
## Annex C: IHP+Results Scorecard Summary of Development Partner and **Country Government Performance**

Indicator	Standard performance measure	AusAID	Belgium	EC	GAVI	GFATM	Netherlands	Norway	Spain	Sweden	UK	UNAIDS	UNFPA	UNICEF	WHO	World Bank
1DP	Partner has signed commitment to (or documented support for) the IHP+ country compact, or equivalent agreement, where they exist.	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>/</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	✓	<b>→</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>V</b>	<u></u>
2DPa	Percent of aid flows to the health sector that is reported on national health sector budgets.		1	<b>→</b>	?	<b>~</b>	!		<b>✓</b>		$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	1		$\rightarrow$	
2DPb	Percent of current capacity-development support provided through co-ordinated programmes consistent with national plans/strategies for the health sector.	?	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	
2DPc	Percent of health sector aid provided as programme based approaches.		<b>✓</b>		$\checkmark$	<u></u>	<b>✓</b>		$\rightarrow$		$\rightarrow$	<u></u>	!	<u></u>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
3DP	Percent of health sector aid provided through multi-year commitments.	$\rightarrow$	$\checkmark$	<u></u>	$\checkmark$		<b>✓</b>	V	1		$\checkmark$		V		1	V
4DP	Percent of health sector aid disbursements released according to agreed schedules in annual or multi-year frameworks.	<b>→</b>	?	<b>V</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	!		<b>√</b>		<b>V</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
5DPa	Percent of health sector aid that uses country procurement systems.	V	$\checkmark$	1		<u></u>	<b>√</b>		$\checkmark$	V	$\rightarrow$	?	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	!	
5DPb	Percent of health sector aid that uses public financial management systems.		$\checkmark$		?	<u></u>	<b>✓</b>	1	V		<b>✓</b>	?	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	1	
5DPc	Number of parallel project implementation units (pius) per country.		!	$\rightarrow$			<b>✓</b>	1	V		$\rightarrow$		✓		<b>✓</b>	
6DP	Partner uses the single national performance assessment framework, where they exist, as the primary basis to assess progress (of support to health sector)		$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	!	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	<b>✓</b>	
7DP	Partner has participated in mutual assessment of progress implementing commitments in the health sector, including on aid effectiveness, if a mutual assessment process exists.		$\rightarrow$	<b>✓</b>	!	=	<b>✓</b>		<b>→</b>		V		$\rightarrow$	<b>~</b>	$\rightarrow$	
8DP	Evidence of support for civil society to be actively represented in health sector policy processes – including health sector planning, co-ordination and review mechanisms.		$\rightarrow$	<b>&gt;</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	<b>✓</b>		$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>		$\rightarrow$	<b>&gt;</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>→</b>

		Faso	13		1			due			
Indicator	Standard performance measure	Burkina	Burundi	DRC	Djibouti	Ethiopia	Mali	Mozambique	Nepal	Niger	Nigeria
1G	IHP+Compact or equivalent mutual agreement in place.		$\checkmark$	!	$\rightarrow$	<b>✓</b>	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	V	$\rightarrow$
2Ga1	National Health Sector Plans/Strategy in place with current targets and budgets.		<b>✓</b>		<b>V</b>	<u></u>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>/</b>
2Ga	National Health Sector Plans/Strategy in place with current targets and budgets that have been jointly assessed.		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		V		<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>
3G	Proportion of public funding allocated to health.	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	?	$\rightarrow$	1	I		$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	1
4G	Proportion of public funding disbursed against the approved annual budget.		1	?	<b>✓</b>	?		$\rightarrow$	<b>✓</b>	1	<b>✓</b>
5Ga	Public Financial Management systems for the health sector either (a) adhere to broadly accepted good practices or (b) have a reform programme in place to achieve these.	<u></u>	V	1	1	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<u></u>	!	<u></u>	!
5Gb	Country Procurement systems for the health sector either (a) adhere to broadly accepted good practices or (b) have a reform programme in place to achieve these.		?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
6G	An agreed transparent and monitorable performance assessment framework is being used to assess progress in the health sector.		<b>√</b>	1	1	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<u></u>	<b>√</b>	V	1
7G	Mutual Assessments, such as Joint Annual Health Sector Reviews, have been made of progress implementing commitments in the health sector, including on aid effectiveness.		<b>✓</b>	<u></u>	!	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b></b>	<b>✓</b>	<u></u>	$\rightarrow$
8G	Evidence that Civil Society is actively represented in health sector policy processes – including Health Sector planning, co-ordination and review mechanisms.		<b>✓</b>		?		<b>√</b>	?	<b>✓</b>	<b></b>	?

Source: IHP+Results Annual Progress Report 2011



#### From:

# Aid Effectiveness in the Health Sector Progress and Lessons

### Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264178014-en

### Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2012), "Annex C: IHP+Results Scorecard Summary of Development Partner and Country Government Performance", in *Aid Effectiveness in the Health Sector: Progress and Lessons*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264178014-15-en

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <a href="http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions">http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions</a>.

