$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Chapter } \\
\mathbf{c} \\
\text { ACCESS TO EDUCATION, } \\
\text { PARTICIPATION AND PROGRESSION }
\end{gathered}
$$



## INDICATOR C1: SCHOOL EXPECTANCY AND ENROLMENT RATES

- In 24 out of 27 OECD countries, individuals participate in formal education for between 16 and 20 years, on average. Most of the variation among countries on this measure derives from differences in enrolments in upper secondary education.
- School expectancy increased between 1995 and 2002 in all OECD countries reporting comparable data.
- The sharpest decline in participation occurs not at the end of compulsory education, but at the end of upper secondary education.
- In half of the OECD countries, more than $70 \%$ of children aged 3 to 4 are enrolled in either pre-primary or primary programmes. At the other end of the spectrum, a 17-year-old can expect to spend an average of 2.7 years in tertiary education.
- In OECD countries, females can expect to receive 0.7 more years of education, on average, than males.

Chart C1.1. School expectancy, by level of education (2002)
Expected years of schooling under current conditions (excluding education for children under the age of five)


[^0]This indicator examines enrolments at all levels of education.

In 24 out of 27 OECD countries, individuals participate in formal education for between 16 and 20 years, on average.

Most of the variation comes from differences in enrolment rates in upper secondary education.

## Policy context

A well-educated population is critical for a country's economic and social development, in both the present and the future. Societies therefore have an intrinsic interest in ensuring broad access to a wide variety of educational opportunities for children and adults. Early childhood programmes prepare children for primary education. They can help to combat linguistic and social disadvantages and provide opportunities to enhance and complement home educational experiences. Primary and secondary education lay the foundations for a wide range of competencies and prepare young people to become lifelong learners and productive members of society. Tertiary education, either immediately after school or later, provides a range of options for acquiring advanced knowledge and skills.

This indicator presents several measures of participation in education to elucidate levels of access to education in different OECD countries. Enrolment trends at different levels of education are also presented as an indicator of the evolution of access to education.

## Evidence and explanations

## Overall participation in education

One way of looking at participation in education is to estimate the number of years during which a 5 -year-old child can expect to be in either full-time or part-time education during his/her lifetime, given current enrolment rates. School expectancy is estimated by taking the sum of enrolment rates for each single year of age, starting at age 5 (Chart C1.1). In OECD countries, a child in Luxembourg, Mexico and the Slovak Republic can expect to be in education for 15 years or less, compared to 19 or more years in Australia, Belgium, Finland, Sweden and the United Kingdom.
Most of the variation in school expectancy among OECD countries comes from differences in enrolment rates in upper secondary education. Relative differences in participation are large at the tertiary level, but apply to a smaller proportion of the cohort and therefore have less of an effect on school expectancy.

Measures of the average length of schooling like school expectancy are affected by enrolment rates over the life cycle and therefore underestimate the actual number of years of schooling in systems where access to education is expanding. Nor does this measure distinguish between full-time and part-time participation. OECD countries with relatively large proportions of part-time enrolments will therefore tend to have relatively high values. In Australia, Belgium, Portugal, Sweden and the United Kingdom, part-time education accounts for three or more years of school expectancy (Table C1.1).

In OECD countries where school expectancy at a given level of education exceeds the number of grades at that level, repeating a level (or, in the case of Australia, the number of adults enrolling in those programmes) has a greater impact on school expectancy than the proportion of students leaving school before completing that level of education.

Enrolment rates are influenced by entry rates to a particular level of education and by the typical duration of studies. A high number of expected years in education, therefore, does not necessarily imply that all young people will participate in education for a long time. Belgium, where 5-year-olds can expect to be in school for more than 19 years, has nearly full enrolment (rates over 90\%) for 15 years of education. Conversely, Australia, Finland, Sweden and the United Kingdom which have equally high school expectancy, have nearly full enrolment (rates over 90\%) for only 13 or less years of education (Tables C1.1 and C1.2).

In most OECD countries, virtually all young people have access to 12 years of formal education. At least $90 \%$ of students are enrolled in an age band spanning 14 or more years in Belgium, France, Iceland, Japan and Spain. Mexico, by contrast, has enrolment rates exceeding $90 \%$ for a period of seven years (Table C1.2).

The variation in school expectancy is generally greater for females than for males. In OECD countries, females can expect to receive 0.7 more years, on average, of education than males. The expected duration of enrolment for females exceeds that of males by more than one year in Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Ireland, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden and the United Kingdom (in Sweden and in the United Kingdom, the difference is three years). The opposite is true in Korea and Switzerland, where males can expect to receive 1.9 and 0.6 years, respectively, more education than females (Table C1.1).

## Trends in participation in education

School expectancy increased between 1995 and 2002 in all OECD countries for which comparable trend data are available (Table C1.1). In Greece, Hungary, Poland, Sweden and the United Kingdom, the increase was $15 \%$ or more over this relatively short period.

## Participation in early childhood education

In the majority of OECD countries, universal enrolment, which is defined here as enrolment rates exceeding $90 \%$, starts between the ages of five and six years. However, in Belgium, the Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, New Zealand, Norway, the Slovak Republic, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom, more than $70 \%$ of children aged 3 to 4 are already enrolled in either pre-primary or primary programmes (Table C1.2). Their enrolment rates range from less than $22 \%$ in Korea and Switzerland to over $90 \%$ in Belgium, France, Iceland, Italy and Spain.

Given the impact of early childhood education and care on building a strong foundation for lifelong learning and on ensuring equitable access to learning opportunities later, pre-primary education is very important. However, institutionally based pre-primary programmes covered by this indicator are not the only form of quality early childhood education and care. Inferences about access to and quality of pre-primary education and care should therefore be made very carefully.

## Participation towards the end of compulsory education and beyond

Several factors, including a higher risk of unemployment and other forms of exclusion for young people with insufficient education, influence the decision to stay

Long school expectancy does not necessarily imply that all young people have access to higher levels of education but...
. . .in most OECD countries, virtually all young people receive at least 12 years of formal education.

In OECD countries, females can expect to receive 0.7 more years, on average, of education than males.

School expectancy increased between 1995 and 2002 in all OECD countries reporting comparable data.

In half of the $O E C D$ countries, more than $70 \%$ of children aged 3 to 4 are enrolled in either pre-primary or primary programmes.

Compulsory education ends between the ages of 14 and 18 in OECD countries, and in most countries at age 15 or 16.

Participation in education tends to be high until the end of compulsory education, but in seven $O E C D$ countries, more than 10\% of students never finish compulsory education.

The sharpest decline in participation occurs not at the end of compulsory education...
...but at the end of upper secondary education.

In Australia, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden, more than 30\% of 20 to 29-year-olds participate in education.
enrolled beyond the end of compulsory education. In many OECD countries, the transition from education to employment has become a longer and more complex process that provides the opportunity or the obligation for students to combine learning and work to develop marketable skills (see Indicator C4).

Compulsory education in OECD countries ends between the ages of 14 (Korea, Portugal and Turkey) and 18 (Belgium, Germany and the Netherlands), and in most countries at age 15 or 16 (Table C1.2). However, the statutory age at which compulsory education ends does not always correspond to the age at which enrolment is universal.

While participation rates in most OECD countries are high until the end of compulsory education, they drop below $90 \%$ before the age at which students are no longer legally required to be enrolled in school in Belgium, Germany, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the United Kingdom and the United States. In Belgium, Germany, the Netherlands and the United States, this may be due in part to the fact that compulsory education ends at age 18 (17 for the United States), which is relatively advanced. By contrast, in 21 OECD countries, virtually all children remain in school beyond the age at which compulsory education ends (Table C1.2).

In the Czech Republic, Finland, Japan, Norway and Sweden, more than $93 \%$ of all 17-year-olds are still enrolled, even though the ending age of compulsory education is under 17 years of age (Table C1.3). In fact, in Sweden, 93\% of all 18 -year-olds are still enrolled in secondary education.

In half of the OECD countries, enrolment in education remains close to universal beyond the end of compulsory education, particularly in countries where the age at which compulsory education ends is relatively low. There is no close correspondence between the end of compulsory education and the decline in enrolment rates. After the age of 16 , however, enrolment rates begin to decline in all OECD countries. On average in OECD countries, the enrolment rate is $84 \%$ at the age of $17,71 \%$ at the age of 18 , and $57 \%$ at the age of 19 (Table C1.3).

In 20 out of 27 OECD countries, the sharpest decline in enrolment rates occurs at the end of upper secondary education. In Sweden, participation rates drop from 93 to $42 \%$ after the age of 18 , the typical age at which upper secondary education ends (Table C1.3).

In most OECD countries, enrolment rates gradually decline starting in the last years of upper secondary education. There are several noteworthy exceptions, however, where enrolment rates remain relatively high until the age of 20 to 29 . In Australia, Denmark, Finland, Iceland and Sweden, enrolment rates for 20 to 29-year-olds still exceed 30\% (Table C1.2).

## The transition to post-secondary education

Upper secondary graduates in many education systems can enrol in relatively short programmes (less than two years) to prepare for trades or specific vocational fields.

Post-secondary non-tertiary programmes are offered as advanced or second upper secondary programmes in some OECD countries (e.g., Austria, Germany, Hungary and Spain); in others they are offered in post-secondary education (e.g., Canada and the United States). From an internationally comparable point of view, these programmes straddle upper secondary and tertiary education and are therefore classified as a different level of education (post-secondary non-tertiary education). In 27 out of 30 OECD countries, these kinds of programmes are offered to upper secondary graduates (Table C1.1).

Graduates of upper secondary programmes who decide not to enter the labour market upon graduation and people who are already working and want to upgrade their skills can also choose from a wide range of tertiary programmes.

## Participation in tertiary education

In OECD countries, tertiary programmes vary in the extent to which they are theoretically based and designed to prepare students for advanced research programmes or professions with high skill requirements (tertiary-type A), or focus on occupationally specific skills so that students can directly enter the labour market (tertiary-type B). The institutional location of programmes used to give a relatively clear idea of their nature (e.g., university versus non-university institutions of higher education), but these distinctions have become blurred and are therefore not applied in the OECD indicators.

On average in OECD countries, a 17-year-old can expect to receive 2.7 years of tertiary education. Both tertiary entry rates and the typical duration of study affect the expectancy of tertiary education. In Australia, Finland, Greece, Korea, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Spain, Sweden and the United States, the figure is three years or more. In the Czech Republic, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Slovak Republic and Switzerland, by contrast, the expectancy of tertiary education is 1.8 years or less (Table C1.1 and Indicator C2).

Policies to expand education have increased pressure for greater access to tertiary education in many OECD countries. Thus far, this pressure has more than compensated for declines in cohort sizes which had led, until recently, to predictions of stable or declining demand from school leavers in several OECD countries. Whereas some OECD countries are now showing signs of a levelling demand for tertiary education, the overall trend remains upward.

## Definitions and methodologies

Except where otherwise noted, figures are based on head counts; that is, they do not distinguish between full-time and part-time study. A standardised distinction between full-time and part-time participants is very difficult because the concept of part-time study is not recognised by some countries. For other OECD countries, part-time education is covered only partially by the reported data.

The average length of time a 5 -year-old can expect to be formally enrolled in school during his/her lifetime, or school expectancy, is calculated by adding the net enrolment rates for each single year of age from 5 onwards. The average duration of schooling for the cohort will reflect any tendency to lengthen (or

Post-secondary nontertiary programmes are offered in 27 of
30 OECD countries.

On average in $O E C D$ countries, a 17-year-old can expect to receive 2.7 years of tertiary education.

Policies to expand education have, in many OECD countries, increased pressure for greater access to tertiary education.

Data refer to the school year 2001-2002 and are based on the UOE data collection on education statistics that is administered annually by the OECD, and the 2003 World Education Indicators Programme.
shorten) studies in subsequent years. When comparing data on school expectancy, however, it must be borne in mind that neither the length of the school year nor the quality of education is necessarily the same in each country.

Net enrolment rates expressed as percentages in Table C1.2 are calculated by dividing the number of students of a particular age group enrolled in all levels of education by the size of the population of that age group. Table C1.1 shows the index of change in school expectancy between 1995 and 2002. Enrolment data for 1994-1995 were obtained through a special survey in 2000 and follow the ISCED-97 classification.

Table C1.1. School expectancy (2002)
Expected years of schooling under current conditions (excluding education for children under the age offive)


[^1]Table C1.2. Enrolment rates (2002)
Full-time and part-time students in public and private institutions, by age

|  | Ending age of compulsory education | Number of years at which over $90 \%$ of the population is enrolled | Age range at which over $90 \%$ of the population is enrolled | Students aged: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 and under as a percentage of the population of 3 to 4-year-olds | 5-14 as a percentage of the population of 5 to 14-year-olds | 15-19 as a percentage of the population of 15 to 19-year-olds | 20-29 as a percentage of the population of 20 to 29-year-olds | 30-39 as a percentage of the population of 30 to 39-year-olds | 40 and over as a percentage of the population of over 40-year-olds |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Australia | 15 | 12 | 5-16 | 35.9 | 99.3 | 82.6 | 32.9 | 15.2 | 6.7 |
| Austria | 15 | 12 | 5-16 | 63.8 | 98.9 | 77.1 | 17.0 | 3.1 | 0.3 |
| O Belgium | 18 | 15 | 3-17 | 119.6 | 100.1 | 92.3 | 27.4 | 8.3 | 3.0 |
| O Canada | 16 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| $\bigcirc$ Czech Republic | 15 | 13 | 5-17 | 78.7 | 99.3 | 88.4 | 15.9 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Denmark | 16 | 12 | 4-16 | 86.9 | 99.1 | 81.8 | 31.4 | 5.5 | 0.8 |
| Finland | 16 | 12 | 6-17 | 39.6 | 94.4 | 85.0 | 39.5 | 10.7 | 2.2 |
| France | 16 | 15 | 3-17 | 119.7 | 101.1 | 86.7 | 19.6 | 1.8 | a |
| Germany | 18 | 12 | 6-17 | 80.3 | 97.5 | 89.2 | 25.5 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Greece | 14.5 | 11 | 6-16 | 28.5 | 96.3 | 82.6 | 24.5 | 0.3 | n |
| Hungary | 16 | 12 | 4-16 | 81.1 | 100.3 | 81.1 | 21.2 | 4.2 | 0.4 |
| Iceland | 16 | 14 | 3-16 | 135.5 | 98.5 | 81.1 | 32.0 | 8.0 | 2.3 |
| Ireland | 15 | 12 | 5-16 | 26.3 | 101.4 | 81.6 | 17.8 | 2.6 | x (8) |
| Italy | 15 | 13 | 3-15 | 103.0 | 101.7 | 75.8 | 18.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| Japan | 15 | 14 | 4-17 | 78.1 | 100.8 | m | m | m | m |
| Korea | 14 | 12 | 6-17 | 19.6 | 92.7 | 79.9 | 26.5 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| Luxembourg | 15 | 11 | 4-15 | 76.8 | 93.4 | 75.3 | 6.3 | 0.4 | n |
| Mexico | 15 | 7 | 6-12 | 36.7 | 95.7 | 42.4 | 9.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 |
| Netherlands | 18 | 13 | 4-16 | 48.8 | 99.3 | 86.5 | 23.4 | 2.9 | 0.8 |
| New Zealand | 16 | 12 | 4-15 | 86.8 | 99.5 | 72.1 | 25.4 | 10.9 | 4.1 |
| Norway | 16 | 12 | 6-17 | 77.5 | 97.9 | 84.8 | 26.3 | 6.7 | 1.6 |
| Poland | 15 | 12 | 6-17 | 29.1 | 94.4 | 86.8 | 27.3 | 4.1 | $\mathrm{x}(8)$ |
| Portugal | 14 | 10 | 6-15 | 66.4 | 106.0 | 70.9 | 22.2 | 3.8 | 0.6 |
| Slovak Republic | 16 | 11 | 6-16 | 70.7 | 98.1 | 76.6 | 12.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| Spain ${ }^{1}$ | 16 | 14 | 3-16 | 112.5 | 103.8 | 80.4 | 23.3 | 2.6 | 0.4 |
| Sweden | 16 | 13 | 6-18 | 75.5 | 98.2 | 86.2 | 33.6 | 14.1 | 3.5 |
| Switzerland | 15 | 11 | 6-16 | 21.8 | 98.6 | 82.7 | 20.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 |
| Turkey | 14 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| United Kingdom | 16 | 12 | 4-15 | 81.2 | 98.9 | 76.8 | 26.8 | 16.2 | 8.3 |
| United States | 17 | 10 | 6-15 | 52.7 | 96.9 | 74.8 | 25.2 | 4.6 | 1.3 |
| Country mean | 16 | 12 |  | 67.8 | 98.5 | 79.4 | 22.7 | 5.4 | 1.5 |
| 禁 Argentina $^{2}$ | 14 | 10 | 5-14 | 40.8 | 104.1 | 69.4 | 25.9 | 6.7 | 1.4 |
| z Brazil ${ }^{2}$ | 14 | 8 | 7-14 | 29.9 | 91.3 | 71.3 | 23.3 | 8.0 | 2.1 |
| Of Chile | 14 | 9 | 7-15 | 27.7 | 92.1 | 68.2 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 제 China | 14 | 6 | 7-12 | n | 80.7 | 12.7 | m | m | m |
| India ${ }^{2}$ | 14 | 2 | 6-7 | 42.4 | 65.0 | 28.1 | m | m | m |
| Indonesia | 15 | 7 | 6-13 | n | 93.4 | 45.6 | 3.6 | n | n |
| Israel | 15 | 11 | 6-16 | 100.7 | 96.1 | 65.3 | 21.5 | 5.5 | 1.1 |
| Jamaica | 12 | m | m | 75.8 | 90.4 | 40.5 | m | m | m |
| Jordan | 15 | 2 | 6-7 | 14.2 | 84.5 | 41.7 | a | a | a |
| Malaysia ${ }^{2}$ | 12 | 12 | 6-12 | 16.0 | 91.9 | 55.4 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{2}$ | 14 | 5 | 7-11 | 7.7 | 87.9 | 50.3 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Peru ${ }^{2}$ | 16 | 9 | 6-14 | 54.0 | 99.4 | 55.1 | 9.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 |
| Philippines | 12 | 7 | 7-13 | 0.4 | 85.4 | 34.8 | 0.5 | a | a |
| Russian Federation | 15 | 9 | 7-15 | 31.5 | 84.6 | 73.6 | 12.7 | 0.1 | n |
| Thailand | 14 | 11 | 4-14 | 60.9 | 100.3 | 59.3 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Tunisia | 16 | 7 | 6-12 | 17.0 | 90.0 | 57.1 | 4.3 | n | 6.6 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{2}$ | 15 | 9 | 6-14 | 27.9 | 97.5 | 68.4 | 21.2 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| Zimbabwe | 12 | 7 | 7-13 | n | 83.4 | 32.9 | m | m | m |

Note: Ending age of compulsory education is the age at which compulsory schooling ends. For example, an ending age of 18 indicates that all students under 18 are legally obliged to participate in education.
x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after " x ", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .
Mismatches between the coverage of the population data and the student/graduate data mean that the participation/graduation rates for those countries that are net exporters of students may be underestimated (for instance Luxembourg) and those that are net importers may be overestimated.
1.The rate "4 and under as a percentage of the population of 3 to 4 -year-olds" is overestimated. A significant number of students are younger than 3 years old.

