Panama

Summary of key findings

1. Consistent with the agreed methodology this first annual peer review covers: (i) the domestic legal and administrative framework, (ii) certain aspects of the exchange of information framework, as well as (iii) certain aspects of the confidentiality and appropriate use of CbC reports. Panama does not have a legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting. It is recommended that Panama finalise its domestic legal and administrative framework in relation to CbC requirements as soon as possible (taking into account its particular domestic legislative process) and put in place an exchange of information framework as well as measures to ensure appropriate use.

Part A: Domestic legal and administrative framework

2. Panama does not have a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements on the Ultimate Parent Entity of an MNE Group that is resident for tax purposes in Panama. It is recommended that Panama take steps to implement a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.

Part B: Exchange of information framework

3. Panama is a Party to the Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011) (signed on 27 October 2016, in force on 1 July 2017 and thus not in effect for 2016). It is not a signatory to the CbC MCAA. As of 12 January 2018, Panama does not yet have bilateral relationships activated under the CbC MCAA. In respect of the terms of reference under review, it is recommended that Panama take steps to put in place a domestic, legal basis for the exchange of information as soon as possible, taking into account its legislative process, enable exchanges of CbC reports relating to the fiscal years for which the reporting requirements will apply and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites. It is however noted that Panama will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

Part C: Appropriate use

4. In respect of the terms of reference under review, Panama does not yet have measures in place relating to appropriate use. It is recommended that Panama take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Panama will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.
Part A: The domestic legal and administrative framework

5. Part A assesses the domestic legal and administrative framework of the reviewed jurisdiction by reviewing the (a) parent entity filing obligation, (b) the scope and timing of parent entity filing, (c) the limitation on local filing obligation, (d) the limitation on local filing in case of surrogate filing and (e) the effective implementation of CbC Reporting.

6. Panama does not have legislation in place to implement the BEPS Action 13 minimum standard.

   (a) Parent entity filing obligation

   Summary of terms of reference: Introducing a CbC filing obligation which applies to Ultimate Parent Entities of MNE Groups above a certain threshold of revenue, whereby all required Constituent Entities of the MNE Group are included in the CbC report and no entity is excluded from CbC Reporting other than permitted (paragraph 8 (a) of the terms of reference).

   (b) Scope and timing of parent entity filing

   Summary of terms of reference: Providing that the filing of a CbC report by an Ultimate Parent Entity commences for a specific fiscal year; includes all of, and only, the information required; and occurs within a certain timeframe; and the rules and guidance issued on other aspects of filing requirements are consistent with, and do not circumvent, the minimum standard (paragraph 8 (b) of the terms of reference).

   (c) Limitation on local filing obligation

   Summary of terms of reference: If local filing requirements have been introduced, that such requirements may apply only to Constituent Entities which are tax residents in the reviewed jurisdiction, whereby the content of the CbC report does not contain more than that required from an Ultimate Parent Entity, whereby the reviewed jurisdiction meets the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use requirements, whereby local filing may only be required under certain conditions and whereby one Constituent Entity of an MNE Group in the reviewed jurisdiction is allowed to file the CbC report, satisfying the filing requirement of all other Constituent Entities in the reviewed jurisdiction (paragraph 8 (c) of the terms of reference).

   (d) Limitation on local filing in case of surrogate filing

   Summary of terms of reference: If local filing requirements have been introduced, that local filing will not be required when there is surrogate filing in another jurisdiction when certain conditions are met (paragraph 8 (d) of the terms of reference).
(e) Effective implementation

Summary of terms of reference: Providing for enforcement provisions and monitoring relating to CbC Reporting’s effective implementation including having mechanisms to enforce compliance by Ultimate Parent Entities and Surrogate Parent Entities, applying these mechanisms effectively, and determining the number of Ultimate Parent Entities and Surrogate Parent Entities which have filed, and the number of Constituent Entities which have filed in case of local filing (paragraph 8 (e) of the terms of reference).

