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Changes in the way education is delivered has contributed to the evolution of school architecture in Zurich, Switzerland. The City of Zurich has revised its guidelines for designing school buildings, both new and old. Adapting older buildings to today’s needs presents a particular challenge. The authors explain what makes up a good school building and provide a set of design recommendations.

Over the last 20 years, the City of Zurich has experienced a boom in school building construction. The demand for new buildings and the refurbishment of old ones was prompted by growing neighbourhoods and by education’s changing requirements. Since 1998, Zurich has built 4 large schools and has renovated or extended 15 others.

State-of-the-art classroom with skylight – a modern, mobile interior where students learn individually and the teacher acts as their coach.
THE NEED FOR CHANGE

In the mid 1990s, calls for reform began to shake the public school system – the Volksschule – in a manner unprecedented in its 175 year existence. Modern teaching was becoming more individualised, allowing each child to learn at his or her own pace, while group learning and activities involving more than one class were increasing. The teacher was no longer the sole master in his or her classroom, but was expected to work in a team. As innovative educators began practicing integrative, individual and multi-faceted forms of teaching, they found that the walls within which they worked were becoming a hindrance. In addition, the demand for day-care facilities experienced a dramatic increase.

Faced with both a building boom and new needs for school users, the City of Zurich modernised its guidelines for school construction. The new guidelines lay out a planning process which involves school authorities, school administrators, building authorities and, since 2002, the newly founded Public Real Estate Agency. For each building project, open design competitions are organised.

One of the first projects to be commissioned under the new guidelines was Im Birch Comprehensive School in Oerlikon. Of the three teams qualified for the second round in the architectural competition, only one actually thought out the project from an educator’s view, adapted their design accordingly and offered an elegant solution. To allow for a more flexible use of space, architect Peter Märkli proposed classroom clusters with a common foyer shared by a number of classes for group activities and interclass co-operation.

The inauguration of Im Birch school in 2004 was marked by an international symposium on and an exhibition presenting 31 cutting-edge school buildings from Switzerland, as well as from Denmark, Finland, Germany and the Netherlands. The symposium demonstrated that many countries face similar architectural challenges and that more flexible room arrangements and open-space designs are replacing the traditional corridor-type school building designs.

---

THE CHALLENGE

Until the mid 1950s, a Zurich school consisted basically of a hallway with a string of rooms latched onto it. Inside each room, one teacher would teach one class. Occasionally, the class would go to the gymnasium, to the auditorium, to the cooking-classroom or to a workshop. After the 1950s, more and more specialised rooms such as the library and laboratories were added. As teaching methods changed, group learning areas were required. Then day-care rooms were needed. And finally offices for the school administrators, workspace for part-time or specialist teachers, and rooms for music and therapy (speech therapy and other remedial training) were included. However, school corridors in Zurich were frequently used as learning space with flagrant disregard for fire regulations, while group learning rooms were neglected.

The problem had changed. Group learning areas as separate rooms require a second teacher to keep order. This negates the integrative effect of team-teaching. If the group learning area is adjacent to a classroom, it soon turns into an extension of the classroom and is thus no longer accessible to other teachers, counteracting any attempt at interclass co-operation.

Zurich’s 1999 cantonal guidelines for primary and lower secondary schools allow one group learning area per two classes. At upper secondary level there is one group learning area per class. This causes problems in that on the one hand the flexibility of use is limited and on the other the spatial solution does not solve the operational problem.

The City of Zurich has reacted by defining a new concept. Instead of separate group learning rooms, the new norm is bigger classrooms with integrated group learning space and a small number of separate rooms. The latter are assigned to music, therapy and other specialist teachers and are thus well booked.

A GOOD SCHOOL BUILDING

What makes a good school building? The most important aspects are the flexibility and adaptability of spaces. School buildings must be able to change with the evolving demands placed on them by their users. Buildings from the late 19th century, designed for classes of 60 to 70 children, often have huge classrooms measuring 90 to 100 m$^2$. Wide corridors were built to permit the flow of students.

Reforms of the 1930s and 1950s demanded smaller, more intimate classrooms, reducing class sizes to 20 to 30 students. Functionalist architects promoted pavilion schools, where each class had its own cozy 69 m$^2$ of space. Corridors and stairwells were reduced to the minimum, making these buildings extremely compact. Today, however, these tailor-made solutions have proven to be a burden. Modern teaching methods require more and more flexible spaces: computer workspaces, spaces for group learning, day-care centres and administrative offices.

The old school buildings with their oversized rooms have proven more adaptable to modern requirements than modern functionalist pavilion types, as they often permit repartitioning of their spaces.

From a real estate point of view, the value of a building is defined by its usability. Of course, a school building also has financial, architectural and cultural value. But if it can no longer fulfil its function, it must be either adapted or put to a different use. In the worst instance, it must be replaced.
Since educational concepts are subject to change, a sustainable school building must not only be functional and robust, but also adaptable to changing requirements. In order to be adaptable, those responsible for school architecture need to respect the following demands:

1. Design classrooms as polyvalent spaces which include an integral group working area.
2. Keep the load-bearing structure separate from the finishes to enable rearranging walls.
3. Design utilities and technical installation with easy access and enough space to permit later additions in elevator shafts.
4. Design access in such a way that the gymnasium, auditorium, day-care and library can be used outside school hours without entering the classroom areas.
5. Minimise specialised fittings and infrastructure. Define the use of the space by furniture alone as much as possible.
6. Make access spaces (corridors) usable for the school. By separating daily access spaces from fire escapes, these areas can serve for interclass co-operation, exhibitions, presentations and group learning.
7. Concentrate and minimise specialised spaces (e.g. laboratories, school kitchens).

These recommendations have been applied both in Zurich at the Leutschenbach School (by Christian Keretz) and in Minneapolis (United States) at the Interdistrict Downtown School (by the Cuningham Group). The different fire-codes effect the design, but the principle remains the same: classrooms are ancillary to a common space so that exchange can take place. The US school has an even more radical open-space design than the Zurich one, doing away with walls between the classroom and the common space, and encouraging highly innovative and team-oriented teaching methods.
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CONCLUSIONS

School buildings are important not only as workspaces for teachers and children, but also as public buildings which mirror a period of time and thought. This is the case in Zurich, where the public school is an integral part of society and draws its strength from that fact. The Volksschule demonstrates innovative architecture reflecting its history, its adaptability and, above all, belief in its future.
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