The net rate between 3 and 5 is around $100 \%$.
2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C1.3. Transition characteristics at ages 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 (2002)
Net enrolment rates, by level of education in public and private institutions (based on head counts)

|  |  | Age 15 | Age 16 |  |  | Age 17 |  |  | Age 18 |  |  | Age 19 |  |  | Age 20 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| \% Australia | 17-18 | 97 | 92 | n | n | 80 | 1 | 5 | 38 | 3 | 30 | 25 | 3 | 37 | 19 | 3 | 38 |
| Austria | 17-19 | 94 | 91 | n | n | 78 | 11 | n | 45 | 19 | 6 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 20 |
| O Belgium | 18-19 | 100 | 99 | n | $n$ | 101 | n | 1 | 45 | 5 | 36 | 22 | 6 | 46 | 13 | 3 | 46 |
| 8 Canada | 18 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| \% Czech Republic | 18-19 | 99 | 100 | n | n | 98 | n | n | 79 | 3 | 4 | 34 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 6 | 28 |
| Denmark | 19-20 | 96 | 91 | n | n | 83 | n | n | 78 | n | n | 57 | n | 3 | 33 | n | 12 |
| Finland | 19 | 99 | 96 | n | n | 94 | n | n | 89 | n | n | 33 | n | 16 | 17 | n | 31 |
| France | 18-20 | 97 | 97 | n | n | 89 | n | 2 | 53 | n | 27 | 27 | n | 38 | 11 | n | 40 |
| Germany | 19 | 98 | 99 | n | n | 93 | n | 1 | 83 | n | 3 | 42 | 16 | 9 | 21 | 13 | 17 |
| Greece | 18 | 93 | 93 | n | a | 70 | n | a | 25 | 5 | 46 | 31 | 5 | 47 | n | 5 | 51 |
| Hungary | 16-18 | 97 | 90 | n | n | 85 | 1 | n | 49 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 26 | 7 | 12 | 29 |
| Iceland | 20 | 99 | 91 | n | n | 81 | n | n | 72 | n | n | 65 | n | 1 | 36 | n | 15 |
| Ireland | 17-18 | 99 | 92 | 1 | n | 72 | 4 | 6 | 26 | 15 | 35 | 2 | 10 | 40 | n | 8 | 37 |
| Italy | 17-19 | 93 | 86 | m | a | 79 | m | n | 69 | m | 4 | 18 | m | 31 | 6 | m | 32 |
| Japan | 18 | 102 | 97 | a | a | 93 | a | n | 3 | m | m | 1 | m | m | m | m | m |
| Korea | 17-18 | 92 | 95 | a | n | 89 | a | 2 | 12 | a | 49 |  | a | 64 | n | a | 60 |
| Luxembourg | 18-19 | 91 | 86 | n | n | 79 | n | n | 70 | n | n | 50 | n | n | 30 | 1 | n |
| Mexico | 18 | 55 | 47 | a | a | 34 | a | 4 | 16 | a | 12 | 24 | a | 16 | 3 | a | 16 |
| Netherlands | 18-19 | 100 | 100 | n | n | 83 | n | 6 | 58 | n | 18 | 35 | n | 27 | 23 | n | 33 |
| New Zealand | 17-18 | 94 | 85 | 1 | n | 65 | 4 | 3 | 27 | 6 | 23 | 14 | 5 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 35 |
| Norway | 19-20 | 100 | 94 | n | n | 93 | n | n | 85 | n | n | 40 | 1 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 25 |
| Poland | 18-20 | 96 | 94 | a | a | 91 | n | $\mathrm{x}(10)$ | 84 | n | 1 | 32 | 6 | 30 | 15 | 7 | 38 |
| Portugal | 18 | 92 | 81 | a | a | 70 | a | 1 | 44 | a | 17 | 27 | a | 25 | 17 | a | 29 |
| Slovak Republic | 18-19 | 100 | 95 | n | n | 88 | n | n | 49 | 1 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 24 |
| Spain | 17-18 | 102 | 95 | n | n | 82 | n | n | 40 | 1 | 28 | 22 | 1 | 35 | 13 | 1 | 39 |
| Sweden | 19 | 99 | 97 | n | n | 96 | n | n | 93 | n | n | 29 | 1 | 12 | 19 | 1 | 24 |
| Switzerland | 18-20 | 96 | 89 | 1 | n | 85 | 1 | n | 76 | 2 | 2 | 49 | 3 | 7 | 21 | 4 | 13 |
| Turkey | 17 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| United Kingdom | 16-18 | 110 | 87 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | n | 74 | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | 2 | 31 | x (8) | 25 | 20 | x (11) | 34 | 16 | $\mathrm{x}(14)$ | 35 |
| United States | 18 | 91 | 84 | n | n | 79 | n | 2 | 25 | n | 39 | 6 | n | 47 | 2 | n | 51 |
| Country mean | 18 | 96 | 91 | $n$ | $n$ | 82 | 1 | 1 | 52 | 3 | 16 | 27 | 4 | 26 | 14 | 3 | 30 |
| 曾 Argentina | 18 | 86 | 78 | a | n | 71 | a | 5 | 36 | a | 16 | 19 | a | 24 | 10 | a | 28 |
|  | 17-18 | 77 | 75 | m | a | 70 | m | a | 56 | m | a | 40 | m | a | 28 | m | a |
| O Chile | 18 | 91 | 87 | a | n | 80 | a | n | 55 | a | m | 20 | a | m | 8 | a | m |
| \% China | 18 | 48 | 10 | m | n | 2 | m | n | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Indonesia | 18 | 54 | 45 | a | a | 48 | a | a | 29 | a | 18 | 10 | , | 23 | 3 | a | 21 |
| 준 Israel | 17 | 96 | 95 | n | n | 89 | n | n | 24 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| Jamaica | 16 | 82 | 67 | 3 | m | 33 | 4 | m | 7 | 2 | m | 1 | 1 | m | n | n | m |
| Jordan ${ }^{1}$ | 17 | 76 | 68 | a | n | 51 | a | n | 10 | a | m | 2 | a | m | a | a | m |
| Malaysia ${ }^{1}$ | 19 | m | m | n | n | 30 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 32 | 55 | 2 | 13 | 47 | n | 1 | 33 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{1}$ | 17 | 57 | 55 | m | n | 51 | m | n | 43 | m | 2 | 17 | m | 4 | 9 | m | 5 |
| Peru ${ }^{1}$ | m | 75 | 67 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 3 | 5 | 23 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 10 |
| Philippines | 16 | 68 | 56 | m | m | 28 | m | m | 13 | m | m | 5 | m | m | 4 | m | m |
| Russian Federation | 18 | 53 | 69 | 3 | 12 | 27 | 3 | 48 | 7 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 39 |
| Thailand | 17 | 82 | 67 | m | m | 56 | m | 1 | 35 | m | 42 | 7 | m | 9 | m | m | 13 |
| Tunisia | 18-19 | 74 | 67 | n | n | 59 | n | n | 49 | n | n | 32 | m | m | 20 | m | m |
| Uruguay ${ }^{1}$ | 17 | 87 | 82 | a | a | 70 | n | n | 48 | n | 7 | 28 | n | 15 | 19 | n | 18 |
| Zimbabwe | 19 | 52 | 50 | a | n | 36 | a | n | 18 | a | n | 10 | a | m | n | a | m |

Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after " x ", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .
Mismatches between the coverage of the population data and the student/graduate data mean that the participation/graduation rates for those countries that are net exporters of students may be underestimated (for instance Luxembourg) and those that are net importers may be overestimated.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

# INDICATOR C2: ENTRY INTO AND EXPECTED YEARS IN TERTIARY EDUCATION AND PARTICIPATION IN SECONDARY EDUCATION 

- Today, every second young person in the OECD area will enter tertiary-type A programmes during his/her lifetime.
- On average in OECD countries, a 17-year-old can now expect to enrol in 2.7 years of tertiary programmes, of which 2 years will be full-time. In Finland, Korea and the United States, students can expect to receive about four years of full-time and part-time tertiary education.
- With the exception of Austria and France, participation in tertiary education grew in all OECD countries between 1995 and 2002.
- The majority of tertiary students are enrolled in public institutions, but in Belgium, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, most students are enrolled in privately managed institutions.
- The majority of primary and secondary students are enrolled in public institutions. However, privately managed schools now enrol, on average, $10 \%$ of primary students, $14 \%$ of lower secondary students and $20 \%$ of upper secondary students.

Chart C2.1. Entry rates into tertiary education (2002)
Sum of net entry rates for each year of age into tertiary-type $A$ and tertiary-type $B$ education


[^2]
## Policy context

High tertiary entry and participation rates help to ensure the development and maintenance of a highly educated population and labour force. Tertiary education is associated with better access to employment and higher earnings (see Indicators A10 and A11). Rates of entry to tertiary education are a partial indication of the degree to which a population is acquiring high-level skills and knowledge that the labour market in knowledge societies values.

As students have become more aware of the economic and social benefits of tertiary education, entry rates into tertiary-type A and tertiary-type B programmes have risen. Continued growth in participation, and a widening diversity of backgrounds and interests of the people aspiring to tertiary studies, will require a new kind of provision. Tertiary institutions will need to meet growing demand by expanding the number of students they admit and by adapting their programmes and teaching to the diverse needs of new generations of students.

Graduation from upper secondary education is becoming the norm in most OECD countries, but the curricular content in upper secondary programmes can vary, depending on the type of education or occupation for which the programmes are designed. Most upper secondary programmes in OECD countries are designed primarily to prepare students for tertiary studies, and their orientation can be general, pre-vocational or vocational. Most OECD countries also have upper secondary programmes that prepare students to enter the labour market directly. Some OECD countries delay vocational training until after graduation from upper secondary education, although these post-secondary programmes often resemble upper secondary level programmes.

## Evidence and explanations

## Overall access to tertiary education

In OECD countries, tertiary programmes vary in the extent to which they are theoretically based and designed to prepare students for advanced research programmes or professions with high skill requirements (tertiary-type A), or focus on occupationally specific skills so that students can directly enter the labour market (tertiary-type B). For a classification of national educational programmes into these categories, see Annex 3 at www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004.

Today, every second young person in the OECD area will enter tertiary-type A programmes during his/her lifetime, assuming that current entry rates continue. In fact, in Australia, Finland, Hungary, Iceland, New Zealand, Poland, Sweden and the United States, more than $60 \%$ of young people enter tertiarytype A programmes (Table C2.1).

In other OECD countries, the rates of first-time entry into tertiary-type A programmes are considerably lower: the estimated first-time entry rates for Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic and Mexico are around 30\%.

The proportion of people who enter tertiary-type B programmes is generally smaller than the proportion entering tertiary-type A programmes. In 20 OECD countries with available data, $16 \%$ of young people, on average, will enter tertiary-

This indicator shows the percentage of the youth cohort that will enter different types of tertiary education during their lives.

Entry and participation rates reflect both the accessibility of tertiary education and the perceived value of attending tertiary programmes.
The indicator also shows patterns of participation at the secondary level of education.

51\% of today's young people in OECD countries will enter tertiary-type A programmes.

In seven OECD countries, young people can expect to receive at least three years of tertiary education.

In Finland, Korea and the United States, students can expect to receive about four years of tertiary studies.

The longer tertiary-type $A$ programmes tend to increase the stock of enrolments, and therefore the volume of resources required.

The majority of tertiary students are enrolled in public institutions,...
type B programmes. The figures range from $4 \%$ or less in Hungary, Italy, Mexico, the Netherlands, Poland and the Slovak Republic to more than $30 \%$ in Belgium, Japan and New Zealand, and more than $50 \%$ in Korea (Table C2.1 and Chart C2.1).

In Belgium, wide access to tertiary-type B programmes counterbalances comparatively low rates of entry to tertiary-type A programmes. Other OECD countries, most notably Poland and Sweden, have entry rates above the OECD average for tertiary-type A programmes, and comparatively very low rates of entry to tertiary-type B programmes. New Zealand stands out as a country with entry rates at both levels that are the highest among OECD countries.

Net rates of entry into tertiary education should also be considered in light of participation in post-secondary non-tertiary programmes, which are an important alternative to tertiary education in some OECD countries (Indicator C1).

People entering tertiary-type B programmes may also enter tertiary-type A programmes later in their lives. Tertiary-type A and B entry rates cannot therefore be added together to obtain overall tertiary-level entry rates because entrants might be double counted.

## Participation in tertiary education

Enrolment rates provide another perspective on participation in tertiary education. They reflect both the total number of individuals entering tertiary education and the duration of their studies. The sum of net enrolment rates for each year of age, referred to as the expectancy of tertiary education, gives an overall measure of the amount of tertiary education undertaken by an age cohort rather than by individual participants. In contrast to entry rates, expectancy of tertiary education, which is based on enrolments in tertiary-type A and tertiary-type B programmes, can be summed.

On average in OECD countries, a 17-year-old can expect to receive 2.7 years of tertiary education, of which 2 years will, on average, be full-time. In Australia, Greece, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Spain and Sweden, 17-year-olds can expect to receive at least three years of full-time and part-time tertiary education during their lifetimes (Table C2.2).

In Finland, Korea and the United States, students can expect to receive about four years of full-time and part-time tertiary studies. By contrast, the expectancy of tertiary education is less than two years in the Czech Republic, Mexico, the Slovak Republic and Switzerland.

On average in OECD countries, expectancy of enrolment in tertiary-type A programmes ( 2.3 years) is far higher than that in tertiary-type B programmes ( 0.4 years). Because tertiary-type A programmes tend to be longer, they dominate the stock of enrolments and therefore the volume of resources required, all other things being equal (see Indicator B1, Table B1.3).

In the majority of OECD countries, tertiary-type A programmes are mainly provided and managed by public institutions (Table C2.3). However, in Belgium, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, the majority of students
are enrolled in privately managed institutions that draw predominantly on public funds. In Japan and Korea, over 70\% of students are enrolled in institutions that are privately managed and financed predominantly from private sources. In Mexico, Poland and Portugal, around $30 \%$ of students are enrolled in such institutions.

## Trends in participation

With the exception of Austria and France, participation in tertiary education grew in all OECD countries between 1995 and 2002. In half of the OECD countries with available data, the number of students enrolled in tertiary education increased by over $30 \%$, and in the Czech Republic, Greece, Hungary and Poland, enrolment grew by 68, 78, 108 and $151 \%$, respectively (Table C2.2).

At the tertiary level, changes in enrolment rates are less closely tied to changes in the size of the relevant age cohort than are such changes in primary and secondary education. Chart C 2.2 breaks down the change in the number of students enrolled into two components: changes in cohort sizes and changes in enrolment rates. Growing demand, reflected in higher enrolment rates, is the main factor driving expansion in tertiary enrolments. Australia, Iceland, Ireland and Mexico are the only OECD countries where population increases significantly contributed to higher tertiary enrolments; even in these cases, however, enrolment rates were significantly higher. Conversely, the actual increase in ter-
but in some $O E C D$ countries the majority are in privately managed institutions

Participation in tertiary education grew in most OECD countries between 1995 and 2002.

Growing demand, reflected in higher participation rates, is the main factor driving expansion in tertiary enrolments.

Chart C2.2. Change in tertiary enrolment relative to changing participation rates and demography (1995-2002)
Index of change between 1995 and $2002(1995=100)$


Countries are ranked in descending order of the absolute change in tertiary enrolment.
Source: OECD. Table C2.2. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

> In Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Ireland,
> Mexico and Spain, more than 80\% of tertiary-type A entrants are under 22...

... whereas in Denmark,
Iceland, New Zealand and Sweden, more than half the students enter this level for the first time at the age of 22, or after.

Upper secondary programmes are classified based on whether they are...
...general,...
...pre-vocational,...
... or vocational.
tiary students would have been significantly higher in many OECD countries (in particular Austria and Korea) had the population not decreased. In Austria and France, these decreases were actually more significant than increases in enrolment rates, meaning that overall, there was a slight drop in tertiary enrolment, despite an increase in enrolment rates of 1 and 6\%, respectively.

## Age of entrants

Traditionally, students typically enter tertiary-type A programmes immediately after having completed upper secondary education, and this remains true in many OECD countries. In Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Ireland, Mexico and Spain for example, more than $80 \%$ of all first-time entrants are under 22 years of age (Table C2.1).

In other OECD countries, the transition to the tertiary level is often delayed, in some cases by some time spent in the labour force. In these countries, first-time entrants to tertiary-type A programmes are typically older and show a much wider range of entry ages. In Denmark, Iceland, New Zealand and Sweden, for example, more than half the students enter this level for the first time at the age of 22 or after (Table C2.1). The proportion of older first-time entrants to tertiary-type A programmes may, among other factors, reflect the flexibility of these programmes and their suitability to students outside the typical or modal age cohort. It may also reflect a specific view of the value of work experience for higher education studies, which is characteristic of the Nordic countries and common in Australia and New Zealand, where a sizeable proportion of new entrants is much older than the typical age of entry. In Australia, New Zealand and the Nordic countries, more than $20 \%$ of first-time entrants are 27 years of age or older.

## Participation in upper secondary vocational education

In most OECD countries, students do not follow an uniform curriculum at the upper secondary level. Programmes at the upper secondary level are subdivided into three categories based on the degree to which they are oriented towards a specific class of occupations or trades and lead to a labour-market relevant qualification:

- Type 1 (general) education programmes are not designed explicitly to prepare participants for specific occupations or trades, or for entry into further vocational or technical education programmes.
- Type 2 (pre-vocational or pre-technical) education programmes are mainly designed to introduce participants to the world of work and to prepare them for entry into further vocational or technical education programmes. Successful completion of such programmes does not lead to a labour-market relevant vocational or technical qualification. At least $25 \%$ of the programme content should be vocational or technical.
- Type 3 (vocational or technical) education programmes prepare participants for direct entry into specific occupations without further training. Successful completion of such programmes leads to a labour-market relevant vocational or technical qualification.

The degree to which a programme has a vocational or general orientation does not necessarily determine whether participants have access to tertiary education. In several OECD countries, vocationally oriented programmes are designed to prepare students for further studies at the tertiary level, while in other countries, many general programmes do not provide direct access to further education.

In all OECD countries, students can choose vocational, pre-vocational or general programmes. In 15 OECD countries, the majority of upper secondary

Chart C2.3. Distribution of enrolled students, by type of institution (2002)


[^3]In more than half of the OECD countries, the majority of upper secondary students attend vocational or apprenticeship
programmes.

Most primary and secondary students are enrolled in public institutions.

But, 20\% of upper secondary
students are enrolled in privately managed schools...
...and enrolments in privately managed upper secondary institutions account for the majority of students in Belgium, Korea, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

Data refer to the school year 2001-2002 and are based on the VOE data collection on education statistics that is administered annually by the OECD.
students attend vocational or apprenticeship programmes. In OECD countries with dual-system apprenticeship programmes (Austria, Germany, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and Switzerland), and in Australia, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Poland, the Slovak Republic and the United Kingdom, $60 \%$ or more of upper secondary students are enrolled in vocational programmes. The exception is Iceland, where the majority of students are enrolled in general programmes even though dual-system apprenticeship programmes are offered (Table C2.5).
In most OECD countries, vocational education is school-based. In Austria, the Czech Republic, Iceland and the Slovak Republic, however, about half of the vocational programmes have combined school-based and work-based elements. In Denmark, Germany, Hungary and Switzerland, more than $80 \%$ of vocational programmes have both school-based and work-based elements.

## Upper secondary enrolment by type of institution

More than $80 \%$ of primary, and lower and upper secondary students are enrolled in public institutions in OECD countries (Table C2.4).

However, privately managed schools now enrol, on average, $10 \%$ of primary students, $14 \%$ of lower secondary students and $20 \%$ of upper secondary students (Table C2.4 and Chart C2.3).

The majority of upper secondary students in Belgium, Korea, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom are enrolled in government-dependent private institutions (57, 53, 92 and $72 \%$, respectively). Private educational institutions that are financed mainly by household payments are far less common at the upper secondary level and below, and are occasionally perceived as imposing barriers to participation for students from low income families. However, in Mexico, Portugal and Spain, between 10 and $22 \%$ of upper secondary students are enrolled in private institutions that are financed predominantly by unsubsidised household payments. In Japan, this figure is 30\% (Table C2.4).

## Definitions and methodologies

Table C2.1 shows, for all ages, the sum of net entry rates. The net entry rate of a specific age is obtained by dividing the number of first-time entrants of that age to each type of tertiary education by the total population in the corresponding age group (multiplied by 100). The sum of net entry rates is calculated by adding the rates for each year of age. The result represents the proportion of people in a synthetic age-cohort who enter tertiary education, irrespective of changes in population sizes and of differences between OECD countries in the typical entry age. Table C 2.1 shows also the $20^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}$ and $80^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of the age distribution of first-time entrants, i.e., the age below which $20 \%, 50 \%$ and $80 \%$ of first-time entrants are to be found.

New (first-time) entrants are students who are enrolling at the relevant level of education for the first time. Foreign students enrolling for the first time in a post-graduate programme are considered first-time entrants.

Not all OECD countries can distinguish between students entering a tertiary programme for the first time and those transferring between different levels of tertiary education or repeating or re-entering a level after an absence. Thus, first-time entry rates for each level of tertiary education cannot be added up to total tertiary-level entrance rate because it would result in double-counting entrants.

Table C2.2 shows the expected number of years for which 17-year-olds will be enrolled in tertiary education, or the sum of net enrolment rates for people aged 17 and over (divided by 100). This measure is a function of the number of participants in tertiary education and the duration of tertiary studies. Since the denominator also includes those who have never participated in tertiary education, the indicator cannot be interpreted as the average number of years an individual student requires to complete tertiary education.
Pre-vocational and vocational programmes include both school-based programmes and combined school and work-based programmes that are recognised as part of the education system. Entirely work-based education and training that is not overseen by a formal education authority is not taken into account.

Data on tertiary enrolment in 1994-1995 were obtained from a special survey carried out in 2000. OECD countries were asked to report according to the ISCED-97 classification.

Data for 1994-1995 are based on a special survey carried out in OECD
countries in 2000.