7. Panama does not yet have its legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting. It did not indicate whether it intended to implement CbC Reporting requirements for the 2016 fiscal year.

8. Panama reports that the status of the legislation process is in an early stage. Panama confirms its intention to comply with the terms of reference as set out in the Action 13 guidance.

Conclusion

9. In respect of paragraph 8 of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017), Panama does not yet have a complete domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements on the Ultimate Parent Entity of an MNE Group that is resident for tax purposes in Panama. It is recommended that Panama take steps to implement a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible.

Part B: The exchange of information framework

10. Part B assesses the exchange of information framework of the reviewed jurisdiction. For this first annual peer review process, this includes reviewing certain aspects of the exchange of information framework as specified in paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).

Summary of terms of reference: within the context of the exchange of information agreements in effect of the reviewed jurisdiction, having QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites (paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference).

11. Panama does not have a domestic, legal basis for the exchange of information in place. Panama is a Party to the Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011) (signed on 27 October 2016, in force on 1 July 2017). This means that Panama will not be able to exchange (either send or receive) CbC reports with respect to the 2016 and 2017 fiscal years under the Convention and CbC MCAA.

12. Panama has not signed the CbC MCAA and does not have Qualifying Competent Authority Agreements (QCAAs) in effect. As of 12 January 2018, Panama does not yet have bilateral relationships activated under the CbC MCAA.
13. It is recommended that Panama take steps to enable exchanges of CbC reports, in particular:

- bringing the Convention into force as soon as possible e.g. lodging a Unilateral Declaration in order to align the effective date of the Convention with first intended exchanges of CbC reports under the CbC MCAA, as permitted under paragraph 6 of Article 28 of the Convention),
- signing the CbC MCAA and have QCAAs in effect.

14. It is however noted that Panama will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

15. Panama notes that it is aware of the absence of an administrative framework for the exchange of information. Panama indicates that it is currently making efforts to implement the domestic legal framework.

**Conclusion**

16. In respect of paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017), Panama does not have an exchange of information framework that allows Automatic Exchange of Information. It is recommended that Panama take steps to put in place a legal domestic basis for the exchange of information as soon as possible, taking into account its legislative process, enable exchanges of CbC reports relating to the fiscal years for which the reporting requirements will apply and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites. It is however noted that Panama will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Part C: Appropriate use**

17. Part C assesses the compliance of the reviewed jurisdiction with the appropriate use conditions. For this first annual peer review process, this includes reviewing certain aspects of appropriate use.

Summary of terms of reference: (a) having in place mechanisms (such as legal or administrative measures) to ensure CbC reports which are received through exchange of information or by way of local filing are only used to assess high-level transfer pricing risks and other BEPS-related risks, and, where appropriate, for economic and statistical analysis; and cannot be used as a substitute for a detailed transfer pricing analysis of individual transactions and prices based on a full functional analysis and a full comparability analysis; and are not used on their own as conclusive evidence that transfer prices are or are not appropriate; and are not used to make adjustments of income of any taxpayer on the basis of an allocation formula (paragraphs 12 (a) of the terms of reference).

18. Panama does not yet have measures in place relating to appropriate use. It is recommended that Panama take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Panama will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.
Conclusion

19. It is recommended that Panama take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Panama will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.
Summary of recommendations on the implementation of Country-by-Country Reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of the implementation that should be improved</th>
<th>Recommendation for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part A Domestic legal and administrative framework</td>
<td>It is recommended that Panama take steps to implement a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part B Exchange of information framework</td>
<td>It is recommended that Panama take steps to put in place a domestic, legal basis for the exchange of information as soon as possible, taking into account its legislative process, enable exchanges of CbC reports relating to the fiscal years for which the reporting requirements will apply and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part C Appropriate use</td>
<td>It is recommended that Panama take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

1 Paragraph 8 of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
2 Paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
3 Paragraphs 12 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
4 The « summary of terms of reference » is provided to facilitate the reading of the report. Reference should be made to the exact wording of the terms of reference published in February 2017 (OECD, 2017).
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