018, Ukraine does not yet have bilateral relationships activated under the CbC MCAA. It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to sign the CbC MCAA and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites. It is however noted that Ukraine will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Conclusion**

14. In respect of the terms of reference under review, it is recommended that Ukraine take steps to sign the CbC MCAA and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites. It is however noted that Ukraine will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Part C: Appropriate use**

15. Part C assesses the compliance of the reviewed jurisdiction with the appropriate use condition. For this first annual peer review process, this includes reviewing certain aspects of appropriate use.

---

**Summary of terms of reference:**

(a) having in place mechanisms (such as legal or administrative measures) to ensure CbC reports which are received through exchange of information or by way of local filing are only used to assess high-level transfer pricing risks and other BEPS-related risks, and, where appropriate, for economic and statistical analysis; and cannot be used as a substitute for a detailed transfer pricing analysis of individual transactions and prices based on a full functional analysis and a full comparability analysis; and are not used on their own as conclusive evidence that transfer prices are or are not appropriate; and are not used to make adjustments of income of any taxpayer on the basis of an allocation formula (paragraphs 12 (a) of the terms of reference).

16. Ukraine does not yet have measures in place relating to appropriate use. It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Ukraine will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Conclusion**

17. It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Ukraine will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.
Summary of recommendations on the implementation of Country-by-Country Reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of the implementation that should be improved</th>
<th>Recommendation for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part A Domestic legal and administrative framework</td>
<td>It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to implement a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part B Exchange of information framework</td>
<td>It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to sign the CbC MCAA and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part C Appropriate use</td>
<td>It is recommended that Ukraine take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

1 Paragraph 8 of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
2 Paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
3 Paragraph 12 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
4 The « summary of terms of reference » is provided to facilitate the reading of the report. Reference should be made to the exact wording of the terms of reference published in February 2017 (OECD, 2017).
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