Table C2.1. Entry rates into tertiary education and age distribution of new entrants (2002) Sum of net entry rates for each year of age, by gender and programme destination

|  | Tertiary-type B |  |  | Tertiary-type A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net entry rates |  |  | Net entry rates |  |  | Age at: |  |  |
|  | M+F | Males | Females | M+F | Males | Females | $20^{\text {th }}$ percentile ${ }^{1}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile ${ }^{1}$ | $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 苗 Australia | m | m | m | 77 | 70 | 84 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 29.0 |
| E Austria | m | m | m | 31 | 28 | 34 | 19.2 | 20.4 | 22.9 |
| O Belgium | 34 | 28 | 40 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 21.7 |
| O | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| - Czech Republic | 8 | 5 | 12 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 21.8 |
| Denmark | 12 | 14 | 11 | 50 | 38 | 62 | 22.1 | 23.8 | 28.3 |
| Finland | a | a | a | 71 | 62 | 82 | 19.9 | 21.6 | 26.6 |
| France | 22 | 22 | 22 | 37 | 30 | 45 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 20.2 |
| Germany ${ }^{2}$ | 15 | 10 | 19 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 20.1 | 21.4 | 24.2 |
| Greece | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Hungary | 4 | 4 | 5 | 62 | 55 | 69 | 19.2 | 20.9 | 26.6 |
| Iceland | 11 | 10 | 11 | 72 | 53 | 91 | 20.9 | 23.0 | 30.4 |
| Ireland ${ }^{3}$ | 18 | 17 | 18 | 39 | 34 | 43 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 19.9 |
| Italy ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 44 | 57 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 23.0 |
| Japan ${ }^{4}$ | 30 | 21 | 40 | 41 | 48 | 34 | m | m | m |
| Korea ${ }^{4}$ | 55 | 54 | 56 | 49 | 52 | 46 | m | m | m |
| Luxembourg | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Mexico | 2 | 2 | 1 | 33 | 31 | 36 | 18.2 | 19.4 | 21.8 |
| Netherlands | 1 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 50 | 57 | 18.4 | 19.9 | 23.5 |
| New Zealand | 39 | 34 | 44 | 66 | 54 | 78 | 18.9 | 22.9 | <40 |
| Norway | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Poland | 1 | n | 1 | 70 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | m | m | m |
| Portugal | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Slovak Republic ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 1 | 5 | 44 | 43 | 45 | 18.7 | 19.7 | 23.4 |
| Spain | 19 | 19 | 20 | 50 | 44 | 57 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 21.5 |
| Sweden | 6 | 6 | 6 | 75 | 59 | 92 | 20.3 | 22.7 | $<40$ |
| Switzerland | 14 | 16 | 12 | 35 | 37 | 32 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 26.4 |
| Turkey | m | m | m | m | m | m | 18.4 | 19.8 | 23.6 |
| United Kingdom | 27 | 23 | 30 | 47 | 43 | 51 | 18.4 | 19.4 | 24.1 |
| United States | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | $\mathrm{x}(6)$ | 64 | 60 | 68 | 19.2 | 21.0 | 24.3 |
| Country mean | 16 | 14 | 18 | 51 | 45 | 55 |  |  |  |
| 觬 Argentina ${ }^{5}$ | 37 | 24 | 50 | 60 | 53 | 67 | m | m | m |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {Crazil }}{ }^{5}$ | m | m | m | 27 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | m | m | m |
| O- Chile | 17 | 18 | 16 | 47 | 50 | 44 | m | m | m |
| 즢 China | 13 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | m | m | m |
| Indonesia | 5 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 11 | m | m | m |
| $\widetilde{2}^{2}$ Israel | m | m | m | 57 | 51 | 64 | 20.5 | 23.0 | 26.9 |
| Jordan ${ }^{5}$ | 13 | 8 | 18 | 35 | 32 | 38 | m | m | m |
| Paraguay ${ }^{5}$ | 12 | 7 | 16 | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Philippines | 8 | 7 | 9 | 42 | 39 | 45 | m | m | m |
| Russian Federation | 37 | $\mathrm{x}(1)$ | $\mathrm{x}(1)$ | 62 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | m | m | m |
| Thailand | 22 | 18 | 26 | 42 | 33 | 51 | m | m | m |
| Tunisia | m | m | m | 26 | 24 | 28 | m | m | m |
| Uruguay ${ }^{5}$ | 16 | $\mathrm{x}(1)$ | $\mathrm{x}(1)$ | 32 | 24 | 41 | m | m | m |
| Zimbabwe | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | m | m | m |

Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after " x ", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .
Mismatches between the coverage of the population data and the student/graduate data mean that the participation/graduation rates for those countries that are net exporters of students may be underestimated (for instance Luxembourg) and those that are net importers may be overestimated.

1. Respectively $20 / 50 / 80 \%$ of new entrants are below this age.
2. Entry rate for tertiary-type B programmes calculated as gross entry rate.
3. Full-time entrants only.
4. Entry rate for tertiary-type A and B programmes calculated as gross entry rate.
5. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C2.2. Expected years in tertiary education and change in total tertiary enrolment (2002)
Expected years under current conditions, by gender and mode of study, and index of change (1995 = 100)

|  | Tertiary-type B education |  |  | Tertiary-type A education |  |  | Total tertiary education (type A, B and advanced research programmes) |  |  | Change in enrolment (1995 $=100$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time and part-time |  | Full-time | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Fu} \\ \text { and } \end{array}$ | t-time | Full-time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { and } p a \end{aligned}$ | time | Full-time |  | Attribu | table to: |
|  | M + F | Females | M + F | M + F | Females | M + F | M + F | Females | M + F | Total tertiary education | Change in population | Change in enrolment rates |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Australia | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 129 | 102 | 128 |
| Austria | 0.2 | 0.3 | $\mathrm{x}(1)$ | 1.7 | 1.8 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 2.1 | 2.2 | x (7) | 93 | 67 | 101 |
| Belgium | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 114 | 95 | 121 |
| Canada | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Czech Republic | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 168 | 97 | 167 |
| Denmark | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 118 | 91 | 130 |
| Finland | n | n | n | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 122 | 99 | 123 |
| France | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 99 | 93 | 106 |
| Germany | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100 | 92 | 114 |
| Greece | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 178 | 100 | 177 |
| Hungary | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 208 | 91 | 207 |
| Iceland | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 159 | 105 | 151 |
| Ireland | x (7) | $\mathrm{x}(8)$ | x(9) | x (7) | $\mathrm{x}(8)$ | x(9) | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 137 | 108 | 127 |
| Italy | m | m | m | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 108 | m | m |
| Japan | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Korea | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 158 | 84 | 175 |
| Luxembourg | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Mexico | n | n | n | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 140 | 108 | 130 |
| Netherlands | n | n | n | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | m | m | m |
| New Zealand | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.2 | m | m | m |
| Norway | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 109 | 92 | 116 |
| Poland | n | n | n | 3.0 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 251 | m | m |
| Portugal | n | 0.1 | a | 2.4 | 2.8 | a | 2.6 | 3.0 | a | 132 | 97 | 136 |
| Slovak Republic | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.1 | m | m | m |
| Spain | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 120 | 91 | 128 |
| Sweden | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 135 | 95 | 143 |
| Switzerland | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | m | m | m |
| Turkey | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| United Kingdom | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 124 | 98 | 126 |
| United States | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | m | m | m |
| Country mean | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 140 | 95 | 137 |
| Argentina ${ }^{1}$ | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2.8 | a | 3.4 | 4.0 | 0.8 | m | m | m |
| Brazil ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | $\mathrm{x}(6)$ | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | m | m | m |
| Indonesia | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | m | m | m |
| Israel | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 | m | m | m |
| Malaysia ${ }^{1}$ | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | m | m | m |
| Paraguay ${ }^{1}$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | x (7) | $\mathrm{x}(8)$ | $\mathrm{x}(9)$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | m | m | m |
| Peru ${ }^{1}$ | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | m | m | m | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | m | m | m |
| Russian Federation | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 2.0 | m | m | m |
| Thailand | m | m | m | 1.6 | 1.8 | m | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.4 | m | m | m |
| Uruguay ${ }^{1}$ | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.9 | m | m | m |

Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after "x", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .
Mismatches between the coverage of the population data and the student/graduate data mean that the participation/graduation rates for those countries that are net exporters of students may be underestimated (for instance Luxembourg) and those that are net importers may be overestimated.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

CHAPTER C Access to education, participation and progression

Table C2.3. Students enrolled in public and private institutions and full-time and part-time programmes in tertiary education (2002)
Distribution of students, by mode of study, type of institution and programme destination

|  | Type of institution |  |  |  |  |  | Mode of study |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tertiary-type B education |  |  | Tertiary-type A and advanced research programmes |  |  | Tertiary-typ | B education | Tertia and a research | type A anced <br> grammes |
|  | Public | Governmentdependent private | Independent private | Public | Governmentdependent private | Independent private | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Australia | 99.1 | 0.9 | a | 100.0 | a | n | 33.7 | 66.3 | 65.5 | 34.5 |
| E Austria | 63.1 | 36.9 | n | 92.7 | 7.3 | n | 66.8 | 33.2 | 100.0 | a |
| O Belgium | 47.5 | 52.5 | m | 41.5 | 58.5 | m | 71.7 | 28.3 | 95.6 | 4.4 |
| OTCanada | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| - Czech Republic | 67.9 | 32.1 | a | 98.3 | n | 1.7 | 100.0 | n | 89.1 | 10.9 |
| Denmark | 100.0 | a | a | 99.5 | 0.5 | a | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Finland | 80.1 | 19.9 | a | 89.8 | 10.2 | a | 100.0 | a | 58.8 | 41.2 |
| France | 73.0 | 8.7 | 18.3 | 87.8 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Germany | 64.3 | 35.7 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 100.0 | a | a | 85.1 | 14.9 | 100.0 | a |
| Greece | 100.0 | a | a | 100.0 | a | a | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Hungary | 79.6 | 20.4 | a | 85.9 | 14.1 | a | 89.4 | 10.6 | 54.9 | 45.1 |
| Iceland | 46.6 | 53.4 | n | 90.2 | 9.8 | n | 54.2 | 45.8 | 76.3 | 23.7 |
| Ireland | 93.4 | a | 6.6 | 94.0 | a | 6.0 | 59.4 | 40.6 | 84.6 | 15.4 |
| Italy | 85.3 | a | 14.7 | 93.5 | a | 6.5 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Japan | 9.5 | a | 90.5 | 27.5 | a | 72.5 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 90.6 | 9.4 |
| Korea | 14.1 | a | 85.9 | 22.7 | a | 77.3 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Luxembourg | 100.0 | a | a | 100.0 | a | a | 97.9 | 2.1 | 92.9 | 7.1 |
| Mexico | 96.2 | a | 3.8 | 66.3 | a | 33.7 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Netherlands | 9.6 | 90.4 | a | 29.2 | 69.6 | a | 49.4 | 50.6 | 81.3 | 18.7 |
| New Zealand | 78.5 | 21.5 | 0.6 | 97.3 | 1.4 | n | 50.9 | 49.6 | 69.6 | 29.1 |
| Norway | 85.7 | 14.3 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 87.6 | 12.4 | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | 85.8 | 14.2 | 66.7 | 33.3 |
| Poland | 82.6 | a | 17.4 | 71.6 | a | 28.4 | 100.0 | a | 56.7 | 43.3 |
| Portugal | 43.4 | a | 56.6 | 72.3 | a | 27.7 | 100.0 | x (7) | 100.0 | x(9) |
| Slovak Republic | 93.6 | 6.4 | a | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 59.7 | 40.3 | 67.7 | 32.3 |
| Spain | 75.9 | 16.6 | 7.4 | 87.9 | n | 12.1 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 90.1 | 9.9 |
| Sweden | 69.8 | 1.0 | 29.2 | 94.1 | 5.9 | a | 91.9 | 8.1 | 52.8 | 47.2 |
| Switzerland | 36.2 | 42.0 | 21.8 | 90.4 | 6.8 | 2.8 | 31.2 | 68.8 | 91.0 | 9.0 |
| Turkey | 98.8 | a | 1.2 | 96.0 | a | 4.0 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| United Kingdom | a | 100.0 | n | a | 100.0 | n | 27.7 | 72.3 | 72.9 | 27.1 |
| United States | 96.8 | a | 3.2 | 76.0 | a | 24.0 | 37.7 | 62.3 | 75.2 | 24.8 |
| Country mean | 68.6 | 19.1 | 13.7 | 79.0 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 78.9 | 21.8 | 83.9 | 16.7 |
| A Argentina ${ }^{1}$ | 58.9 | 29.6 | 11.5 | 87.0 | a | 13.0 | 100.0 | a | a | 100.0 |
| Erazil ${ }^{1}$ | m | a | m | 32.6 | a | 67.4 | m | m | 100.0 | a |
| Ofile | 8.1 | 5.4 | 86.4 | 31.5 | 22.1 | 46.4 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| China | m | m | m | m | m | m | 62.8 | 37.2 | 78.7 | 21.3 |
| India ${ }^{1}$ | 100.0 | a | a | 100.0 | a | a | 100.0 | a | 85.3 | 14.7 |
| $\widetilde{3}$ Indonesia | 49.8 | a | 50.2 | 33.5 | a | 66.5 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Israel | 22.0 | 78.0 | m | 11.7 | 76.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | a | 81.7 | 18.3 |
| Jamaica | 74.7 | a | 25.3 | 68.4 | a | 31.6 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 62.1 | 37.9 |
| Jordan ${ }^{1}$ | 46.5 | a | 53.5 | 71.4 | a | 28.6 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Paraguay ${ }^{1}$ | 37.4 | 23.7 | 38.9 | 43.1 | a | 56.9 | 100.0 | a | m | m |
| Peru ${ }^{1}$ | 46.2 | 0.7 | 53.1 | 58.8 | m | 41.2 | 100.0 | a | m | m |
| Philippines | 42.3 | a | 57.7 | 31.9 | a | 68.1 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Russian Federation | 97.6 | a | 2.4 | 88.7 | a | 11.3 | 72.4 | 27.6 | 51.8 | 45.8 |
| Thailand | 59.1 | a | 40.9 | 86.9 | a | 13.1 | 100.0 | a | 0.3 | m |
| Tunisia | 100.0 | a | m | 100.0 | a | n | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Uruguay ${ }^{1}$ | 98.9 | a | 1.1 | 86.2 | a | 13.8 | 100.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Zimbabwe | m | m | m | m | m | m | 84.3 | 15.7 | m | m |

Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after " x ", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C2.4. Students enrolled in public and private institutions and full-time and part-time programmes in primary and secondary education (2002)
Distribution of students, by mode of study and type of institution

|  | Type of institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mode of study <br> Primary and <br> secondary education |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary education |  |  | Lower secondary education |  |  | Upper secondary education |  |  |  |  |
|  | Public | Governmentdependent private | Independent private | Public | Governmentdependent private | Independent private | Public | Governmentdependent private | Independent private | Full-time | Part-time |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Australia | 72.0 | 28.0 | a | 69.6 | 30.4 | a | 81.1 | 18.9 | a | 75.9 | 24.1 |
| Austria | 95.7 | 4.3 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 92.3 | 7.7 | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | 90.3 | 9.7 | x (8) | 99.5 | 0.5 |
| Belgium | 45.7 | 54.3 | m | 42.3 | 57.7 | m | 42.8 | 57.2 | m | 80.6 | 19.4 |
| Canada | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| - Czech Republic | 99.0 | 1.0 | a | 98.3 | 1.7 | a | 88.1 | 11.9 | a | 99.9 | 0.1 |
| Denmark | 89.0 | 11.0 | a | 80.9 | 19.1 | a | 96.5 | 3.5 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Finland | 98.8 | 1.2 | a | 95.6 | 4.4 | a | 89.7 | 10.3 | a | 100.0 | a |
| France | 85.4 | 14.3 | 0.2 | 78.9 | 20.9 | 0.2 | 69.7 | 29.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | a |
| Germany | 97.4 | 2.6 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 93.1 | 6.9 | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | 92.8 | 7.2 | x (8) | 99.8 | 0.2 |
| Greece | 92.9 | a | 7.1 | 94.5 | a | 5.5 | 94.0 | a | 6.0 | 97.9 | 2.1 |
| Hungary | 94.8 | 5.2 | a | 94.0 | 6.0 | a | 86.6 | 13.4 | a | 96.1 | 3.9 |
| Iceland | 98.7 | 1.3 | n | 99.0 | 1.0 | n | 93.0 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 93.2 | 6.8 |
| Ireland | 98.9 | a | 1.1 | 100.0 | a | n | 98.3 | a | 1.7 | 99.9 | 0.1 |
| Italy | 93.3 | a | 6.7 | 96.6 | a | 3.4 | 93.5 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 99.2 | 0.8 |
| Japan | 99.1 | a | 0.9 | 94.2 | a | 5.8 | 69.7 | a | 30.3 | 98.8 | 1.2 |
| Korea | 98.6 | a | 1.4 | 78.7 | 21.3 | a | 47.0 | 53.0 | a | 100.0 | a |
| Luxembourg | 93.3 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 79.3 | 13.4 | 7.4 | 84.7 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | n |
| Mexico | 92.1 | a | 7.9 | 86.8 | a | 13.2 | 78.0 | a | 22.0 | 100.0 | a |
| Netherlands | 31.6 | 68.4 | a | 23.9 | 76.1 | a | 7.6 | 92.4 | a | 98.1 | 1.9 |
| New Zealand | 97.9 | a | 2.1 | 95.7 | a | 4.3 | 85.9 | 8.8 | 5.3 | 93.6 | 7.1 |
| Norway | 98.3 | 1.7 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 97.9 | 2.1 | $\mathrm{x}(5)$ | 89.4 | 10.6 | x (8) | 99.7 | 0.3 |
| Poland | 98.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 98.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 91.4 | 0.4 | 8.1 | 94.9 | 5.1 |
| Portugal | 89.5 | a | 10.5 | 89.5 | a | 10.5 | 82.0 | a | 18.0 | 93.2 | 6.8 |
| Slovak Republic | 96.0 | 4.0 | a | 95.0 | 5.0 | a | 93.0 | 7.0 | a | 99.0 | 1.0 |
| Spain | 66.4 | 30.2 | 3.4 | 66.4 | 30.4 | 3.2 | 77.8 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 96.2 | 3.8 |
| Sweden | 95.4 | 4.6 | a | 95.4 | 4.5 | a | 96.6 | 3.4 | a | 87.6 | 12.4 |
| Switzerland | 96.4 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 93.0 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 92.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 99.8 | 0.2 |
| Turkey | 98.3 | a | 1.7 | a | a | a | 97.7 | a | 2.3 | 100.0 | a |
| United Kingdom | 95.1 | a | 4.9 | 93.4 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 25.3 | 72.2 | 2.5 | 70.7 | 29.3 |
| United States | 89.7 | a | 10.3 | 91.2 | a | 8.8 | 91.2 | a | 8.8 | 100.0 | n |
| Country mean | 89.7 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 86.2 | 11.1 | 2.6 | 80.2 | 15.2 | 4.6 | 95.6 | 4.4 |
| Argentina ${ }^{1}$ | 80.0 | 16.4 | 3.6 | 78.0 | 18.9 | 3.2 | 70.5 | 23.7 | 5.8 | 100.0 | a |
| Brazil ${ }^{1}$ | 91.9 | a | 8.1 | 90.4 | a | 9.6 | 85.8 | a | 14.2 | 100.0 | a |
| Chile | 53.5 | 39.1 | 7.4 | 56.0 | 36.6 | 7.4 | 50.2 | 35.4 | 14.4 | 100.0 | a |
| India ${ }^{1}$ | 83.5 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 65.9 | 19.4 | 14.7 | 45.4 | 36.3 | 18.2 | 99.9 | 0.1 |
| Indonesia | 84.0 | a | 16.0 | 63.6 | a | 36.4 | 46.8 | a | 53.2 | 100.0 | a |
| Israel | 100.0 | n | n | 100.0 | n | n | 100.0 | a | a | 99.1 | 0.9 |
| Jamaica | 95.2 | a | 4.8 | 97.1 | a | 2.9 | 97.1 | a | 2.9 | m | m |
| Jordan ${ }^{1}$ | 70.6 | a | 29.4 | 80.9 | a | 19.1 | 91.1 | a | 8.9 | 100.0 | a |
| Malaysia ${ }^{1}$ | 96.2 | a | 3.8 | 94.1 | a | 5.9 | 92.4 | a | 7.6 | 100.0 | a |
| Paraguay ${ }^{1}$ | 85.1 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 77.3 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 67.9 | 9.2 | 22.9 | 100.0 | a |
| Peru ${ }^{1}$ | 86.5 | 3.3 | 10.1 | 83.5 | 4.7 | 11.9 | 81.5 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 100.0 | a |
| Philippines | 92.9 | a | 7.1 | 79.2 | a | 20.8 | 75.2 | a | 24.8 | 100.0 | a |
| Russian Federation | 99.6 | a | 0.4 | 99.7 | a | 0.3 | 99.7 | a | 0.3 | 100.0 | a |
| Thailand | 86.4 | 13.6 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 93.3 | 6.7 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | 89.8 | 10.2 | $\mathrm{x}(2)$ | m | m |
| Tunisia | 99.2 | a | 0.8 | 98.6 | a | 1.4 | 92.5 | a | 7.5 | 100.0 | a |
| Uruguay ${ }^{1}$ | 87.3 | a | 12.7 | 87.6 | a | 12.4 | 88.6 | a | 11.4 | 100.0 | a |
| Zimbabwe | 12.4 | 87.6 | a | 27.0 | 73.0 | a | 30.6 | 69.4 | a | 100.0 | a |

Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after " x ", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

