### **Foreword**

This report is the third of a new series of publications reviewing the quality of health care across selected OECD countries. As health costs continue to climb, policy makers increasingly face the challenge of ensuring that substantial spending on health is delivering value for money. At the same time, concerns about patients occasionally receiving poor quality health care led to demands for greater transparency and accountability. Despite this, there is still considerable uncertainty over which policies work best in delivering health care that is safe, effective and provides a good patient experience, and which quality-improvement strategies can help deliver the best care at the least cost. OECD Reviews of Health Care Quality seek to highlight and support the development of better policies to improve quality in health care, to help ensure that the substantial resources devoted to health are being used effectively in supporting people to live healthier lives.

This report seeks to provide constructive advice to further the efforts of Danish authorities, informed by the experience of OECD countries at large. Among OECD countries, Denmark has led the way in monitoring and policy development for quality of care. As several sophisticated quality assurance mechanisms have been implemented over several decades, particularly in the secondary care sector, the next priority for Denmark is to ensure overarching linkages across institutions. Efforts ought especially to focus on creating a unified vision, and extending quality monitoring and improvement initiatives to primary care, particularly in light of the increasing number of people living with multiple chronic conditions and needing good continuity of care in the community sector. Another important area will be to support the hospital specialisation reform by encouraging inter-hospital comparisons based on quality. Last, Denmark's commitment to addressing inequalities in access to health care and utilisation is commendable; with an excellent data infrastructure, there is a potential for better monitoring inequalities in health and health care and acting to address them

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This report was managed and co-ordinated by Francesca Colombo and Ian Forde. The other authors of this report are Emily Hewlett, Niek Klazinga and Ankit Kumar. The authors wish to thank John Martin, Stefano Scarpetta and Mark Pearson from the OECD Secretariat for their comments and suggestions. Thanks also go to Marlène Mohier and Nathalie Bienvenu for their tireless editing and to Judy Zinnemann for assistance.

The completion of this report would not have been possible without the generous support of Danish authorities. This report has benefited from the expertise and material received from many health officials, health professionals, and health experts that the OECD review team met during a mission to Denmark in September 2012. These included officials from the Ministry of Health and Danish regions, Region Zealand, the Danish Health and Medicines Authority, the association of Local Governments of Denmark and Copenhagen Municipality. Many thanks also go to providers' organisations such as the Danish Medical Association and the Danish Nurses Organisation, patients' representative institutions such as the National Agency for Patients' rights and complains and Danish Patients; and to the several institutions involved in quality monitoring and improving initiatives, such as Danish Institute for Quality and Accreditation in Health Care, the National Institute for Health Data and Disease Control, Næstved Hospital participating in the Danish Safer Hospital Programme, the Danish Society for Patient Safety, the Clinical Quality Programme of the Regions, and many academic researchers that shared their perspectives on improving quality of care in Denmark.

The review team is especially thankful to Trine Vig and Rasmus Lønborg from the Ministry of Health, and to Bodil Bjerg and Martin Bagger Brandt from the Danish regions, for their help in setting up the mission and co-ordinating responses to a questionnaire on quality of care policies and data. The report has benefited from the invaluable comments of Danish authorities and experts who reviewed an earlier draft.



### From:

# **OECD Reviews of Health Care Quality: Denmark** 2013

Raising Standards

## Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264191136-en

## Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2013), "Foreword and Acknowledgements", in *OECD Reviews of Health Care Quality: Denmark 2013: Raising Standards*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264191136-1-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

