Angola

Summary of key findings

1. Consistent with the agreed methodology this first annual peer review covers: (i) the domestic legal and administrative framework, (ii) certain aspects of the exchange of information framework as well as (iii) certain aspects of the confidentiality and appropriate use of CbC reports. Angola does not have a legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting. It is recommended that Angola take steps to finalise its domestic legal and administrative framework in relation to CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process and put in place an exchange of information framework as well as measures to ensure appropriate use.

Part A: Domestic legal and administrative framework

2. Angola does not have legislation in place for implementing the BEPS Action 13 minimum standard. Angola indicates that The Large Taxpayer legislation is being amended to meet the objectives required for the CbC Reporting implementation and that legislation relating to CbC Reporting requirements is currently at the early stages of drafting. At this time, Angola estimates that the legislation will come into effect by the end of the first semester of 2019. It is recommended that Angola take steps to finalise the domestic legal and administrative framework\(^1\) to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.

Part B: Exchange of information framework

3. Angola currently does not have a network for exchange of information in effect which would allow for Automatic Exchange of Information for CbC Reporting. Angola is not a Party to the Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011) (the “Convention”) and it has not signed the CbC MCAA. As of 12 January 2018, Angola does not have bilateral relationships activated under the CbC MCAA. With respect to the terms of reference relating to the exchange of information framework aspects under review for this first annual peer review process,\(^2\) it is recommended that Angola take steps to have in force the Convention and also have Qualifying Competent Authority agreements in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework that meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use conditions. It is however noted that Angola will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

Part C: Appropriate use

4. In respect of the terms of reference under review,\(^3\) Angola does not yet have measures in place relating to appropriate use. It is recommended that Angola take steps to
ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Angola will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

Part A: The domestic legal and administrative framework

5. Part A assesses the domestic legal and administrative framework of the reviewed jurisdiction by reviewing the (a) parent entity filing obligation, (b) the scope and timing of parent entity filing, (c) the limitation on local filing obligation, (d) the limitation on local filing in case of surrogate filing and (e) the effective implementation.

6. Angola does not yet have legislation in place to implement the BEPS Action 13 minimum standard.

(a) Parent entity filing obligation

Summary of terms of reference: Introducing a CbC filing obligation which applies to Ultimate Parent Entities of MNE Groups above a certain threshold of revenue, whereby all required Constituent Entities of the MNE Group are included in the CbC report and no entity is excluded from CbC Reporting other than permitted (paragraph 8 (a) of the terms of reference).

(b) Scope and timing of parent entity filing

Summary of terms of reference: Providing that the filing of a CbC report by an Ultimate Parent Entity commences for a specific fiscal year; includes all of, and only, the information required; and occurs within a certain timeframe; and the rules and guidance issued on other aspects of filing requirements are consistent with, and do not circumvent, the minimum standard (paragraph 8 (b) of the terms of reference).

(c) Limitation on local filing obligation

Summary of terms of reference: If local filing requirements have been introduced, that such requirements may apply only to Constituent Entities which are tax residents in the reviewed jurisdiction, whereby the content of the CbC report does not contain more than that required from an Ultimate Parent Entity, whereby the reviewed jurisdiction meets the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use requirements, whereby local filing may only be required under certain conditions and whereby one Constituent Entity of an MNE Group in the reviewed jurisdiction is allowed to file the CbC report, satisfying the filing requirement of all other Constituent Entities in the reviewed jurisdiction (paragraph 8 (c) of the terms of reference).

(d) Limitation on local filing in case of surrogate filing

Summary of terms of reference: If local filing requirements have been introduced, that local filing will not be required when there is surrogate filing in another jurisdiction when certain conditions are met (paragraph 8 (d) of the terms of reference).
(e) Effective implementation

Summary of terms of reference: Providing for enforcement provisions and monitoring relating to CbC Reporting’s effective implementation including having mechanisms to enforce compliance by Ultimate Parent Entities and Surrogate Parent Entities, applying these mechanisms effectively, and determining the number of Ultimate Parent Entities and Surrogate Parent Entities which have filed, and the number of Constituent Entities which have filed in case of local filing (paragraph 8 (e) of the terms of reference).