CHAPTER C Access to education，participation and progression

Table C2．5．Upper secondary enrolment patterns（2002）
Percentage of students in public and private upper secondary institutions，by programme orientation

|  | Programme orientation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | Pre－vocational | Vocational | of which：combined school and work－based |
|  | （1） | （2） | （3） | （4） |
| 婴 Australia | 37.0 | a | 63.0 | $\mathrm{x}(3)$ |
| ${ }_{\text {E }}$ Austria | 21.0 | 6.8 | 72.3 | 35.8 |
| O Belgium | 30.3 | a | 69.7 | 2.5 |
| 乍 Canada | m | m | m | m |
| ${ }^{-}$Czech Republic | 19.6 | 0.2 | 80.2 | 38.2 |
| Denmark | 47.0 | a | 53.0 | 53.0 |
| Finland | 42.8 | a | 57.2 | 10.8 |
| France | 43.7 | a | 56.3 | 11.8 |
| Germany | 37.0 | a | 63.0 | 50.8 |
| Greece | 60.0 | a | 40.0 | a |
| Hungary | 50.3 | 36.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| Iceland | 61.7 | 1.3 | 37.0 | 16.7 |
| Ireland | 72.7 | 27.3 | a | a |
| Italy | 35.2 | 38.0 | 26.8 | a |
| Japan | 74.3 | 0.8 | 24.9 | a |
| Korea | 67.9 | a | 32.1 | a |
| Luxembourg | 36.0 | a | 64.0 | 13.3 |
| Mexico | 88.6 | a | 11.4 | a |
| Netherlands | 30.8 | a | 69.2 | 23.5 |
| New Zealand | 100.0 | a | a | a |
| Norway | 42.0 | a | 58.0 | a |
| Poland | 39.1 | a | 60.9 | a |
| Portugal | 71.2 | a | 28.8 | m |
| Slovak Republic | 23.6 | a | 76.4 | 41.3 |
| Spain | 62.0 | a | 38.0 | 4.8 |
| Sweden | 50.4 | a | 49.6 | n |
| Switzerland | 35.4 | a | 64.6 | 58.6 |
| Turkey | 60.6 | a | 39.4 | 9.3 |
| United Kingdom | 27.9 | $\mathrm{x}(3)$ | 72.1 | $\mathrm{x}(3)$ |
| United States | 100.0 | a | a | a |
| Country mean | 50.6 | 4.0 | 45.5 | 14.7 |
| Argentina ${ }^{1}$ | 22.1 | a | 77.9 | a |
| $\sum_{3}$ Brazil $^{1}$ | 86.0 | a | 14.0 | m |
| O Chile | 60.4 | a | 39.6 | a |
| China | 57.2 | 38.6 | 4.3 | m |
| 动dia ${ }^{1}$ | 99.9 | a | 0.1 | a |
| Israel | 65.2 | a | 34.8 | 3.6 |
| Jamaica | 99.5 | a | 0.5 | m |
| Jordan | 94.6 | a | 5.4 | m |
| Malaysia ${ }^{1}$ | 85.0 | a | 15.0 | m |
| Paraguay ${ }^{1}$ | 79.9 | a | 20.1 | a |
| Philippines | 100.0 | a | a | a |
| Russian Federation | 67.1 | a | 32.9 | a |
| Thailand | 76.0 | a | 24.0 | a |
| Tunisia | 93.2 | 2.6 | 4.1 | a |
| Uruguay ${ }^{1}$ | 80.8 | a | 19.2 | a |
| Zimbabwe | 100.0 | a | a | a |

Note： x indicates that data are included in another column．The column reference is shown in brackets after＂ x ＂，e．g． $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 ．
1．Year of reference 2001.
Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．

## INDICATOR C3: FOREIGN STUDENTS IN TERTIARY EDUCATION

- In 2002, 1.90 million students were enrolled outside their country of origin. This represented a $15 \%$ increase in total student mobility since the previous year.
- Five countries (Australia, France, Germany, the United Kingdom and the United States) receive nearly $73 \%$ of all foreign students studying in the OECD area.
- In absolute numbers, students from France, Germany, Greece, Japan, Korea and Turkey represent the largest sources of intakes from OECD countries. Students from China, India and Southeast Asia comprise the largest numbers of foreign students from partner countries.
- Relative to a country's total tertiary enrolement, the percentage of foreign students enrolled in OECD countries ranges from below 1 to almost 18\%. Australia, Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Switzerland and the United Kingdom take in the most foreign students, when measured as a percentage of their tertiary enrolments.
- In Finland, Spain and Switzerland, more than one in six foreign students is enrolled in highly theoretical advanced research programmes.
- As far as fields of study are concerned, $30 \%$ or more of foreign students are enrolled in sciences or engineering in Australia, Finland, Germany, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

Chart C3.1. Foreign students in tertiary education (2002)
Percentage offoreign students to total enrolment in tertiary education


Countries are ranked in descending order of the percentage of foreign students enrolled in tertiary education in 2002.
Source: OECD. Table C3.1. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

## This indicator shows the mobility of students <br> between countries...

...in terms of sending and host country policies.

Internationalisation brings benefits and constraints to institutions,...
...has an impact on countries' balance of payments...
...and may improve the cost efficiency of education provision.

## Policy context

The international dimension of higher education is receiving growing attention from multiple perspectives.

On the one hand, the general trend towards freely circulating capital, goods and services coupled with changes in the openness of labour markets have increased the demand for new kinds of educational provision in OECD countries. Governments as well as individuals are looking increasingly to higher education to play a role in broadening the horizons of students and allowing them to develop a deeper understanding of the multiplicity of languages, cultures and business methods in the world. One way for students to expand their knowledge of other societies and languages and hence to leverage their labour market prospects is to study in tertiary educational institutions in countries other than their own. Indeed, several OECD governments have set up schemes and policies to promote such mobility.

The international mobility of students involves economic costs and benefits, that depend to a large extent on sending countries' policies regarding financial aid to students going overseas for study, and host countries' policies on tuition fees and financial support for overseas students. While the direct short-term monetary costs and benefits of this mobility are relatively easy to measure, the long-term social and economic outcomes are far more difficult to quantify.

From the perspective of institutions, foreign enrolments may constrain the instructional settings and processes insofar as the curriculum and teaching methods sometimes have to be adapted to a culturally and linguistically diverse student body. These constraints are greatly outweighed, however, by numerous benefits to host institutions. Indeed, foreign enrolments can help to reach the critical mass needed to diversify the range of educational programmes offered, and may compensate for variations in domestic enrolment rates. They can also increase tertiary institutions' financial resources.

Last but not least, international negotiations currently underway on trade liberalisation of services highlight the economic implications of the internationalisation of the provision of education services. The trend towards greater internationalisation of education is likely to have a growing impact on countries' balances of payments, and some OECD countries already show signs of specialisation in education exports. In this perspective, it is worth noting that in addition to student flows across borders, cross-border electronic delivery of highly flexible educational programmes and campuses abroad are also relevant to the internationalisation and cross-border dimension of higher education, although no comparable data exist yet (see Box C3.1).

The internationalisation of higher education, however, has many more economic outcomes in addition to those reflected in the trade balance. The internationalisation of education can also be seen as an opportunity for smaller and/or less developed educational systems to improve the cost efficiency of their education provision. Indeed, training opportunities abroad may constitute a cost-efficient
alternative to national provision, and allow countries to focus limited resources on educational programmes where economies of scale can be generated.

The numbers and trends in students studying in other countries can provide some idea of the extent of student mobility. In the future, it will also be important to develop ways to quantify and measure other components of cross-border education.

## Box C3.1. Cross-border education: the main economic, social and political issues

In July 2004 the OECD released a book entirely devoted to the key trends and issues in cross-border post-secondary education: Internationalisation and Trade in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges.

In the last decade, new forms of cross-border post-secondary education have emerged. Cross-border education not only includes international student mobility, but also the mobility of educational programmes and institutions across borders. Cross-border mobility of students is by far the major form of cross-border post-secondary education. Programme and institution mobility involves lower individual costs than studying abroad, and although such services might not offer the same cultural and linguistic experiences as foreign study, they are likely to meet a growing demand in the future. Programme mobility is the second most common form of cross-border post-secondary education, while institution mobility is still limited in scale. In the degree-granting sector, the growth of forprofit cross-border education through programme and institution mobility is mostly driven by "traditional" public or private not-for-profit educational institutions, which are increasingly offering private provision. Commercial arrangements are becoming prominent in the Asia-Pacific region, mainly through franchises and twinning arrangements.

In the book, three regional analyses document how differently cross-border post-secondary education has developed across OECD countries and regions. By and large, student mobility has been policy-driven in Europe and demand-driven in the Asia-Pacific region, while North America has mostly been a magnet for foreign students. Largely driven by institutions themselves, the revenue-generating mobility of programmes and institutions has been facilitated by institutional frameworks which grant substantial autonomy to higher education institutions and by the policies adopted by receiving countries.

Behind these developments are four different, but not mutually exclusive, approaches to crossborder education: the mutual understanding, skilled migration, revenue-generating and capacitybuilding approaches. While academic, cultural, political and long-term economic rationales feeding a mutual understanding approach remain a common basis for all countries, some countries use crossborder education as a means to attract a skilled workforce into their knowledge economy (skilled migration approach) and sometimes, additionally, to generate export revenue to the education sector (revenue-generating approach). On the other hand, emerging economies also use imports of cross-border education services as a means of building their capacity in higher education, and more generally, of developing economically (capacity building approach).

The growth and diversification of cross-border education raises a number of questions for OECD governments and higher education institutions. Will recent trends in cross-border education lead to a reshaping of OECD higher and post-secondary education systems? Can they help enhance the
diversity and flexibility of educational provision and lower the cost of post-secondary education for students and governments? Is liberalisation an answer to the growing importance of private provision as well as the rise in the demand for post-secondary education? What are the main policy strategies and issues arising from these new challenges?

Cross-border education represents an important source of export revenue and is included in the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) negotiations. While analysing the possible implications of the GATS for public funding, subsidies and quality, the book shows that cross-border post-secondary education raises traditional educational policy issues: quality, access and equity, cost, contribution of education to growth. It offers an analysis of these issues and gives policy recommendations to reap the benefits of cross-border education while avoiding its risks.

## Evidence and explanations

In 2002, 1.90 million
students were enrolled outside their country of origin,...
...a $34 \%$ increase since 1998.

Five $O E C D$ countries attract more than seven out of ten foreign students.

Not all non-national students came to the host country expressly with the intention to study.

Trends in student mobility
In 2002, 1.90 million students were enrolled outside their country of origin, of which 1.78 million (or $94 \%$ ) studied in the OECD area. According to available data, this represented a $15 \%$ increase in total student mobility since the previous year.

Looking at the OECD countries only allows comparisons to be made over a longer time span, and to identify trends in the past five years. Since 1998, the absolute number of foreign students reported in the OECD area has increased by $34.2 \%$, that is a $7.6 \%$ annual increase on average (Table C3.6).

## Distribution of foreign students by host countries

A relatively small number of countries enrols the vast majority of foreign students studying in the OECD area and in other partner countries reporting such data. The United States receives the most foreign students (in absolute terms) with $30 \%$ of the total of all foreign students, followed by the United Kingdom and Germany ( $12 \%$ each), Australia (10\%) and France (9\%). Altogether, these five host countries account for nearly $73 \%$ of all students studying abroad (Chart C3.2).

Among these five top receiving countries, it is noteworthy that Australia displayed a 2.1 percentage point increase in its share of foreign students over one year. This increase amounts to nearly 59000 additional foreign students in absolute terms (see Indicator C3 from Education at a Glance 2003).

This indicator defines a foreign student as someone who is not a citizen of the country of study. In most countries, it has not been possible to distinguish between foreign students who are residents in the country but who have immigrated (or whose parents have immigrated), and students who came to the country expressly to pursue their education. This leads to an overestimation of the foreign student body in countries with comparatively stringent naturalisation policies.

Chart C3.2. Distribution of foreign students in tertiary education, by country of study (2002)


Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes and Table C3.7 (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

For example, Germany is a high-ranking destination for foreign students but the actual number of non-resident students registered in German tertiary education institutions accounts for about $69 \%$ of all foreign students in tertiary-type A programmes. This is because a significant number of "domestic foreigners" mainly children of migrant workers - are considered foreign for the purposes of this indicator, despite having grown up in Germany and holding permanent residence in this country.

In addition, the foreign student body comprises some distance-learning students who are not strictly speaking mobile students. Hence interpretations of the data in terms of student mobility need to be made cautiously (see Annex 3 at www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004 for country-specific coverage and definitions of foreign students).

The language spoken is critical for selecting a foreign country in which to study. Countries whose language of instruction is widely spoken and read (e.g., English, French, German) dominate in hosting foreign students, be it in absolute or relative terms.

The dominance of English-speaking countries such as Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States (in absolute numbers) may be largely attributable to the fact that students intending to study abroad are most likely to have learnt English in their home country. Indeed, an increasing number of institu-

Language of instruction is a critical factor in selecting a country in which to study.

Trends in the geographic composition of the foreign students' intake show stronger growth in mobility by Asian students.

Students from France, Germany, Greece, Japan, Korea and Turkey represent the largest intakes from OECD countries...
... while students from China, India and Southeast Asia make up the largest proportion of foreign students from partner countries.
tions in non-English-speaking countries now offer courses in English to attract foreign students, especially so in Nordic countries. This comparatively new feature of educational provision may explain the comparatively large increase in the proportion of foreign students enrolled in Iceland, Norway and Sweden between 1998 and 2002, with an overall increase in the foreign intake ranging between 50 and 70\% (Table C3.1).

## Proportion of foreign students by countries of origin

Unlike in previous years, the increase in the overall number of foreign students over the previous year has been associated in 2002 with a change in the geographic composition of the foreign students' intake.

In 2002, Asian students form the largest group of foreign students enrolled in reporting OECD and partner countries, with $45 \%$ of the total. The Asian group is followed by Europeans (30\%), in particular citizens of the European Union (19\%). Students from Africa account for $11 \%$ of all foreign students while North Americans account for only $6 \%$. Finally, South Americans represent less than $4 \%$ of the total. Altogether, $38 \%$ of foreign students enrolled in reporting OECD and partner countries are citizens of an OECD country (Table C3.2).
Between 2001 and 2002, the share of Asian students among all foreign students has increased quite significantly, by 3 percentage points. By contrast, the share of foreign students of European origin dropped from 33 to $30 \%$ of the total. This trend suggests that the demand for training abroad increased faster in Asia than in Europe (see Indicator C3 from Education at a Glance 2003).

The predominance of students from Asia and Europe among foreign intakes is also noticeable when focusing on OECD countries. Students from Korea and Japan comprise the largest groups of all foreign students, at 4.4 and $3.3 \%$ of the total respectively, followed by students from Germany (3\%), France (2.7\%), Greece (2.6\%) and Turkey $(2.5 \%)$. Together, these countries account for $19 \%$ of all foreign students enrolled in reporting OECD and partner countries (Table C3.2).

With respect to foreign students originating from partner countries, students from China represent by far the largest group, with $9.6 \%$ of all foreign students (not including an additional $1.6 \%$ from Hong Kong, China). They are followed by students from India (4.7\%), Morocco (2.7\%), Malaysia (2\%) and Indonesia (1.9\%). Another 2.5\% of all foreign students originate from Singapore and Thailand in Southeast Asia. For data see Annex 3 at www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004.

International trade, financial, economic and historical relations are important factors underlying student mobility. For example, the promotion of regional economic integration by organisations and treaties such as the European Union, NAFTA, ASEAN and APEC may provide incentives for students to develop their understanding of partner countries' cultures and languages, and to build bilateral or multilateral networks. Some national governments have made international student mobility an explicit part of their socio-economic development strategies. For example, several governments in the Asia-Pacific region, such
as Australia, Japan and New Zealand, have initiated policies to attract foreign students to study in their higher education institutions, often on a revenuegenerating or at least self-financing basis.

## Foreign student intakes as a proportion of total enrolments

The foregoing analysis has focused on the distribution of absolute numbers of foreign students by countries of destination and origin. One way to take the size of the different national tertiary education systems into account is to examine the intake of tertiary students in a particular country as well as the number of its citizens studying abroad relative to its tertiary enrolments.

Australia and Switzerland receive the largest proportion of foreign students relative to their total tertiary enrolment, with more than one in six tertiary students enrolled in the country being foreign. Foreign enrolments are also significant in relative terms in Austria, Belgium, France, Germany and the United Kingdom, with foreign students representing 10 to $13 \%$ of tertiary domestic enrolments. By contrast, the proportion of foreign students in tertiary enrolment remains below 2\% in Greece, Italy, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Turkey (Chart C3.1).
In comparison with OECD countries, partner countries participating in the World Education Indicators project receive marginal numbers of foreign students relative to their size, with the exception of Jordan and Malaysia where foreign students reach 2.7 and $3 \%$ of enrolments respectively (Table C3.1).

Compared to 1998, several OECD countries have experienced a significant increase in the proportion of foreign students enrolled in their education system. This upward trend is especially noticeable in the Czech Republic, Iceland, Korea, Norway, Spain and Sweden, with indexes of change of around 150 or above.

This trend of growing internationalisation of enrolments is also visible in several of the top receiving countries relative to their size, namely Australia (with an index of change of 141), Germany (124) and most significantly New Zealand. In the latter country, the proportion of foreign students in domestic enrolments rocketed from 3.7 to $9.5 \%$ (index of 259) thereby positionning New Zealand among the key-players in the international education market.

## Students studying abroad relative to total enrolments

It is also possible to estimate the extent to which students study abroad by comparing the number of students of a particular citizenship studying abroad to national tertiary enrolments. The measure used here only covers students leaving their country to study in OECD and partner countries that report data. It does not cover students who study abroad in countries other than those reporting their intakes in Column 1 of Table C3.1. The indicator is thus likely to underestimate the proportion of students enrolled abroad. Another potential source of underestimation may be that the indicator is calculated on a full-year basis whereas many students study abroad for less than a full academic year. For example, the majority of students from the United States who study abroad do so for half a year or less.

The percentage of
foreign students enrolled in $O E C D$ countries ranges from below 1 to nearly 18\%.

Australia, Germany and
New Zealand, which already play significant roles, might further increase their position in the international education market.

Greece, Iceland, Ireland,
Luxembourg, Norway and the Slovak Republic send a large proportion of their students abroad, while Australia, Mexico and the United States send relatively few.

Proportional to their size, Australia, Switzerland and the United Kingdom show the largest net intake of foreign students.

Various push-pull factors help to explain student mobility patterns.

The net intake of foreign students indicates the magnitude of the benefits countries can potentially reap from the international exchange of tertiary students.

The ratio of students studying abroad to total enrolment in the country of origin varies widely, from below $2 \%$ in the United States ( $0.2 \%$ ), Australia ( $0.5 \%$ ), Mexico ( $0.9 \%$ ), Poland and the United Kingdom (1.2\%), Spain (1.5\%) and Japan (1.6\%) to as much as $25 \%$ in Iceland and $205 \%$ in Luxembourg (see Table C3.1, Column 6). The latter case is specific, however, because Luxembourg only offers post-secondary non-tertiary programmes or the first year at the tertiary level. Since students in Luxembourg must continue their studies abroad, a large number of students are enrolled outside the country relative to those enrolled domestically.

In partner countries, Zimbabwe and Jamaica have the largest proportion of students enrolled abroad relative to their domestic enrolments, at 9.8 and $10.8 \%$ respectively.

## Net balance of international student exchange

Although the United States receives over 544000 foreign students more than the total number of US students going abroad, other countries have much larger net intakes of students when the size of their tertiary systems is taken into account. In Australia, Switzerland and the United Kingdom, the net intake is between 5.1 and $8.1 \%$ of their tertiary enrolment (see Table C3.1, Column 7). Conversely, Greece, Iceland, Norway and the Slovak Republic show the highest relative net outflow of students, at $9.4,22.1,5.5$ and $7 \%$ of total tertiary enrolments, respectively. The balances of student flows take only students to and from reporting OECD and partner countries into account. The absolute balance for countries that accept a significant number of students from non-reporting countries or that send students to non-reporting countries may differ from these figures.

Given the numerous benefits that foreign students may bring to their host countries, it is important to identify the factors likely to enhance student mobility.
Student mobility patterns can be attributed to a variety of push-pull factors, such as language barriers, the academic reputation of particular institutions or programmes, the flexibility of programmes with respect to counting time spent abroad towards degree requirements, the limitations of higher education provision in the home country, restrictive university admission policies at home, financial incentives and tuition costs.

These patterns also reflect geographical and historical links between countries, future job opportunities, cultural aspirations, and government policies to facilitate credit transfer between home and host institutions. The transparency and flexibility of courses and degree requirements also count.

## Trade effects and economic benefits of the internationalisation of higher education

A first direct benefit of the intake of foreign students is the tuition fee revenue that is generated and most importantly the domestic consumption by foreign students, which both appear in the balance of current accounts as exports of educational services. The magnitude of this gain is highest when host countries adopt a fullfee tuition policy for international students, while in countries where tuition fees
charged to foreign students are below the cost of education provision, the net gain depends on the extent of foreign students' domestic consumption. In top receiving countries like Australia and New Zealand, exports of educational services ranked respectively third and fourth in terms of services exports in 2001, representing 13.1 and $8.1 \%$ of these countries' total service exports (see Box C3.1).

In addition to the direct benefits of internationalised higher education, a higher clientbase of tertiary education may result in indirect gains, whereby net receiving countries generate economies of scale in tertiary education, and can therefore diversify their range of programmes and/or reduce their unit costs. This can be particularly important for host countries with a relatively small population (e.g. Switzerland).

