

FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY LAW

by
Christoph G. Paulus*

1) What has been achieved so far

For centuries, jurisdictions followed the so called territoriality principle; *i.e.* they closed their doors to foreign administrators (and creditors as well) and did not allow their law to reach out beyond the borders of their respective jurisdictions.

In the nineties, the UNCITRAL model law on trans-border insolvency was proposed. At present, it has been adopted by ten jurisdictions. A number of other jurisdictions plan to do adopt it in the future, or draft their own cross-border law based on the model. These jurisdictions represent both common law and civil law countries. Thus, it is a proper starting point for any jurisdiction to have its own cross-border insolvency law be identical to or modelled after the UNCITRAL proposal.

2) Deficiencies and ambiguities

However, it does not come as a surprise that developments in this field did not stop with the model law. The more this law and its principles are used in practice, the more it will become obvious that there are deficiencies and ambiguities that need to be addressed.

At this point, it is worth pointing out a phenomenon that proved to be quite influential in the development of cross-border insolvency law, namely, a certain regionalisation. Starting as early as the end of the 19th century, some Latin American countries entered into treaties dealing with cross-border issues. The first was the Montevideo Treaty, which was specified some decades later by the second Montevideo Treaty. In between these dates, a number of other Latin American and Caribbean countries developed still another treaty, commonly known as the Bustamante Code.

Other regions followed, admittedly, a considerable time later. Thus, the member states of the European Union developed the European Insolvency Regulation in the late nineties. At about the same time, some 16 states in central Africa formed a group of common law jurisdictions called OHADA and, finally, the members of NAFTA developed the ALI project which brings both common law and civil law jurisdictions under one roof.

These regional undertakings go further than the UNCITRAL model law. This is no surprise as these regions share closer ties among members than they do with the rest of the world. Therefore, the mutual trust in each others' systems is greater. It might, therefore,

* World Bank.

appear that regionalisation would be a driving force in cross-border insolvency law. However, the achievements of these regional efforts, increasingly, appear to have deficiencies.

3) Consequences

What can be learned from the development of cross-border insolvency law as described so far? The least one can say is that regionalisation is a recognised way of developing cross-border insolvency law, and that some regions seem to have sufficient economic, political and cultural commonalities to set up treaties through which they may proceed on their own path.

Thus, if some countries in Asia (if not all) can find commonalities that justify the development of their own regional cross-border insolvency law, much can be learned from the deficiencies of existing model laws and regional treaties.

Almost paradigmatic is one of the most intricate problems in cross-border insolvency law, namely, the insolvency of groups. It is “solved” as of today by means of the term “centre of main interests” (*cf.* Model Law, EIR, and, to a certain degree, the OHADA legislation). There is, nevertheless, a far-reaching consensus that this solution is far from satisfying, and the European Court of Justice has just (2 May 2006) decided accordingly.

Therefore, what needs to be found is a more precise determination of where a main proceeding is to be opened. One possibility would be to request a determination from group companies themselves at the time when the group is formed through obligatory registration. For those to whom this appears an unwelcome invitation to forum shop, one could look for other more objective criteria that might determine the place where a group insolvency proceeding should be handled.

A further problem is the splitting of one main proceeding into several secondary proceedings. As a compensation for the loss of efficiency, the present rules provide for co-operation duties. However, what appears to be an attractive solution proves, in practice, to be a stumbling block. The problem of co-operation increases to the degree to which it is possible to open secondary proceedings. As a result of a political compromise, the present rules in the said treaties have quite a low threshold for such opening, namely, an establishment as a combination of an asset plus human means.

Possible solutions that could be tested in a new regional treaty are, for example, to heighten this threshold by increasing the requirements that need to be met in order to open a secondary proceeding, or providing an exclusive right to file a petition to open a secondary proceeding to the debtor and the administrator of the main proceeding, or to require this administrator’s consent to a petition of a creditor.

One final example of the said deficiencies is that the highly influential European regulation is designed exclusively for its member states. All third countries outside the territory (as covered by the member states) are excluded and ignored. One might thus say that this legislative piece adheres to a territoriality principle on a higher level. The consequence thereof is that each member state has its own cross-border insolvency law with regard to third countries—the German one, *e.g.* modelled after the rules of the regulation, and the English one modelled after the UNCITRAL model law.