7. Angola does not yet have its legal and administrative framework in place to implement CbC Reporting. Angola does not intend to implement CbC Reporting requirements for the 2016 fiscal year.

8. Angola indicates that in 2016, Angola started a revision process of the Transfer Pricing rules within its Large Taxpayers legislation to meet the objectives required for the CbC Reporting requirements. The CbC legislation is currently at the early stages of drafting. Angola also indicated the following timeline for the implementation of the CbC legislation:

- Drafting of the legislation is expected to be finalised in the first trimester of 2018;
- Public discussion, and stakeholders consulting (taxpayers, pressure groups), is expected to be in the second trimester of 2018;
- Submission before parliament in the third trimester of 2018;
- Legislation is expected to be published in the first trimester of 2019;
- Legislation for CbC Reporting is expected to come into effect by the end of the first semester of 2019.

Conclusion

9. In respect of paragraph 8 of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017), Angola has not yet implemented a domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC Reporting requirements on MNE Groups whose Ultimate Parent Entity is resident for tax purposes in Angola. It is recommended that Angola take steps to finalise the domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.

Part B: The exchange of information framework

10. Part B assesses the exchange of information framework of the reviewed jurisdiction. For this first annual peer review process, this includes reviewing certain aspects of the exchange of information network as specified in paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).

Summary of terms of reference: within the context of the exchange of information agreements in effect of the reviewed jurisdiction, having QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites (paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference).
11. Angola does not have domestic legislation in place that permits the automatic exchange of CbC reports. Angola has not signed the *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011). This means that Angola will not be able to exchange (either send or receive) CbC reports under the Convention and the CbC MCAA.

12. Angola has not signed the CbC MCAA. As of 12 January 2018, Angola does not yet have bilateral relationships activated under the CbC MCAA. It is recommended that Angola take steps to sign the Convention and the CbC MCAA and have Qualifying Competent Authority agreements in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework that meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use conditions. It is however noted that Angola will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Conclusion**

13. In respect of the terms of reference under review, it is recommended that Angola take steps to sign the Convention and the CbC MCAA and have QCAAs in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework which meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use prerequisites. It is however noted that Angola will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Part C: Appropriate use**

14. Part C assesses the compliance of the reviewed jurisdiction with the appropriate use condition. For this first annual peer review process, this includes reviewing certain aspects of appropriate use.

Summary of terms of reference: (a) having in place mechanisms (such as legal or administrative measures) to ensure CbC reports which are received through exchange of information or by way of local filing are only used to assess high-level transfer pricing risks and other BEPS-related risks, and, where appropriate, for economic and statistical analysis; and cannot be used as a substitute for a detailed transfer pricing analysis of individual transactions and prices based on a full functional analysis and a full comparability analysis; and are not used on their own as conclusive evidence that transfer prices are or are not appropriate; and are not used to make adjustments of income of any taxpayer on the basis of an allocation formula (paragraphs 12 (a) of the terms of reference).

15. Angola does not yet have measures in place relating to appropriate use. It is recommended that Angola take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Angola will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.

**Conclusion**

16. It is recommended that Angola take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of information. It is however noted that Angola will not be exchanging CbC reports in 2018.
Summary of recommendations on the implementation of Country-by-Country Reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect of the implementation that should be improved</th>
<th>Recommendation for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part A Domestic legal and administrative framework</td>
<td>It is recommended that Angola finalise its domestic legal and administrative framework to impose and enforce CbC requirements as soon as possible, taking into account its particular domestic legislative process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part B Exchange of information framework</td>
<td>It is recommended that Angola sign the Convention and the CbC MCAA and also take steps to have Qualifying Competent Authority agreements in effect with jurisdictions of the Inclusive Framework that meet the confidentiality, consistency and appropriate use conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part C Appropriate use</td>
<td>It is recommended that Angola take steps to ensure that the appropriate use condition is met ahead of the first exchanges of CbC reports.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

1 Paragraph 8 of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
2 Paragraph 9 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
3 Paragraph 12 (a) of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017).
4 The « summary of terms of reference » is provided to facilitate the reading of the report. Reference should be made to the exact wording of the terms of reference published in February 2017 (OECD, 2017).
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