The presence of a potential foreign student client-base also compels higher education institutions to offer quality programmes that stand out among competitors, which may contribute to the development of a highly reactive, clientdriven higher education.

Finally, the intake of foreign students can to some extent involve technology transfers (especially in advanced research programmes), foster intercultural contacts and help to build social networks for the future.

## Profile of foreign intake in different destinations

In some countries a comparatively large proportion of foreign students is enrolled in tertiary-type B programmes. This is the case in Belgium (44.9\%), New Zealand (28.5\%) and Korea (19.3\%) among OECD countries, and to an even larger extent in Malaysia (63.9\%) outside of the OECD.

By contrast other countries see a large proportion of their foreign students enrolling in highly theoretical advanced research programmes. This is most notably the case in Finland (20\%), Spain (19.3\%), and Switzerland (18.3\%), suggesting that these countries offer attractive advanced programmes to prospective foreign graduate students. This concentration can also be observed - although to a more limited extent - in Sweden (14.5\%), the Czech Republic (14\%), Korea (13.1\%) and the United Kingdom (10\%). All of these countries are likely to benefit from larger technology transfers from these high level foreign students. In addition, this specialisation can also generate higher tuition revenue per foreign student in the countries charging full tuition costs to foreign students (Table C3.4).
Sciences attract more than one in five foreign students in Australia (22.1\%) but less than one in fifty in Japan $(1.9 \%)$. Other countries where a large proportion of foreign students is enrolled in sciences are New Zealand (15.5\%), the United Kingdom (15.3\%), Germany (14.9\%), Norway (14.7\%), Switzerland (14.5\%), Iceland (13.6\%) and Sweden (13.1\%).

When considering scientific disciplines in a broader sense, i.e. adding engineering, manufacturing and construction programmes to those in sciences, the picture changes slightly. Finland now receives the largest proportion of its foreign students' intake in these fields, at $38.7 \%$. The proportion of foreign students enrolled in sciences or engineering remains high in Australia (33\%), Germany (31.8\%), the United Kingdom (31.4\%), Sweden (31.2\%) and

The profile of foreign students' intake varies significantly among countries, suggesting different specialisations on the international education market.

The profile of the intake by field of study underlines magnet centres.

Switzerland (30\%). By contrast, few foreign students are enrolled in sciences and engineering in Poland, Belgium, the Slovak Republic and Japan (Chart C3.3).

It is noteworthy that most countries enrolling large proportions of their foreign students in the sciences and engineering fields deliver programmes in the English language. In the case of Germany, the large proportion of foreign students in scientific disciplines may also reflect the strong tradition of the country in these fields.

By contrast, non Anglo-saxon countries tend to enrol a higher proportion of their foreign students in the humanities and arts field, not surprisingly given the nature of these programmes' content. Indeed, humanities and arts are favoured by 44.3\% of foreign students in Iceland, and by about one in four foreign students in Poland (26.5\%), Austria (24.4\%), Japan (24.2\%) and Germany (22.5\%).

Social sciences, business and law programmes also attract foreign students in large numbers. In New Zealand and the Netherlands, these fields of study enroll about half of all foreign students (at 52.7 and $46.9 \%$ respectively). The proportion of foreign students enrolled in social sciences, business and law is also high in Turkey (42\%), Australia (40.6\%) and Japan (35.8\%).

The situation of health and welfare educational programmes is fairly specific since it depends to a large extent on national policies of medical degree recognition. Health and welfare programmes attract large proportions of foreign students in EU and acceding countries, most notably in the Slovak Republic (33.9\% of foreign students), the Czech Republic (27.7\%), Italy (27.1\%), Belgium ( $25.6 \%$ ) and Hungary ( $22.1 \%$ ). This pattern is clearly related to the existence of quotas in many European countries restricting the national offer of educational programmes in the medical field. This increases the demand for training abroad in other EU countries to bypass these quotas, and to take advantage of the EU countries' automatic recognition of medical degrees under the European Medical Directive.

Overall, the concentration of foreign students in specific disciplines in each country of destination highlights "magnet" programmes which attract students from abroad in large numbers. This attraction results from many factors on both the supply and demand side.

On the supply side, some destinations offer centres of excellence or traditional expertise able to attract students from other countries in large numbers (e.g. Finland and Germany in the sciences and engineering fields). In the humanities and arts, some destinations also have a natural monopoly in the offer of some programmes. This is especially obvious for linguistic or cultural studies (e.g. Germany, Austria, Iceland, Japan).

On the demand side, the characteristics of foreign students can explain their concentration in some fields of study. For instance, students in scientific disciplines are usually less likely to be fluent in many different languages, which may explain their stronger propensity to study in countries offering education programmes in English, and their lesser propensity to enrol in Japan. Similarly, the demand of many Asian students for business training may explain the strong

Chart C3.3. Distribution of foreign students in tertiary education, by field of study (2002)


Countries are ranked in descending order of the proportion of foreign students enrolled in sciences, engineering, manufacturing and construction. Source: OECD. Table C3.5. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).
concentration of foreign students in social sciences, business and law in neighbouring Australia and New Zealand. Last, EU provisions for the recognition of medical degrees clearly drive the concentration of foreign students in health and welfare programmes in EU countries.

Data refer to the academic year 20012002 and are based on the UOE data collection on education statistics
that is administered annually by the OECD (see Annex 3).

## Definitions and methodologies

Students are classified as foreign students if they are not citizens of the country in which the data are collected. While pragmatic and operational, this classification may create inconsistencies resulting from differing national policies regarding the naturalisation of immigrants and the inability of several countries to report foreign students net of permanent resident students. Countries that naturalise immigrants stringently and which cannot identify non-resident foreign students therefore over-estimate the size of their foreign student body, compared to more lenient countries. Bilateral comparisons of the data on foreign students should therefore be made with caution, since some countries differ in the definition and coverage of their foreign students (see Annex 3 at www.oecd.org/edu / eag2004).

Foreign student data are collected by host countries and therefore relate to students that are coming in rather than to students going abroad. Host countries covered by this indicator are all of the OECD countries with the exception of Canada, Luxembourg and Portugal as well as the following partner countries: Argentina, Chile, India, Indonesia, Jordan, Malaysia, the Philippines, the Russian Federation, Thailand and Tunisia. This indicator does not include students studying in OECD countries that did not report foreign students nor in partner countries other than those mentioned above. All statements on students studying abroad therefore underestimate the real number of students abroad, especially so for countries sending large numbers to non-reporting countries.

The method of obtaining data on the number of foreign students is the same as that used for collecting data on total enrolments, i.e., records of regularly enrolled students in an educational programme are used. Domestic and foreign students are usually counted on a specific day or period of the year. This procedure measures the proportion of foreign enrolments in an education system, but the actual number of individuals involved in foreign exchange may be much higher, since many students study abroad for less than a full academic year, or participate in exchange programmes that do not require enrolment (e.g., interuniversity exchange or advanced research short-term mobility).

Table C3.1 shows foreign enrolment as a proportion of the total enrolment in the host country or country of origin. Total enrolment, used as a denominator, comprises all persons studying in the country (including all foreign students) but excludes all students from that country who study abroad.

The index of intensity of foreign students' intake shown in Table C3.1 compares the numbers of foreign students as a proportion of domestic enrolments with the average order of magnitude for OECD countries. This makes it possible to refine the scale of foreign students intakes based on the size of the tertiary education system. An index higher (lower) than 1 reflects a higher (lower) intake as a proportion of enrolments compared with the OECD mean. Alternatively, this index can also be interpreted in terms of a comparison of the weight of a country in OECD foreign students intakes with its weight in OECD enrolments. If so, an
index higher (lower) than 1 reflects a higher (lower) foreign students intake than the country's weight in OECD enrolments would suggest.

Tables C3.2, C3.4 and C3.5 show the distribution of foreign students enrolled in an education system according to their country of origin in Table C3.2, according to their level and type of education in Table C3.4, and according to the field of study they are enrolled in for Table C3.5.

Table C3.3 shows the distribution of students of a given citizenship enrolled abroad according to their country of destination or study. As mentioned above, the number of students enrolled abroad used as a denominator covers only students enrolled in other countries reporting data. Therefore, the resulting proportions can be biased and over-estimated for countries sending large numbers of students to non-reporting countries.

Table C3.6 shows trends in the absolute number of foreign students reported by OECD and partner countries, and the index of change between 1998 and 2002 and between 2001 and 2002. It should be noted that the figures are based on the number of foreign students enrolled in countries reporting data to the OECD. The coverage of these reporting countries has evolved over time, therefore the figures are not strictly comparable and caution should be taken in interpreting them.

Table C3.1. Exchange of students in tertiary education (2002)
Foreign students enrolled as a percentage of all students (foreign plus domestic) and exchange of students as a percentage of total tertiary enrolment
Reading the first column: $12.7 \%$ of all students in tertiary education in Austria are foreign students (from throughout the world).
Reading the fourth column: Australia enrols 3.1 times more foreign tertiary students than the average OECD country, while Finland's proportion of foreign students is 0.4 times the OECD average.
Reading the fifth column: Foreign tertiary students from other countries that report foreign students represent $8.9 \%$ of all tertiary students in Austria.
Reading the sixth column: $5.5 \%$ of all tertiary students in Austria study in other countries that report foreign students.
Column 7 represents the difference between column 5 and column 6 .

|  | Foreign students from throughout the world as a percentage of all students (foreign and domestic students) |  |  | Index of intensity ${ }^{1}$ of foreign students' intake relative to OECD reference area | Exchange of students with other reporting countries ${ }^{2}$ (relative to total tertiary enrolment) |  |  | Foreign enrolment by gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 1998 | Index of change $(1998=100)$ |  | Intake of students from other reporting countries | National students enrolled abroad in other reporting countries | Net intake of foreign students from other reporting countries | \% males | \% females |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 蕆 Australia | 17.7 | 12.6 | 141 | 3.1 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 52.7 | 47.3 |
| $\sum_{\text {E }}$ Austria | 12.7 | 11.5 | 111 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 48.2 | 51.8 |
| O Belgium | 11.0 | m | m | 1.9 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 50.5 | 49.5 |
| O Canada | m | 2.8 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m |
| Czech Republic | 3.4 | 1.9 | 181 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | n | 52.6 | 47.4 |
| Denmark | 7.4 | 6.0 | 123 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.4 | 45.2 | 54.8 |
| Finland | 2.4 | 1.7 | 138 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 3.5 | -2.3 | 55.1 | 44.9 |
| France | 10.0 | 7.7 | 130 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | -0.1 | m | m |
| Germany ${ }^{3}$ | 10.1 | 8.2 | 124 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 51.2 | 48.8 |
| Greece ${ }^{4}$ | 1.6 | m | m | 0.3 | 0.1 | 9.5 | -9.4 | m | m |
| Hungary | 3.3 | 2.6 | 128 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.2 | -0.9 | 54.4 | 45.6 |
| Iceland | 4.1 | 2.4 | 170 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 25.4 | -22.1 | 36.4 | 63.6 |
| Ireland | 5.2 | 4.8 | 108 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 8.6 | -4.8 | 47.9 | 52.1 |
| Italy | 1.5 | 1.2 | 124 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.2 | -1.5 | 43.9 | 56.1 |
| Japan | 1.9 | 1.4 | 134 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 | -0.9 | 53.2 | 46.8 |
| Korea | 0.2 | 0.1 | 160 | n | n | 2.6 | -2.6 | 55.0 | 45.0 |
| Luxembourg | m | 30.5 | m | m | m | 204.8 | m | m | m |
| Mexico | 0.1 | m | m | n | n | 0.9 | -0.8 | m | m |
| Netherlands ${ }^{3}$ | 3.7 | m | m | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | n | 48.8 | 51.2 |
| New Zealand | 9.5 | 3.7 | 259 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 3.9 | -0.7 | 49.5 | 50.5 |
| Norway | 4.8 | 3.2 | 152 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 8.0 | -5.5 | 44.4 | 55.6 |
| Poland ${ }^{3}$ | 0.4 | 0.5 | 85 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | -1.1 | 46.1 | 53.6 |
| Portugal | m | m | m | m | m | 2.8 | m | m | m |
| Slovak Republic | 1.1 | m | m | 0.2 | 0.4 | 7.4 | -7.0 | 59.0 | 41.0 |
| Spain | 2.4 | 1.7 | 147 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 43.9 | 56.1 |
| Sweden | 7.5 | 4.5 | 167 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| Switzerland | 17.2 | 15.9 | 108 | 3.0 | 12.3 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 56.6 | 43.4 |
| Turkey ${ }^{3}$ | 1.0 | 1.3 | 74 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.8 | -2.7 | 71.6 | 28.4 |
| United Kingdom | 10.1 | 10.8 | 94 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 51.5 | 48.5 |
| United States | 3.7 | 3.2 | 113 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 56.2 | 43.8 |
| Country mean | 5.7 | 5.8 |  | 1.0 | 3.3 | $4.1{ }^{5}$ |  | 50.7 | 49.3 |

1. The index compares the numbers of foreign students as a proportion of domestic enrolments with the average order of magnitude for OECD countries.

This makes it possible to refine the scale of foreign students intakes based on the size of the tertiary education system. An index higher (lower) than 1 reflects a higher (lower) intake as a proportion of enrolments compared with the OECD mean.
2. Data in columns 5 to 7 do not show the exchange of students throughout the world. Coverage is limited to the OECD and partner countries shown in the table that report data in column 1. Therefore data are not comparable to those reported in column 1.
3. Excluding advanced research programmes.
4. Excluding tertiary-type B programmes.
5. Country mean excludes Luxembourg.
6. Excluding tertiary-type A programmes.
7. Year of reference 2001.
8. The number of foreign students is significantly underestimated. See Annex 3 for details.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C3.1. (continued) Exchange of students in tertiary education (2002)
Foreign students enrolled as a percentage of all students (foreign plus domestic) and exchange of students as a percentage of total tertiary enrolment
Reading the first column: $12.7 \%$ of all students in tertiary education in Austria are foreign students (from throughout the world).
Reading the fourth column: Australia enrols 3.1 times more foreign tertiary students than the average OECD country, while Finland's proportion of foreign students is 0.4 times the OECD average.
Reading the fifth column: Foreign tertiary students from other countries that report foreign students represent $8.9 \%$ of all tertiary students in Austria.
Reading the sixth column: $5.5 \%$ of all tertiary students in Austria study in other countries that report foreign students.
Column 7 represents the difference between column 5 and column 6 .


1. The index compares the numbers of foreign students as a proportion of domestic enrolments with the average order of magnitude for OECD countries. This makes it possible to refine the scale of foreign students intakes based on the size of the tertiary education system. An index higher (lower) than 1 reflects a higher (lower) intake as a proportion of enrolments compared with the OECD mean.
2. Data in columns 5 to 7 do not show the exchange of students throughout the world. Coverage is limited to the OECD and partner countries shown in the table that report data in column 1. Therefore data are not comparable to those reported in column 1.
3. Excluding advanced research programmes.
4. Excluding tertiary-type B programmes.
5. Country mean excludes Luxembourg.
6. Excluding tertiary-type A programmes.
7. Year of reference 2001.
8. The number of foreign students is significantly underestimated. See Annex 3 for details.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C3．2．Foreign students in tertiary education，by country of origin（2002）
Number of foreign students enrolled in tertiary education from a given country of origin as a percentage of all foreign students in the country of destination，based on head counts
The table shows for each country the proportion of foreign students in tertiary education who have citizenship of a given country of origin．
Reading the third column： $28.5 \%$ of Belgian foreign tertiary students are French citizens， $6.6 \%$ of Belgian foreign students are Dutch citizens，etc．
Reading the first row： $0.2 \%$ of foreign tertiary students in Denmark are Australian citizens， $0.7 \%$ of foreign tertiary students in Ireland are Australian citizens，etc．

|  | Countries of destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Countries of origin | ． |  | $\frac{\square}{\ddot{b}}$ | 气 | 플 \＃ 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت } \\ & \text { 棫 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { ت} \\ & \text { UUU } \end{aligned}$ |  | 弪 |  | N0 | $\frac{8}{x}$ | ت 䔍 0 0 0 | Z む N N Z |  |
| 首 Australia | a | 0.1 | n | n | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Oc})$ | 0.2 | n | 0.2 |
| Austria | 0.1 | a | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 3.2 | n | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Belgium | 0.1 | 0.3 | a | n | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | n | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 10.0 | n | 0.2 |
| Canada | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | n | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| ${ }_{\text {O }}^{\text {Czech Republic }}$ | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | a | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | n | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| $\bigcirc$ Denmark | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | n | a | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | n | n | 11.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.4 | 0.2 | 8.8 |
| Finland | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | a | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.9 |
| France | 0.3 | 1.2 | 28.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.7 | a | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Germany | 1.1 | 18.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.2 | a | 0.3 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 22.2 | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| Greece | n | 0.9 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 3.6 | a | 2.7 | n | 0.5 | 26.7 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.7 | n | 0.1 |
| Hungary | n | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | a | n | n | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.5 | n | 0.3 |
| Iceland | n | 0.1 | n | n | 5.5 | 0.4 | n | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | a | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.1 | n | 2.8 |
| Ireland | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | n | n | n | a | n | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.2 | n | 0.3 |
| Italy | 0.2 | 21.1 | 7.3 | n | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | a | 0.1 | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 1.7 | n | 0.7 |
| Japan | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | ， | 14.5 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 |
| Korea | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | n | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 | n | 0.2 | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 25.2 | a | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.1 |
| Luxembourg | n | 0.9 | 3.5 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{ns})$ | n | n | 0.9 | 0.8 | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.1 | n | n |
| Mexico | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | n | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | n | n | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | a | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Netherlands | 0.3 | 0.4 | 6.6 | n | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | n | n | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | a | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| New Zealand | 3.1 | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | 0.4 | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Oc})$ | n | a | 0.1 |
| Norway | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.4 | n | 4.7 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | a |
| Poland | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 1.3 | n | 0.9 |
| Portugal | n | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | n | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Slovak Republic | 0.1 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 50.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | n | 17.6 | 0.4 | n | 0.3 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.1 | n | 0.1 |
| Spain | 0.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | n | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.7 | n | 0.1 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 5.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Sweden | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.6 | 0.9 | 10.7 |
| Switzerland | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | n | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | n | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 2.8 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Turkey | 0.2 | 5.4 | 1.0 | n | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | n | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 4.8 | n | 0.4 |
| United Kingdom | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | n | 0.3 | 2.3 | 21.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 3.3 | 1.1 | 3.7 |
| United States | 5.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 19.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 43.9 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
| 苗 Argentina | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{ns})$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | n | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | x（SA） | 0.1 | 0.1 | n |
| Brazil | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | n | n | 0.2 | n | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | x（SA） | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Chile | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | n | n | n | n | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | x（SA） | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| China | 9.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 15.2 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 55.0 | 48.6 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 4.3 | 47.9 | 2.5 |
| Egypt | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | n | x（Af） | 0.1 | n | 0.1 |
| India | 5.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 | n | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.3 | 5.4 | 1.2 |
| \＄Indonesia | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | n | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | n | 1.7 | 0.8 | x （As） | 3.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Jamaica | n | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{ns})$ | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | x （NA） | n | n | n |
| Jordan | 0.2 | 0.2 | n | 0.3 | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | n | n | 0.1 |
| Malaysia | 9.8 | n | n | n | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | 5.6 | n | 2.2 | 0.9 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.1 |
| Paraguay | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{SA})$ | n | n | n |
| Peru | n | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | n | n | n | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | n | x（SA） | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Philippines | 0.5 | n | 0.1 | n | 0.2 | 0.4 | n | 0.1 | n | n | 0.6 | n | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Russian Federation | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 13.5 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.0 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.8 |
| Sri Lanka | 1.5 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | 0.5 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Thailand | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | 1.7 | 0.1 | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Tunisia | n | 0.1 | 0.7 | n | n | 0.1 | 4.7 | 0.7 | n | n | 0.2 | n | 0.4 | 0.1 | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Af})$ | 0.1 | n | 0.1 |
| Uruguay | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | x （SA） | n | 0.1 | n |
| Zimbabwe | 0.4 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Af})$ | n | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total：OECD and par | ner coun | tries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total：Africa | 3.5 | 2.2 | 28.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 53.3 | 9.5 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 14.2 | 1.1 | 8.2 |
| Total：Asia | 66.7 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 25.8 | 13.9 | 34.5 | 85.9 | 15.1 | 6.8 | 24.9 | 10.4 | 92.2 | 88.6 | 1.4 | 20.1 | 78.4 | 11.6 |
| Total：Europe | 10.4 | 82.2 | 59.7 | 66.4 | 44.5 | 55.0 | 25.6 | 50.5 | 11.4 | 80.6 | 80.1 | 46.6 | 72.5 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 57.0 | 7.4 | 54.6 |
| Total：North America | 6.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 9.1 | 22.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 71.5 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 4.4 |
| Total：Oceania | 4.4 | 0.1 | n | $n$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $n$ | n | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 0.3 |
| Total：South America | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 20.1 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| Not specified | 7.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 21.0 | 40.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | $n$ | $n$ | 0.4 | $n$ | 2.9 | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | 0.5 | $n$ | 19.2 |
| Total：All countries of origin | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Note： x indicates that data are included in the totals for Africa $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Af})$ ］，Asia $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})$ ］，Europe $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})$ ］，North America $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{NA})$ ］，Oceania［ $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Oc})$ ］，
South America $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{SA})]$ or not specified country of origin $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{ns})]$ ．
1．Year of reference 2001.
Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．
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Table C3．2．（continued）Foreign students in tertiary education，by country of origin（2002）
Number offoreign students enrolled in tertiary education from a given country of origin as a percentage of all foreign students in the country of destination，based on head counts
The table shows for each country the proportion of foreign students in tertiary education who have citizenship of a given country of origin．
Reading the third column： $28.5 \%$ of Belgian foreign tertiary students are French citizens， $6.6 \%$ of Belgian foreign students are Dutch citizens，etc．
Reading the first row： $0.2 \%$ of foreign tertiary students in Denmark are Australian citizens， $0.7 \%$ of foreign tertiary students in Ireland are Australian citizens，etc．