Looking at this example, it would be advisable to avoid a “closed-shop approach” and to provide an opening opportunity for the rest of the world or, at least, interested new members of the regional neighbourhood right from the outset.

4) Outlook

In this way, the regions of the world can add their experience, knowledge and imagination to the further development of cross-border insolvency law. As each region has its own historical, economic and legal background, such multilateral approaches will ensure that the future cross-border law will enjoy general acceptance and application.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	7
--------------------------------	---

Part I

Trends and Developments in Insolvency Systems in Asia


Lawmaking and Institution Building in Asian Insolvency Reforms: Between Global Norms and National Circumstances <i>by</i> Terence C. Halliday	17
Notes	42
Comparative Overview of Asian Insolvency Reforms in the Last Decade <i>by</i> Soogeun Oh.....	51
Notes	71
Insolvency Laws in South Asia: Recent Trends and Developments <i>by</i> Sumant Batra	75
Notes	102
Indonesian Bankruptcy Law: An Update <i>by</i> Subianta Mandala.....	103
Issues Arising in the Cross-Border Insolvency of Groups of Companies in Japan <i>by</i> Shinjiro Takagi.....	109
Latest Trends and Developments in Insolvency Law in Nepal <i>by</i> Bharat Raj Upreti	113
Notes	118
Asian Insolvency Systems: The Thai Perspective <i>by</i> Pairoj Vongvipanond	119
Lessons Learned: Bankruptcy Reform in Thailand <i>by</i> Wisit Wisitsora-At	133
An Update on Non-Performing Loans Resolution and Banking Reform in Viet Nam <i>by</i> Hoang Tien Loi	139
Notes	143

Part II

Trends and Developments in Insolvency Systems in China and Hong Kong, China

The Court's Role in Enterprise Bankruptcy Proceedings and Restructuring in China <i>by</i> Song Xiaoming	147
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Issues in the Acceptance of Bankruptcy Cases by Chinese Courts	
<i>by</i> Yu Junfu and Chen Dong.....	151
Notes.....	168
The Order of Payment of Workers' Claims and Security Interests under China's New Bankruptcy Law	
<i>by</i> Wang Weiguo	177
Notes.....	185
Creditor Participation in China and Hong Kong, China	
<i>by</i> Alan C.W. Tang.....	187
Developing a Regulatory Framework for Outsourcing of Insolvency Work in Hong Kong, China	
<i>by</i> Xianchu Zhang.....	193
Notes.....	202
<i>Part III</i>	
International Trends and Developments in Insolvency Systems	
Creditor Participation in Insolvency Proceedings	
<i>by</i> Roman Tomasic	209
Notes.....	219
Insolvency and Social Protection: Employee Entitlements in the Event of Employer Insolvency	
<i>by</i> Gordon W. Johnson.....	223
Notes.....	232
<i>Annex</i> Insolvency and Social Protection: Entitlements in the Event of Employer Insolvency	235
Weaving the Safety Net for an Aging World: Lessons Learned from the Pension and Insolvency Systems of the US, the UK, and Germany	
<i>by</i> James H.M. Sprayregen and James J. Mazza.....	247
Notes.....	252
Future Developments in Cross-Border Insolvency Law	
<i>by</i> Christoph G. Paulus	255
The Bankruptcy Criteria and Priority of Claims: An International Comparison of Insolvency Laws	
<i>by</i> Wang Huaiyu	259
<i>Annex</i>	262
Opportunities and Pitfalls in Emerging Market Restructurings: A Strategic Perspective	
<i>by</i> Steven T. Kargman	267
Notes.....	279



From:
Asian Insolvency Systems: Closing the Implementation Gap

Access the complete publication at:
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264038332-en>

Please cite this chapter as:

Paulus, Christoph G. (2007), "Future developments in cross-border insolvency law", in OECD, *Asian Insolvency Systems: Closing the Implementation Gap*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264038332-19-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.