|  | Countries of destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Countries of origin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\tilde{\tilde{n}}}{\substack{n}}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { e }}{\frac{y}{i}}$ |  | 苞 |  | 艺 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { K } \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { تِ } \\ & \text { ت} \\ & \text { ت} \\ & \text { En } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{: \frac{\pi}{6}}{\underline{n}}$ |  |
| 䦠 Australia | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | n | 0.5 | 0.2 | 5.6 | n | 0.1 | 0.4 | m | 0.2 | n | 0.3 |
| Austria | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | n | 0.2 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | m | n | n | 0.6 |
| O－Belgium | n | n | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | n | 1.0 | 0.2 | n | 0.2 | n | 0.3 | n | n | 0.1 | m | n | n | 0.6 |
| －Canada | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 4.5 | n | 1.0 | 0.9 | n | 0.2 | n | 1.0 | m | 0.3 | n | 1.9 |
| U Czech Republic | 3.1 | 18.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | n | 0.2 | 0.2 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| －Denmark | 0.2 | n | 0.7 | 3.2 | 0.3 | n | 0.7 | 0.2 | n | 0.3 | n | 0.3 | n | n | n | m | 0.3 | n | 0.3 |
| Finland | 0.1 | n | 0.8 | 12.5 | 0.3 | n | 1.0 | 0.1 | n | 0.2 | n | 0.5 | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.5 |
| France | 0.2 | 0.1 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 1.3 | n | 2.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | n | 0.1 | n | m | 0.5 | n | 2.7 |
| Germany | 1.8 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 20.7 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 1.6 | n | 3.6 | 0.2 | 4.0 | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | m | 0.3 | n | 3.0 |
| Greece | 0.5 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 0.4 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 2.6 |
| Hungary | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | n | 0.2 | 0.2 | n | n | n | 0.8 | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.4 |
| Iceland | m | n | 0.1 | 1.3 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.2 |
| Ireland | n | n | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | n | 5.2 | 0.2 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.8 |
| Italy | 0.3 | 0.1 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.6 | n | 0.7 | 0.2 | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 2.2 |
| Japan | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 8.0 | n | 0.6 | 0.6 | 41.1 | n | 1.1 | 1.0 | m | 2.1 | n | 3.3 |
| Korea | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 8.4 | n | 0.8 | 1.2 | 21.2 | n | 2.1 | 22.5 | m | 1.9 | n | 4.4 |
| Luxembourg | m | n | n | n | 0.8 | n | 0.3 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| Mexico | 0.1 | n | 3.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | n | 0.6 | 2.1 | n | 1.9 | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 1.0 |
| Netherlands | 0.1 | n | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | n | 1.0 | 0.3 | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | n | n | n | m | 0.4 | n | 0.6 |
| New Zealand | m | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | 0.2 | 0.2 | n | n | 0.1 | 1.6 | n | n | 0.1 | m | 0.1 | n | 0.4 |
| Norway | 5.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 0.4 | n | 1.6 | 0.4 | n | 0.4 | n | n | 0.2 | n | 0.2 | m | 0.3 | n | 0.8 |
| Poland | a | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 1.3 | n | 0.3 | 0.4 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 1.2 |
| Portugal | 0.1 | n | 4.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | n | 1.0 | 0.2 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | m | n | n | 0.6 |
| Slovak Republic | 1.5 | a | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.6 |
| Spain | 0.2 | 0.1 | a | 2.9 | 5.1 | n | 3.2 | 0.7 | n | 1.8 | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | n | n | m | n | n | 1.4 |
| Sweden | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | a | 0.8 | n | 1.7 | 0.7 | n | 1.7 | n | 0.5 | 0.3 | n | 0.1 | m | 0.3 | n | 0.8 |
| Switzerland | n | n | 0.5 | 0.6 | a | n | 0.6 | 0.3 | n | 0.4 | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.4 |
| Turkey | n | 0.2 | n | 0.5 | 2.1 | a | 0.6 | 2.1 | n | n | n | 1.9 | n | n | 0.2 | m | 0.7 | n | 2.5 |
| United Kingdom | 0.4 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | a | 1.4 | n | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | m | 0.6 | n | 1.5 |
| United States | 5.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 5.4 | a | n | 17.7 | 3.2 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 4.5 | 16.3 | m | 3.4 | n | 2.0 |
| 兑 Argentina | n | n | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | n | 0.2 | 0.6 | a | 9.1 | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.4 |
| Brazil | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | n | 0.4 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 3.9 | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.9 |
| Chile | n | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 21.6 | a | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| China | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 7.7 | 10.8 | n | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | n | 29.4 | 25.8 | m | 23.1 | n | 9.6 |
| E Egypt | n | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | n | n | 0.1 | n | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| India | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | n | 2.6 | 11.5 | n | 0.2 | a | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 3.1 | m | 2.5 | n | 4.7 |
| $\widetilde{2}$ Indonesia | 0.1 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | 0.4 | 2.0 | n | n | 1.2 | a | 0.3 | 28.4 | 3.6 | m | 0.6 | n | 1.9 |
| Jamaica | m | n | n | n | n | n | 0.2 | 0.7 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| Jordan | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | n | n | 0.7 | 0.3 | a | 0.9 | n | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| Malaysia | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | 4.0 | 1.3 | n | n | 1.9 | n | n | a | 0.5 | m | 1.4 | n | 2.0 |
| Paraguay | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 11.2 | 1.0 | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | m | n | n | 0.1 |
| Peru | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | n | 0.1 | 0.5 | 10.3 | 15.6 | n | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.4 |
| Philippines | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.6 | n | n | n | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | a | m | 0.9 | n | 0.3 |
| Russian Federation | 3.9 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | n | 0.2 | 0.2 | a | 0.2 | n | 0.1 | a | 0.5 | n | 1.4 |
| Sri Lanka | n | n | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | n | 0.7 | 0.4 | n | n | 4.9 | n | 15.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | m | 0.4 | n | 0.5 |
| Thailand | n | 0.1 | n | 0.3 | 0.1 | n | 1.1 | 2.0 | n | n | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 3.1 | m | a | n | 1.2 |
| Tunisia | 0.2 | n | n | n | 0.7 | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | m | n | a | 0.6 |
| Uruguay | m | n | 0.4 | n | 0.1 | n | n | 0.1 | 15.0 | 1.3 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | m | n | n | 0.1 |
| Zimbabwe | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | 1.2 | 0.3 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | m | n | n | 0.3 |
| Total：OECD and part | er cou | tries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total：Africa | 3.7 | 7.2 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 8.3 | 6.5 | $x(n s)$ | 0.2 | 38.1 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 3.2 | m | 0.4 | 72.1 | 11.0 |
| Total：Asia | 15.1 | 24.8 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 64.3 | 35.6 | 62.5 | $x$（ns） | 3.9 | 49.6 | 70.6 | 93.1 | 84.2 | 76.5 | 43.4 | 74.6 | 25.1 | 45.4 |
| Total：Europe | 72.7 | 66.5 | 61.7 | 60.0 | 78.8 | 32.9 | 45.4 | 13.8 | $x(n s)$ | 14.5 | 2.3 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 24.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 30.4 |
| Total：North America | 7.7 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 10.2 | $x(n s)$ | 29.2 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 17.4 | m | 3.8 | $n$ | 6.4 |
| Total：Oceania | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | $x(n s)$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 8.2 | $n$ | 0.1 | 1.2 | $m$ | 0.3 | $n$ | 0.9 |
| Total：South America | 0.8 | 0.5 | 18.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | n | 1.2 | 6.1 | 80.7 | 51.8 | 0.1 | $n$ | 0.1 | $n$ | 0.2 | m | $n$ | $n$ | 3.7 |
| Not specified | $n$ | n | $n$ | 20.7 | 0.2 | $n$ | 0.3 | $n$ | 19.3 | $n$ | 5.2 | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | 32.0 | $n$ | $n$ | 2.3 |
| Total：All countries of origin | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Note： x indicates that data are included in the totals for Africa $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Af})]$ ，Asia $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{As})]$ ，Europe $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Eu})]$ ，North America $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{NA})]$ ，Oceania $[\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Oc})]$ ，
South America［ $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{SA})$ ］or not specified country of origin［ $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{ns})$ ］．
1．Year of reference 2001.
Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．
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Table C3.3. Citizens studying abroad in tertiary education, by country of destination (2002)
Number of students enrolled in tertiary education in a given country of destination as a percentage of all students enrolled abroad, based on head counts
The table shows for each country the proportion of tertiary students enrolled abroad, by country of destination.
Reading the second column: 6.6\% of Czech tertiary students enrolled abroad study in Austria, $9.1 \%$ of German tertiary students enrolled abroad study in Austria, etc. Reading the first row: 3\% of Australian tertiary students enrolled abroad study in France, $4 \%$ of Australian tertiary students enrolled abroad study in the United Kingdom, etc.


Note: The proportion of students abroad is based only on the total of students enrolled in countries reporting data to the OECD. The resulting proportions are therefore overestimated, especially so for countries sending large number of students to countries that do not report to the OECD.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C3．3．（continued）Citizens studying abroad in tertiary education，by country of destination（2002）
Number of students enrolled in tertiary education in a given country of destination as a percentage of all students enrolled abroad，based on head counts
The table shows for each country the proportion of tertiary students enrolled abroad，by country of destination．
Reading the second column：6．6\％of Czech tertiary students enrolled abroad study in Austria， $9.1 \%$ of German tertiary students enrolled abroad study in Austria，etc． Reading the first row：3\％of Australian tertiary students enrolled abroad study in France， $24 \%$ of Australian tertiary students enrolled abroad study in the United Kingdom，etc．

|  | Countries of destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Countries of origin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Slovak Republic | $\begin{aligned} & \cdot \tilde{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \text { 苞 } \\ \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \text { 芯 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 灾 } \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  | 苞 | $\Xi$ 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\frac{0}{3}$ | ت | $\begin{aligned} & \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{U}}{0} \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\frac{\ddot{Z}}{\tilde{Z}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \text { 플 } \\ & \text { 프 } \end{aligned}$ | 䔍 |  |
| A Australia | 0.1 | n | 0.5 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 24.0 | 50.2 | 98.2 | n | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | n | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Austria | 0.1 | n | 5.1 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 99.9 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| \％Belgium | n | n | 12.5 | 2.0 | 2.6 | n | 21.6 | 8.5 | 99.8 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.2 | 100 |
| Canada | 0.3 | n | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | n | 8.7 | 74.0 | 99.5 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | 0.5 | 100 |
| O Czech Republic | 3.8 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | n | 6.6 | 19.3 | 99.9 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| －Denmark | 0.2 | n | 4.7 | 14.1 | 1.4 | n | 25.8 | 14.2 | 99.5 | n | 0.2 | n | n | n | n | n | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Finland | 0.1 | n | 3.8 | 36.4 | 0.9 | n | 23.7 | 8.3 | 99.8 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.2 | 100 |
| France | n | n | 10.6 | 2.3 | 6.1 | n | 24.0 | 14.6 | 99.6 | n | 0.3 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.4 | 100 |
| Germany | 0.2 | n | 7.9 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 22.1 | 17.0 | 99.6 | n | 0.3 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.4 | 100 |
| Greece | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 50.4 | 5.2 | 100.0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | $n$ | 100 |
| Hungary | 0.9 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | n | 5.2 | 16.1 | 99.9 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Iceland | n | n | 0.8 | 12.7 | 0.3 | n | 7.8 | 30.2 | 100.0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | $n$ | 100 |
| Ireland | n | n | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | n | 78.0 | 6.9 | 99.9 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Italy | n | n | 13.9 | 1.6 | 10.4 | n | 13.6 | 8.1 | 99.9 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Japan | n | n | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | n | 9.1 | 74.6 | 99.2 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | 0.3 | n | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Korea | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | 2.8 | 58.4 | 98.5 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | n | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.8 | n | 11.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | $n$ | 100 |
| Mexico | n | n | 8.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | n | 8.0 | 68.3 | 99.5 | n | 0.5 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.5 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 0.1 | n | 7.9 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 18.5 | 15.1 | 99.7 | n | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| New Zealand | n | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | n | 5.8 | 14.4 | 99.6 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Norway | 2.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 8.3 | 0.7 | n | 22.8 | 14.7 | 99.7 | n | 0.1 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Poland | a | 0.1 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.7 | n | 3.3 | 11.7 | 99.9 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Portugal | 0.1 | n | 16.0 | 1.1 | 4.2 | n | 19.4 | 8.4 | 99.9 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Slovak Republic | 1.0 | a | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 | n | 1.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | $n$ | 100 |
| Spain | 0.1 | n | a | 3.1 | 5.6 | n | 27.4 | 15.2 | 99.6 | n | 0.3 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.4 | 100 |
| Sweden | 0.6 | n | 3.0 | a | 1.5 | n | 25.1 | 26.5 | 99.2 | n | 0.6 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Switzerland | n | n | 3.0 | 2.2 | a | n | 15.7 | 20.5 | 99.7 | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | 0.3 | 100 |
| Turkey | n | n | n | 0.3 | 1.3 | a | 3.0 | 25.5 | 99.9 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 0.1 | n | 8.1 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | a | 30.4 | 99.3 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
| United States | 1.1 | n | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 31.8 | a | 93.6 | n | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Argentina | n | n | 18.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | n | 5.5 | 47.1 | 93.9 | a | 6.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 6.1 | 100 |
| 畏 Brazil | 0.2 | n | 7.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | n | 6.2 | 54.8 | 97.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 2.4 | 100 |
| 怎 Chile | n | n | 15.6 | 5.0 | 1.5 | n | 4.7 | 31.0 | 86.5 | 13.5 | a | n | n | n | n | n | n | 13.5 | 100 |
| China | n | n | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | n | 9.6 | 34.8 | 96.4 | n | n | n | n | n | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 100 |
| 受 Egypt | n | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 11.8 | 38.8 | 99.0 | n | n | 0.1 | n | 0.6 | 0.3 | n | n | 1.0 | 100 |
| India | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | n | 6.8 | 76.0 | 99.2 | n | n | a | n | n | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Indonesia | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | 2.5 | 32.2 | 86.4 | n | n | 0.3 | a | n | 13.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 13.6 | 100 |
| Israel | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 18.9 | 40.5 | 99.7 | n | 0.1 | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | 0.3 | 100 |
| Jamaica | n | n | n | n | n | n | 10.2 | 88.5 | 99.9 | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Jordan | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 14.0 | 42.8 | 96.4 | n | n | 1.0 | n | a | 2.5 | n | n | 3.6 | 100 |
| Malaysia | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | 23.8 | 19.6 | 99.4 | n | n | 0.4 | n | n | a | n | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Paraguay | 0.2 | n | 5.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 36.2 | 59.3 | 36.0 | 4.5 | n | n | n | n | 0.2 | n | 40.7 | 100 |
| Peru | 0.1 | n | 14.1 | 0.8 | 2.1 | n | 2.2 | 41.2 | 85.7 | 4.4 | 9.8 | n | n | n | n | n | n | 14.3 | 100 |
| Philippines | 0.1 | n | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | n | 4.9 | 57.7 | 98.5 | n | n | 0.1 | n | 0.3 | 0.5 | a | 0.6 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Russian Federation | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 26.2 | 99.8 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Sri Lanka | n | n | n | 0.4 | 0.3 | n | 17.5 | 24.2 | 86.6 | n | n | 4.5 | n | 8.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 100 |
| Thailand | n | n | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | n | 10.8 | 51.6 | 97.6 | n | n | 1.2 | n | n | 0.8 | 0.4 | a | 2.4 | 100 |
| Tunisia | 0.1 | n | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 99.9 | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
| Uruguay | n | n | 12.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | n | 3.3 | 31.8 | 61.4 | 34.0 | 4.3 | n | n | 0.3 | n | n | n | 38.6 | 100 |
| Zimbabwe | 0.1 | n | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 47.0 | 35.6 | 99.9 | n | n | 0.1 | n | n | n | n | n | 0.1 | 100 |
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Table C3.4. Distribution of foreign students, by level and type of tertiary education (2002)

|  | Tertiary-type B | Tertiary-type A | Advanced research programmes | Tertiary-type A and advanced research programmes | Total tertiary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Australia | 6.2 | 89.3 | 4.5 | 93.8 | 100 |
| Austria ${ }^{1}$ | 2.4 | 88.1 | 9.5 | 97.6 | 100 |
| Belgium | 44.9 | 50.2 | 4.9 | 55.1 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 3.3 | 82.7 | 14.0 | 96.7 | 100 |
| Denmark | 11.5 | 82.5 | 6.0 | 88.5 | 100 |
| Finland | 0.6 | 79.4 | 20.0 | 99.4 | 100 |
| France ${ }^{2}$ | 8.7 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 91.3 | 100 |
| Germany ${ }^{3}$ | 5.9 | 94.1 | m | m | 100 |
| Hungary | 0.2 | 95.6 | 4.2 | 99.8 | 100 |
| Iceland | 3.2 | 96.4 | 0.4 | 96.8 | 100 |
| Italy | 5.9 | 93.3 | 0.8 | 94.1 | 100 |
| Japan | 6.9 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 93.1 | 100 |
| Korea | 19.3 | 67.6 |  | 80.7 | 100 |
| Netherlands ${ }^{3}$ | 0.7 | 99.3 | m | m | 100 |
| New Zealand | 28.5 | 69.6 | 1.9 | 71.5 | 100 |
| Norway ${ }^{2}$ | 3.4 | 87.1 | 9.5 | 96.6 | 100 |
| Poland ${ }^{3}$ | 0.3 | 99.7 | m | m | 100 |
| Slovak Republic | 0.5 | 92.8 | 6.7 | 99.5 | 100 |
| Spain | 5.7 | 74.9 | 19.3 | 94.3 | 100 |
| Sweden | 2.1 | 83.4 | 14.5 | 97.9 | 100 |
| Switzerland | 15.0 | 66.7 | 18.3 | 85.0 | 100 |
| Turkey ${ }^{3}$ | 6.6 | 93.4 | m | m | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 15.5 | 74.4 | 10.0 | 84.5 | 100 |
| Chile | 9.2 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 90.8 | 100 |
| India ${ }^{4}$ | n | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 100.0 | 100 |
| Indonesia | a |  | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 100.0 | 100 |
| Malaysia $^{4}$ | 63.9 | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | $\mathrm{x}(4)$ | 36.1 | 100 |
| Russian Federation ${ }^{3}$ | 8.8 |  | m | m | 100 |

Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after " x ", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(4)$ means that data are included in column 4 .

1. Based on the number of registrations, not head counts.
2. Based on partial data covering $81 \%$ of foreign students.
3. Excluding advanced research programmes.
4. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C3.5. Distribution of tertiary foreign students, by field of study (2002)

|  | Agriculture | Education | Engineering, manufacturing and construction | Health and welfare | Humanities and arts | Sciences | Services | Social sciences, business and law | Not known <br> or unspecified | Total, all fields of study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia | 0.7 | 3.4 | 10.9 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 22.1 | 1.7 | 40.6 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Austria ${ }^{1}$ | 1.6 | 5.6 | 13.5 | 9.4 | 24.4 | 10.7 | 1.0 | 33.6 | 0.1 | 100 |
| Belgium | 5.2 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 25.6 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 2.2 | 19.7 | 17.4 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 3.0 | 1.5 | 14.9 | 27.7 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 1.4 | 28.9 | n | 100 |
| Denmark | 2.6 | 3.8 | 15.4 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 28.8 | n | 100 |
| Finland | 2.0 | 2.4 | 28.4 | 10.4 | 18.5 | 10.3 | 3.1 | 24.9 | n | 100 |
| Germany ${ }^{2}$ | 1.1 | 4.3 | 16.9 | 6.2 | 22.5 | 14.9 | 1.0 | 26.8 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Hungary | 10.7 | 10.2 | 14.3 | 22.1 | 16.0 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 19.6 | n | 100 |
| Iceland | 1.3 | 10.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 44.3 | 13.6 | 1.9 | 19.9 | n | 100 |
| Italy | 1.8 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 27.1 | 19.5 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 27.7 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Japan | 3.2 | 3.6 | 14.6 | 5.1 | 24.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 35.8 | 10.0 | 100 |
| Netherlands ${ }^{2}$ | 0.8 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 46.9 | 0.7 | 100 |
| New Zealand | 0.6 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 9.6 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 52.7 | 8.4 | 100 |
| Norway | 2.2 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 3.2 | 25.5 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Poland ${ }^{2}$ | 0.8 | 8.5 | 6.2 | 19.7 | 26.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 34.8 | n | 100 |
| Slovak Republic | 9.3 | 5.4 | 12.1 | 33.9 | 13.3 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 18.2 | n | 100 |
| Sweden | 1.0 | 7.2 | 18.1 | 14.6 | 16.0 | 13.1 | 1.1 | 28.5 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Switzerland | 0.8 | 3.9 | 15.5 | 6.0 | 16.7 | 14.5 | 6.6 | 34.6 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Turkey ${ }^{2}$ | 2.7 | 7.1 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 42.0 | n | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 1.1 | 4.3 | 16.1 | 11.6 | 16.7 | 15.3 | 0.9 | 34.0 | n | 100 |

1. Based on the number of registrations, not head counts.
2. Excluding advanced research programmes.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C3.6.Trends in the number of foreign students enrolled outside their country of origin (1998, 2000, 2001, 2002)
Number of foreign students enrolled in tertiary education outside their country of origin, based on head counts

|  | Number of foreign students |  |  |  | Index of change (2002) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1998 | $2001=100$ | $1998=100$ |
| Foreign students from throughout the world enrolled in reporting OECD and partner countries | 1898250 | 1645425 | 1620810 | m | 115.4 | m |
| Foreign students from throughout the world enrolled in reporting OECD countries | 1781090 | 1538867 | 1522719 | 1327154 | 115.7 | 134.2 |

Note: Figures are based on the number of foreign students enrolled in OECD and partner countries reporting data. The coverage of these reporting countries has evolved over time, therefore the figures are not strictly comparable and caution should be taken in interpreting trends. Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

# INDICATOR C4: EDUCATION AND WORK STATUS OF THE YOUTH POPULATION 

- On average among countries, a young person aged 15 in 2002 can expect to be in formal education for a little less than six and a half years. In 17 of the 28 countries studied, this period ranges from near six to seven and a half years.
- In addition to the expected number of years spent in education, a young person aged 15 can expect to hold a job for 6.4 of the 15 years to come, to be unemployed for a total of 0.8 years and to be out of the labour market for 1.3 years. Countries vary the most in the average duration of spells of unemployment; this factor primarily reflects differences in youth employment rates.
- In 23 out of 27 OECD countries, more female than male 20 to 24 -year-olds are in education. Males in the 20 to 24 -year-old age group are more likely to be employed. The percentage of 20 to 24 -year-olds not in education ranges from 50 to $70 \%$ in most OECD countries.
- In some countries, education and work largely occur consecutively, while in other countries they are concurrent. Work-study programmes, relatively common in European countries, offer coherent vocational education routes to recognised occupational qualifications. In other countries, initial education and work are rarely associated.

Chart C4.1. Expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (2002)
Number of years, by work status


## Policy context

During the past decade, young people have spent longer in initial education, with the result that they delay their entry into the world of work. Some of this additional time is spent combining work and education, a practice that is widespread in some countries. Once young people have completed their initial education, access to the labour market is often impeded by spells of unemployment or non-employment, although this situation affects males and females differently.

All OECD countries are experiencing rapid social and economic changes that are making the transition to working life more uncertain. In some OECD countries, education and work largely occur consecutively, while in other OECD countries they may be concurrent. The ways in which education and work are combined can significantly affect the transition process. Of particular interest, for example, is the extent to which working (beyond the usual "summer jobs" for students) while studying may facilitate entry into the labour force. It is also important to consider whether students who work many hours while studying may be more likely to drop out of education, and to examine if working and studying simultaneously contributes to a successful transition to the labour market.

## Evidence and explanations

On the basis of the current situation of persons between the ages of 15 and 29, this indicator gives a picture of the major trends affecting the transition from school to work.

On average, a young person aged 15 in 2002 can expect to be in education for around six and a half years (Table C4.1a). In 17 of the 28 countries studied, a 15 -year-old can expect to spend from 5.9 to 7.5 years in education. There is, however, a gap of around four years separating the two extreme groups: Denmark, Finland, France and Iceland (more than eight years on average) on the one hand and Mexico, the Czech and Slovak Republics and Turkey (four and half years on average) on the other.

The figure for expected years of education covers some very different combinations of education and work. Employment combined with education includes both work-study programmes and part-time jobs. While such combinations are rare in half of the countries studied, in the other half they account for between one and four of the additional years that young people expect to spend in education.

In addition to the average six and a half years spent in education, a young person aged 15 can expect to hold a job for 6.4 of the 15 years to come, to be unemployed for a total of 0.8 years and to be out of the labour market for 1.3 years, neither in education nor seeking work (Table C4.1a). It is worth noting that, in absolute terms, young people can expect to spend less time in unemployment after completion of initial education than they could ten years ago.

This indicator shows the expected years young people spend in education, employment and non-employment...
...and examines the education and employment status of young males and females.

On average, a 15 -yearold can expect to be in the education system for about another six and a half years.

The figure for expected years of education covers some very different combinations of education and work.

Today, a 15-year-old can expect to hold a job for 6.4 years, to be unemployed for almost one year and to be out of the labour force for 1.3 years until the age of 29 .

## A majority of countries have seen an increase in expected years of education over the past five years.

The average duration of unemployment varies significantly among countries; this mainly reflects differences in youth employment rates. The cumulative average duration of unemployment is less than five months in Denmark, Iceland, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands and Norway, but more than 18 months in Greece, Poland and the Slovak Republic.

The trend observed in the last years is pursuing for the majority of countries. Few of them are stable: with a long duration in education already achieved for France and Sweden; with intermediate durations for Canada and the United States; and with short duration, which could be a concern in Ireland and moreover in Portugal and Turkey (Chart C4.2).
Only Norway and Spain show trends of diminishing duration in education. In all other countries the upward trend is still marked. Since 1998, Australia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Mexico, Poland and the Slovak Republic showed an increase of more than six months in the number of expected years in education for 15 -year-olds.

Chart C4.2. Change in expected years in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (1998-2002)


[^5]Chart C4.3. Gender differences in expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (2002)


1. Year of reference 2001.
2. Data refer to 15 to 24 -year-olds.

Countries are ranked in descending order of the difference between females and males in expected years in education of the 15 to 29-year-olds. Source: OECD. Table C4.1a. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

The average overall number of expected years in education is higher for females ( 6.6 compared with 6.3 years). In all countries but seven (Germany, Japan, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, Switzerland and Turkey), the figures are higher for the duration in education for females. InTurkey, however, female students can expect to receive one year less education than their male classmates. At the other end of the scale, males can expect the same educational disadvantage in Finland, Iceland, Norway and the United Kingdom (Chart C4.3).

By and large, males and females differ very little in terms of the expected number of years in unemployment, even though expected unemployment periods tend to be longer for males. While the situation is similar for both genders in many countries or with a slight disadvantage for males, females appear to be at a clear disadvantage in the Czech Republic, Italy, Greece, Portugal and Spain, and at a sensible advantage in Canada, Hungary, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Turkey (Table C4.1a). In some of these countries, and most notably in Turkey, the lower expectancy for females is largely influenced by the fact that many females leave the labour market, thereby reducing pressure on jobs.

Whereas young males can expect to spend little more than one year and seven months in neither education nor employment between the ages of 15 and 29, the average figure for females is more than two years and nine months. In the Czech Republic, Greece, Hungary, Mexico and Turkey, there is a much stronger tendency for young females to leave the labour market, and spend time out of the educational system and not working. In very few countries - Austria, Finland and Sweden - young males and young females do not differ much in this measure. In all other countries, females between the ages of 15 and 29 spend an average of about 10 months more than males not in education and not employed.

Conversely, females between the ages of 15 and 29 in all OECD countries can expect a reduced duration of employment after education; this is partially a consequence of the time spent in education, but is also attributable to other factors. In the Czech Republic, Greece, Mexico and Turkey, expected years not in education and not in employment are much higher for females than for males, whereas the expected years in education are similar or even lower. In Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom the higher expected years in education for females counterbalance, at least partly, the shorter duration in employment.

## Combining work and education

Countries differ not only in the duration of education but also how it is combined with work experiences.

The 27 OECD countries which provide data on youth transitions show differences in both the duration of education and how education is combined with work experiences in enterprise or by work study programmes (Chart C4.4).

The first group (Group A) is the smallest; only three countries present a long duration in education not frequently combined with work. The expected number of years in education between the ages of 15 and 29 is around eight years in Finland, France and Poland, with the oldest students most frequently enrolled in Finland. Work-study programmes and other forms of work experience during schooling exist but remain uncommon.

## Chart C4.4. Country profiles on transition from education to work (2002)

Percentage of the 15 to 29-year-old population in education and not in education, by age group and work status

| $\square$ In education, not employed | In education, employed |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Not in education, not employed |  |
| Not in education, employed |  |$\square$ Students in work-study programmes

Group A: Long duration in education, not frequently combined with work


Group B: Long duration in education, combined with work


Group C: Mean duration in education, combined with work


Group D: Mean duration in education, not frequently combined with work


Group E: Short duration in education





1. Year of reference 2001.

In each group, countries are ranked in descending order of the percentage of the 15 to 29-year-old population in education.
Source: OECD. Table C4.2. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Work-study programmes and other ways of combining work and education are common in some OECD countries, but rare in others.

During the years spent in education, the employment status of males and females is broadly similar in most OECD countries.

> The transition from education to work occurs at different points of time in different OECD countries, depending on various educational and labour market factors.

The second group (Group B) is slightly bigger: four countries. They combine a long duration of education with a significant participation in work during study. The Nordic countries - Denmark, Iceland and Sweden - are part of this group, with high participation in employment in combination with education for the three age groups. Germany shows a similar pattern thanks to its dual system organising the combination of work and school.

Groups C and D include the majority of countries with an average duration of education. They clearly differ on how education is combined with work experience. In Group C, working while studying can occur as part of work-study programmes or in the form of part-time jobs out of school hours. Work-study programmes are relatively common in European countries such as Austria and Switzerland, and offer coherent vocational education routes to recognised occupational qualifications. Many young people also combine paid work out of school hours with education. This form of initial contact with the labour market for students between the ages of 15 and 24 is a major feature of the transition from education to work in Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom and the United States and, to a lesser extent, Norway.

For Group D - composed of Belgium, Hungary, Ireland, Luxembourg, and the Mediterranean countries - initial education and work are rarely associated, neither by paid work out of schools hours nor by participation in work-study programmes.

A short duration in education is the main feature of Group E. In the Czech and Slovak Republics, work-study programmes ensure a relatively high participation in education between the ages of 15 and 19 years. That is not the case in Mexico and Turkey. From the age of 20, participation in education becomes very low for all the countries of this group.

The employment status of males and females during the years spent in education is broadly similar, except in Austria, Germany and Switzerland, where noticeably more men participate in work-study programmes. In Australia, Canada, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden and the United Kingdom, noticeably more females than males in the 15 to 24 -year-old age group combine work outside school hours with education (Tables C4.2a and C4.2b).

## Entry into the labour market after initial education

As they grow older, young people participate decreasingly in education and increasingly in the labour force. The percentage of young people not in education in most OECD countries is between 10 and $30 \%$ for 15 to 19 -year-olds, rises to between 50 and $70 \%$ for 20 to 24 -year-olds and reaches 80 to $95 \%$ for 25 to 29 -year-olds (Table C4.2). However, in many OECD countries young people begin their transition to work later, and in some cases over a longer period. This trend reflects not only the demand for education, but also the general state of the labour market, the length and orientation of educational programmes in relation to the labour market and the prevalence of part-time education.
The age at which people enter the labour market after completing initial education has consequences for employment. Overall, older non-students are more
likely to be employed than non-students aged 15 to 19 , while a higher percentage of male than female non-students are working. In relative terms, more females than males are out of the labour force, particularly during the years associated with child-bearing and child-rearing, captured by the age group 25 to 29 years in this indicator (Tables C4.2a and C4.2b).
Employment(-to-population) ratios among young adults who are not in education provide information on the effectiveness of transition frameworks and thus help policy makers to evaluate transition policies. In 21 out of 27 OECD countries, fewer than 66 (and in some countries even fewer than $50 \%$ ) of 15 to 19-year-olds not in education are working, which may suggest that because these young people have left school early, they are not viewed by employers as having the skills necessary for productive employment. Employment ratios for 20 to 24 -year-olds generally exceed $65 \%$, but ratios in some OECD countries such as Finland, Italy, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Turkey are still around or below $60 \%$. For the 25 to 29 age group, most OECD countries have ratios of between 67 and $87 \%$, with the exception of Poland and Turkey (Table C4.2). Employment ratios for young males tend to be higher than for young females after leaving education, probably for family-related reasons and because the social acceptability of being unemployed is still higher for females than for males in many OECD countries (Tables C4.2a and C4.2b).

## Unemployment rate and ratio of unemployed non-students to the total youth population

Young people represent the principal source of new skills in OECD countries. In most OECD countries, education policy seeks to encourage young people to complete at least upper secondary education. Since many jobs in the current labour market require ever higher general skill levels and more flexible learning skills, persons with low attainment are often severely penalised. Differences in the ratio of unemployed non-students to the total youth population by level of educational attainment are an indicator of the degree to which further education improves the economic opportunities of any young person.
The youth unemployment rate by age group is the most common measure available for describing the labour market status of young people. However, unemployment rates do not take educational circumstances into account. Consequently, an unemployed young person counted in the numerator may, in some OECD countries, be enrolled in education. The denominator may include young people in vocational training, provided they are apprenticed, but not those in school-based vocational courses. Hence, if almost all young people in a particular age group are still in education, the unemployment rate will reflect only the few in the labour market and may therefore appear very high, particularly among the youngest cohort, who have usually left the education system with very low qualifications.
The ratio of unemployed non-students to the total age cohort is therefore a more appropriate way to reflect the likelihood of youth unemployment. This is because young people who are looking for a job while still in education are

Traditional unemployment measures overestimate unemployment in the transition period and are insensitive to different systems of combining education and work in the transition period.

The ratio of unemployed people who have not completed upper secondary education to the total youth population is 1.5 times higher on average than for upper secondary graduates.
upper secondary education, and even tertiary-level education, significantly increases the chance of being employed.
usually seeking part-time or temporary work while studying, unlike those entering the labour market after leaving school.

On average, completing upper secondary education reduces the unemployment-topopulation ratio (e.g., unemployment among non-students as a percentage of the entire age cohort) of 20 to 24 -year-olds by about 6 percentage points, and that of 25 to 29-year-olds by about 4 percentage points (Table C4.3). In 20 out of 27 OECD countries, the unemployment ratio among 20 to 24 -year-olds not in education is less than $8 \%$ for those with upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education. This proportion remains below $8 \%$ for people without upper secondary education in only six OECD countries. Since it has become the norm in most OECD countries to complete upper secondary education, many young persons who do not are much more likely to have employment difficulties during their working lives.

At the end of the transition period, between the ages of 25 and 29 , when most young people have finished studying, differences in access to employment are linked to the education level attained. Not attaining an upper secondary qualification is clearly a serious handicap. Conversely, tertiary education offers a premium for most job seekers (Chart C4.5).

Chart C4.5. Ratio of the population not in education and unemployed to the 25 to 29 -year-old population, by level of education attained (2002)


In 12 OECD countries, for upper secondary graduates aged 25 to 29 , the ratio of unemployed non-students to the total youth population is above $5 \%$. In a few OECD countries, even young people who have completed tertiary-level education are subject to considerable unemployment risk when they enter the labour market. The ratio of unemployed non-students to the total youth population among this age group is $8 \%$ or more in Greece, Italy, Poland, the Slovak Republic, Spain and Turkey (Table C4.3).

Focusing on the key transition period (i.e. ages 20 to 24 ) illustrates the changes in the prevalence of unemployment and withdrawal from the labour force both represent "non-employment"- among individuals who have left education. Over a period of four years, important changes are evident in several countries. In the Mediterranean countries (Greece, Italy and Spain), as well as in Finland, where the proportion of non-employment was rather high, the improvement is remarkable, even if the trend shows an inflexion for the most recent year. Turkey presents an exception with a negative evolution for the non-employment ratio already the highest of the OECD. Central and Eastern European

For 20 to 24-year-olds in most countries, "nonemployment" has been declining since 1998.

Chart C4.6. Change in the ratio of the 20 to 24 -year-old population not in education and not employed (1998-2002)


[^6]Data are derived from National Labour Force Surveys.

Data for this indicator were obtained from a special OECD data collection on the first quarter of the year.
countries have very different profiles: regular decrease of non-employment in Hungary, regular increase in the Slovak Republic, increase followed by a decrease in Poland after a peak in 2000.

However, the situation is remarkably stable over the five last years for several countries: at a high level of the non-employment ratio in Mexico, at a low level in Denmark and at an intermediate level in the United Kingdom and the United States. Other profiles are less pronounced, but a general picture appears. With the exception of Norway, which shows a slight but regularly growing trend in growth of the non-employment ratio, and Switzerland, with a pronounced "V" curve with a lower point in 2000, most countries show only slight variations and a regular fall of unemployment and withdrawal from the labour force from 1998 to 2001, followed by a stabilisation or even an increase of unemployment and withdrawal from the labour force in 2002.

## Definitions and methodologies

The statistics presented here are calculated from labour force survey data on age-specific proportions of young people in each of the specified categories. These proportions are then totalled over the 15 to 29 age group to yield the expected number of years spent in various situations. For countries providing data from the age of 16 only, it is assumed that all 15 -year-olds are in education and out of the labour force. This improvement in the calculation tends to increase the average number of expected years in education compared to the last edition of Education at a Glance. The calculation thus assumes that young persons currently aged 15 will show the same pattern of education and work between the ages of 15 and 29 as the population between those ages in the given reference year.

Persons in education include those attending part-time as well as full-time, where the coverage of education should be as close as possible to that of formal education in administrative sources on enrolment. Therefore, non-formal education or educational activities of very short duration (for example, at the work place) should be excluded.

Data for this indicator, which were obtained from a special OECD data collection, usually refer to the first quarter or the average of the first three months of the calendar year, and therefore exclude summer employment. The labour force status categories shown in this section are defined according to ILO guidelines, with one exception. For the purposes of these indicators, persons in work-study programmes (see below) have been classified separately as in education and employed, without reference to their ILO labour force status during the survey reference week, since they may not necessarily be in the work component of their programmes during the reference week, and may therefore not be employed at the time. "Other employed" includes individuals employed according to the ILO definition, but excludes those attending work-study programmes who are already counted as employed. Finally, "not in the labour force" includes individuals who are not working and who are not unemployed, i.e. individuals who are not looking for a job.

Work-study programmes combine work and education as parts of an integrated, formal education or training activity, such as the dual system in Germany; apprentissage or formation en alternance in France and Belgium; internship or cooperative education in Canada; and apprenticeship in Ireland. Vocational education and training take place in school settings and working environments. Students or trainees can be paid or not, usually depending on the type of job and the course or training.
The enrolment counts are here estimated on the basis of self-reports collected during labour force surveys that often correspond only imprecisely with enrolments obtained from administrative sources shown elsewhere in this publication, for several reasons. First, age may not be measured in the same way. For example, in administrative data, both enrolment and age are measured on January 1st in OECD countries in the northern hemisphere, whereas in some labour force surveys, enrolment is measured in the reference week, while the age recorded is the age that will be attained at the end of the calendar year, even if the survey is conducted in the early part of the year. This means that recorded enrolment rates may occasionally reflect a population that is almost one year younger than the specified age range. At ages when movements out of education may be significant, this affects enrolment rates. Second, young people may be enrolled in several programmes and can sometimes be counted twice in administrative statistics but only once in a labour force survey. Moreover, not all enrolments may be captured in administrative statistics, particularly in profit-making institutions. Third, the programme classification used in the self-reports in labour force surveys does not always correspond to the qualification standards used for administrative data collections.

The unemployment ratio is the number of unemployed persons divided by the total number of persons in the population.
The employment ratio is the number of employed persons divided by the total number of persons in the population.

Table C4.1a. Expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (2002)
By gender and work status

|  |  | Expected years in education |  |  | Expected years not in education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not employed | Employed (including work-study programmes) | Sub-total | Employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total |
| Australia | Males | 3.2 | 3.5 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 8.3 |
| $\underset{3}{3}$ | Females | 3.0 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 8.3 |
| O | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 3.1 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 8.3 |
| Austria | Males | 3.9 | 2.0 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 9.1 |
|  | Females | 4.6 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 9.0 |
|  | M +F | 4.2 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 9.1 |
| Belgium | Males | 5.8 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 8.7 |
|  | Females | 6.2 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 8.3 |
|  | M +F | 6.0 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 8.5 |
| Canada | Males | 4.1 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 8.5 |
|  | Females | 4.0 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 7.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 4.0 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 8.2 |
| Czech Republic | Males | 3.9 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 9.9 |
|  | Females | 4.5 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 9.7 |
|  | M +F | 4.2 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 9.8 |
| Denmark | Males | 3.4 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 7.1 |
|  | Females | 3.6 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 6.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 3.5 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| Finland | Males | 5.1 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 7.4 |
|  | Females | 6.0 | 2.7 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 6.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.5 | 2.6 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 6.9 |
| France | Males | 6.6 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 7.2 |
|  | Females | 7.1 | 1.1 | 8.2 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 6.8 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 6.9 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 7.0 |
| Germany | Males | 4.7 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 7.6 |
|  | Females | 4.8 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 7.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 4.8 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 7.7 |
| Greece | Males | 5.7 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 9.0 |
|  | Females | 6.0 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 8.8 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.8 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 8.9 |
| Hungary | Males | 5.8 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 8.6 |
|  | Females | 5.9 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 8.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.8 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 8.6 |
| Iceland | Males | 3.9 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 0.7 | c | 7.5 |
|  | Females | 4.3 | 4.2 | 8.5 | 5.7 | c | 0.7 | 6.5 |
|  | M +F | 4.1 | 3.9 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 7.0 |
| Ireland | Males | 4.7 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 9.6 |
|  | Females | 5.1 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 9.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 4.9 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 9.3 |
| Italy | Males | 5.6 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 9.1 |
|  | Females | 6.2 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 8.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.9 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 8.8 |
| Japan ${ }^{1}$ | Males | 5.2 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.0 |
|  | Females | 4.9 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 4.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.1 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.1 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Data refer to 15 to 24 -year-olds.
2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.1a. (continued) Expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (2002)
By gender and work status

|  |  |  | Expected years in education |  |  | Expected years not in education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not employed | Employed (including work-study programmes) | Sub-total | Employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total |
|  | Luxembourg | Males | 6.3 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 8.0 |
|  |  | Females | 6.4 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 8.1 |
|  |  | M +F | 6.4 | 0.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 8.1 |
|  | Mexico | Males | 3.6 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.4 |
|  |  | Females | 3.7 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 10.7 |
|  |  | M +F | 3.6 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 10.6 |
| Netherlands |  | Males | 2.8 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 9.0 |
|  |  | Females | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 9.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 2.8 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 9.1 |
| Norway |  | Males | 4.5 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 8.8 |
|  |  | Females | 4.8 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 7.7 |
|  |  | M +F | 4.6 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 8.2 |
| Poland |  | Males | 6.6 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 7.2 |
|  |  | Females | 7.0 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 6.9 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 6.8 | 1.1 | 7.9 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 7.1 |
| Portugal |  | Males | 4.5 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 9.9 |
|  |  | Females | 5.4 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 9.0 |
|  |  | M +F | 5.0 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 9.4 |
| Slovak Republic |  | Males | 4.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 10.0 |
|  |  | Females | 4.7 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 9.6 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 4.3 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 9.8 |
| Spain |  | Males | 5.3 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 9.1 |
|  |  | Females | 6.0 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 8.3 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.6 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 8.7 |
| Sweden |  | Males | 5.8 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 7.9 |
|  |  | Females | 5.8 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7.2 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.8 | 1.7 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 7.5 |
| Switzerland |  | Males | 2.8 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 8.1 |
|  |  | Females | 2.9 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 8.5 |
|  |  | M +F | 2.8 | 3.9 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 8.3 |
| Turkey |  | Males | 3.3 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 11.3 |
|  |  | Females | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 12.4 |
|  |  | M +F | 2.9 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 11.8 |
| United Kingdom |  | Males | 3.3 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 9.4 |
|  |  | Females | 3.7 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 8.4 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 3.5 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 9.0 |
| United States ${ }^{2}$ |  | Males | 4.1 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 8.5 |
|  |  | Females | 3.8 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 8.3 |
|  |  | M +F | 3.9 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 8.4 |
| Country mean |  | Males | 4.6 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 8.7 |
|  |  | Females | 4.8 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 8.4 |
|  |  | $\boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{F}$ | 4.7 | 1.7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 8.6 |
|  | Israel | Males | 4.5 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 9.2 |
|  |  | Females | 4.6 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 9.0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 4.6 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 9.1 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Data refer to 15 to 24 -year-olds.
2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

CHAPTER C Access to education, participation and progression

Table C4.1b. Change in expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (1998-2002)
By gender and work status

|  |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In Not in <br> education <br> education |  | In Not in <br> education |  | In Not in <br> education <br> education  |  | In Not in <br> education |  | In Not in <br> education <br> education  |  |
|  | Males | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
|  | Females | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
|  | M +F | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
|  | Males | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.9 | 9.1 |
|  | Females | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
|  | M + F | 5.5 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.9 | 9.1 |
| Belgium | Males | 6.4 | 8.6 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 8.7 |
|  | Females | 6.5 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
|  | M +F | 6.5 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
| Canada | Males | 6.6 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
|  | Females | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
|  | M +F | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.2 |
| Czech Republic | Males | 4.7 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 10.3 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 9.9 |
|  | Females | 4.8 | 10.2 | 4.7 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 5.3 | 9.7 |
|  | M + F | 4.7 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 5.2 | 9.8 |
| Denmark | Males | 8.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 7.1 |
|  | Females | 8.4 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 6.7 |
|  | M +F | 8.3 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 6.9 |
| Finland | Males | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
|  | Females | 8.5 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 5.9 | 8.7 | 6.3 |
|  | M +F | 7.9 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 6.9 |
| France | Males | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
|  | Females | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 6.8 |
|  | M +F | 7.9 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| Germany | Males | 6.9 | 8.1 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
|  | Females | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
|  | M + F | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| Greece | Males | 5.5 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
|  | Females | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 8.8 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 8.9 |
| Hungary | Males | 5.6 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 9.4 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 8.6 |
|  | Females | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.9 | 9.1 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
|  | M + F | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 8.6 |
| Iceland | Males | m | m | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
|  | Females | m | m | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 6.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | m | m | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| Ireland | Males | m | m | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 9.6 |
|  | Females | m | m | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
|  | M + F | m | m | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 9.3 |
| Italy | Males | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 9.1 |
|  | Females | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 5.9 | 9.1 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 6.2 | 8.8 |
| Japan ${ }^{1}$ | Males | 6.2 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 4.0 |
|  | Females | 5.7 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 4.3 |
|  | M + F | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 4.1 |

[^7]Table C4.1b. (continued) Change in expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29-year-olds (1998-2002)

By gender and work status


1. Data refer to 15 to 24 -year-olds.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.2. Percentage of the youth population in education and not in education (2002) By age group and work status


Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour market status according to the ILO definition.
2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.2. (continued) Percentage of the youth population in education and not in education (2002)
By age group and work status

| n华00000 |  | Age group | In education |  |  |  |  | Not in education |  |  |  | Total in education and not in education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Students in work-study programmes ${ }^{1}$ | Other employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total | Employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total |  |
|  | Luxembourg | 15-19 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 84.2 | 91.3 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 8.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 1.8 | 6.9 | n | 39.2 | 47.8 | 45.2 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 52.2 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.5 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 13.9 | 74.5 | 3.2 | 8.4 | 86.1 | 100 |
|  | Mexico | 15-19 | a | 7.5 | 0.3 | 45.7 | 53.4 | 29.0 | 1.7 | 15.8 | 46.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 5.0 | 0.3 | 15.4 | 20.8 | 52.6 | 2.5 | 24.1 | 79.2 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 1.6 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 64.8 | 1.9 | 28.8 | 95.4 | 100 |
|  | Netherlands | 15-19 | m | 39.8 | 3.8 | 37.2 | 80.7 | 14.7 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 19.3 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | m | 21.9 | 0.9 | 12.5 | 35.3 | 56.8 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 64.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | m | 3.5 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 80.9 | 2.5 | 10.4 | 93.8 | 100 |
|  | Norway | 15-19 | a | 22.8 | 5.4 | 57.1 | 85.3 | 11.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 14.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 16.1 | 2.6 | 19.8 | 38.5 | 51.8 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 61.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 4.9 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 14.2 | 75.0 | 3.2 | 7.5 | 85.8 | 100 |
|  | Poland | 15-19 | a | 3.0 | 0.8 | 92.2 | 95.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 9.9 | 8.3 | 35.7 | 53.8 | 20.8 | 18.0 | 7.4 | 46.2 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 8.6 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 14.9 | 53.3 | 18.7 | 13.2 | 85.1 | 100 |
|  | Portugal | 15-19 | a | 2.0 | 0.5 | 70.0 | 72.4 | 20.3 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 27.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 5.9 | 0.8 | 28.1 | 34.7 | 53.3 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 65.3 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 4.6 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 77.1 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 89.3 | 100 |
|  | Slovak Republic | 15-19 | 14.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 64.0 | 78.6 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 21.4 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 19.4 | 22.1 | 44.0 | 22.4 | 11.5 | 77.9 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 66.6 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 97.1 | 100 |
|  | Spain | 15-19 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 77.4 | 81.9 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 18.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 33.6 | 43.4 | 41.5 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 56.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 7.6 | 16.1 | 64.2 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 83.9 | 100 |
|  | Sweden | 15-19 | a | 12.8 | 3.9 | 71.7 | 88.4 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 11.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 12.2 | 2.4 | 27.1 | 41.7 | 47.0 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 58.3 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 9.5 | 1.2 | 11.8 | 22.4 | 69.5 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 77.6 | 100 |
|  | Switzerland | 15-19 | 36.7 | 9.2 | c | 38.1 | 86.2 | 8.0 | c | 4.4 | 13.8 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 11.4 | 12.9 | c | 12.7 | 38.0 | 52.3 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 62.0 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | c | 7.9 | c | 4.1 | 12.7 | 74.7 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 87.3 | 100 |
|  | Turkey | 15-19 | a | 1.8 | 0.3 | 41.0 | 43.0 | 24.2 | 5.1 | 27.7 | 57.0 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 2.1 | 0.9 | 11.5 | 14.5 | 40.1 | 9.8 | 35.6 | 85.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 56.1 | 7.2 | 33.7 | 96.9 | 100 |
|  | United Kingdom | 15-19 | 4.3 | 20.1 | 2.4 | 48.5 | 75.3 | 16.2 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 24.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 2.7 | 13.3 | 1.0 | 14.0 | 31.0 | 53.7 | 5.6 | 9.7 | 69.0 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 13.3 | 70.7 | 4.2 | 11.8 | 86.7 | 100 |
|  | United States ${ }^{2}$ | 15-19 | a | 23.9 | 3.5 | 53.7 | 81.2 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 18.8 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 19.5 | 1.3 | 13.1 | 33.9 | 50.5 | 5.4 | 10.2 | 66.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 8.4 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 11.8 | 70.5 | 4.1 | 13.5 | 88.2 | 100 |
|  | Country mean | 15-19 | 6.0 | 11.1 | 1.8 | 62.8 | 81.7 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 18.3 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 2.4 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 24.1 | 37.9 | 45.4 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 62.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 13.3 | 68.1 | 6.4 | 12.2 | 86.7 | 100 |
| 雨 | Israel | 15-19 | a | 4.1 | 0.8 | 64.5 | 69.4 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 22.9 | 30.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 9.5 | 1.6 | 15.7 | 26.8 | 31.7 | 8.2 | 33.4 | 73.2 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 13.1 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 19.1 | 52.2 | 8.7 | 20.0 | 80.9 | 100 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour market status according to the ILO definition. 2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.2a. Percentage of young males in education and not in education (2002)
By age group and work status

|  | Age group | In education |  |  |  |  | Not in education |  |  |  | Total in education and not in education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Students in work-study programmes | Other employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total | Employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total |  |
| Australia | 15-19 | 10.0 | 22.2 | 5.8 | 41.2 | 79.3 | 13.8 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 20.7 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 7.9 | 17.0 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 38.4 | 51.3 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 61.6 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 16.8 | 72.9 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 83.2 | 100 |
| Austria | 15-19 | 30.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 49.0 | 80.8 | 11.0 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 19.2 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 21.1 | 26.9 | 59.7 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 73.1 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 80.7 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 88.9 | 100 |
| Belgium | 15-19 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 83.7 | 88.0 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 12.0 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 32.8 | 36.2 | 48.0 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 63.8 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 83.5 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 94.8 | 100 |
| Canada | 15-19 | a | 25.9 | 7.0 | 48.0 | 80.8 | 12.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 19.2 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | a | 16.0 | 2.0 | 17.7 | 35.8 | 50.2 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 64.2 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | a | 6.7 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 13.6 | 72.0 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 86.4 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 15-19 | 27.5 | 0.3 | n | 59.6 | 87.4 | 6.8 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 12.6 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 24.7 | 62.9 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 75.3 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | n | 0.3 | n | 2.7 | 3.0 | 89.2 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 97.0 | 100 |
| Denmark | 15-19 | 9.3 | 36.1 | 4.4 | 39.1 | 88.9 | 8.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 11.1 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 12.4 | 21.8 | 2.6 | 15.2 | 52.0 | 41.1 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 48.0 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.9 | 21.8 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 32.0 | 64.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 68.0 | 100 |
| Finland | 15-19 | 16.1 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 51.6 | 75.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 18.2 | 24.3 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 33.6 | 50.8 | 28.5 | 7.1 | 13.6 | 49.2 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 3.7 | 10.7 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 25.7 | 59.9 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 74.3 | 100 |
| France | 15-19 | 7.7 | 0.9 | n | 85.0 | 93.7 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 38.9 | 49.8 | 37.6 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 50.2 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 10.6 | 76.4 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 89.4 | 100 |
| Germany | 15-19 | 21.5 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 63.6 | 89.8 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 12.2 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 18.4 | 36.1 | 49.6 | 8.9 | 5.4 | 63.9 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 10.2 | 19.4 | 69.1 | 7.9 | 3.6 | 80.6 | 100 |
| Greece | 15-19 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 82.2 | 86.1 | 8.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 31.2 | 34.3 | 50.2 | 10.8 | 4.7 | 65.7 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 81.0 | 9.8 | 3.1 | 93.9 | 100 |
| Hungary | 15-19 | a | 0.5 | 0.1 | 86.2 | 86.8 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 13.2 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | a | 4.4 | 0.3 | 32.0 | 36.7 | 46.4 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 63.3 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | a | 6.3 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 10.3 | 73.7 | 6.7 | 9.3 | 89.7 | 100 |
| Iceland | 15-19 | c | 23.2 | c | 51.6 | 77.3 | 16.5 | c | c | 22.7 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | c | 27.2 | c | 16.4 | 51.8 | 42.1 | c | c | 48.2 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | c | 25.0 | c | c | 33.5 | 63.3 | c | c | 66.5 | 100 |
| Ireland | 15-19 | a | 8.0 | 0.5 | 68.7 | 77.2 | 17.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 22.8 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | a | 5.2 | 0.4 | 20.4 | 26.0 | 64.8 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 74.0 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | a | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 85.8 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 96.1 | 100 |
| Italy | 15-19 | n | 0.7 | 0.5 | 77.3 | 78.5 | 10.7 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 21.5 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 31.7 | 34.4 | 43.8 | 11.6 | 10.2 | 65.6 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | n | 2.0 | 0.8 | 12.1 | 15.0 | 69.2 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 85.0 | 100 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour market status according to the ILO definition. 2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.2a. (continued) Percentage of young males in education and not in education (2002) By age group and work status


Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour market status according to the ILO definition.
2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.2b. Percentage of young females in education and not in education (2002)
By age group and work status

|  | Age group | In education |  |  |  |  | Not in education |  |  |  | Total in education and not in education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Students in work-study programmes | Other employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total | Employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total |  |
| Australia | 15-19 | 3.9 | 33.1 | 5.3 | 37.6 | 80.0 | 12.8 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 20.0 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 1.8 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 44.9 | 3.9 | 12.3 | 61.1 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.6 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 16.2 | 58.5 | 4.0 | 21.3 | 83.8 | 100 |
| Austria | 15-19 | 17.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 62.7 | 82.2 | 13.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 17.8 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 26.0 | 32.1 | 58.1 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 67.9 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 74.0 | 3.4 | 13.0 | 90.4 | 100 |
| Belgium | 15-19 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 89.1 | 91.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 36.1 | 40.3 | 40.6 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 59.7 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 70.3 | 8.3 | 15.0 | 93.6 | 100 |
| Canada | 15-19 | a | 32.1 | 5.1 | 47.5 | 84.7 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 15.3 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | a | 21.4 | 1.7 | 19.8 | 42.8 | 43.2 | 4.8 | 9.1 | 57.2 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | a | 8.8 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 14.9 | 66.0 | 4.8 | 14.3 | 85.1 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 15-19 | 15.5 | 0.1 | n | 73.6 | 89.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 25.9 | 26.6 | 49.2 | 8.0 | 16.1 | 73.4 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | n | 0.4 | n | 2.4 | 2.8 | 56.8 | 7.3 | 33.0 | 97.2 | 100 |
| Denmark | 15-19 | 2.2 | 46.2 | 2.6 | 37.5 | 88.5 | 9.0 | n | 2.4 | 11.5 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 5.4 | 29.8 | 2.1 | 21.0 | 58.3 | 34.0 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 41.7 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.4 | 20.6 | 1.1 | 14.7 | 37.9 | 52.6 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 62.1 | 100 |
| Finland | 15-19 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 5.7 | 59.8 | 85.8 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 14.2 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 7.6 | 12.3 | 2.9 | 38.4 | 61.3 | 21.8 | 4.5 | 12.5 | 38.7 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 2.5 | 11.0 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 27.7 | 47.0 | 6.6 | 18.7 | 72.3 | 100 |
| France | 15-19 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 91.8 | 95.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 44.2 | 56.6 | 27.2 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 43.4 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 12.8 | 63.8 | 8.7 | 14.7 | 87.2 | 100 |
| Germany | 15-19 | 17.4 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 68.2 | 90.5 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 9.5 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 13.8 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 19.4 | 40.1 | 42.3 | 5.0 | 12.7 | 59.9 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 13.2 | 63.4 | 5.0 | 18.5 | 86.8 | 100 |
| Greece | 15-19 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 85.6 | 87.6 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 12.4 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 33.7 | 38.1 | 33.7 | 15.9 | 12.3 | 61.9 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 55.9 | 16.5 | 21.5 | 93.9 | 100 |
| Hungary | 15-19 | a | 0.4 | 0.1 | 87.6 | 88.2 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | a | 5.3 | 0.4 | 33.0 | 38.7 | 37.8 | 3.4 | 20.1 | 61.3 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | a | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 10.9 | 50.4 | 3.5 | 35.2 | 89.1 | 100 |
| Iceland | 15-19 | c | 35.9 | c | 46.5 | 84.6 | 13.0 | c | c | 15.4 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | c | 31.8 | c | 20.0 | 55.9 | 37.9 | c | c | 44.1 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | c | 22.6 | c | 11.3 | 39.6 | 54.1 | c | c | 60.4 | 100 |
| Ireland | 15-19 | a | 10.5 | 0.7 | 75.1 | 86.3 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 13.7 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | a | 6.3 | 0.4 | 25.2 | 31.9 | 55.6 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 68.1 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | a | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 77.6 | 3.0 | 16.3 | 96.9 | 100 |
| Italy | 15-19 | n | 0.2 | 0.8 | 82.1 | 83.1 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 16.9 | 100 |
|  | 20-24 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 37.8 | 42.2 | 31.1 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 57.8 | 100 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 12.4 | 16.3 | 49.7 | 11.0 | 23.0 | 83.7 | 100 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour market status according to the ILO definition. 2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.2b. (continued) Percentage of young females in education and not in education (2002)
By age group and work status

| n关00000 |  | Age group | In education |  |  |  |  | Not in education |  |  |  | Total in education and not in education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Students in work-study programmes ${ }^{1}$ | Other employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total | Employed | Unemployed | Not in the labour force | Sub-total |  |
|  | Luxembourg | 15-19 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 85.2 | 91.1 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 1.0 | 5.4 | n | 41.1 | 47.5 | 40.9 | 3.6 | 7.9 | 52.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.9 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 13.9 | 68.8 | 2.4 | 14.9 | 86.1 | 100 |
|  | Mexico | 15-19 | a | 5.3 | 0.2 | 48.0 | 53.5 | 19.0 | 1.3 | 26.1 | 46.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 4.0 | 0.2 | 15.2 | 19.4 | 35.7 | 2.0 | 42.8 | 80.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 43.7 | 1.3 | 51.3 | 96.3 | 100 |
|  | Netherlands | 15-19 | m | 40.0 | 3.7 | 37.8 | 81.6 | 14.0 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 18.4 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | m | 22.4 | 0.8 | 12.1 | 35.2 | 55.3 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 64.8 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | m | 2.7 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 75.6 | 2.4 | 16.7 | 94.8 | 100 |
|  | Norway | 15-19 | a | 26.6 | 5.3 | 56.9 | 88.8 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 11.2 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 20.0 | 2.8 | 20.6 | 43.5 | 45.9 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 56.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 5.0 | 0.7 | 9.9 | 15.6 | 70.8 | 2.7 | 10.8 | 84.4 | 100 |
|  | Poland | 15-19 | a | 2.0 | 0.7 | 94.1 | 96.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 10.1 | 8.2 | 37.7 | 56.1 | 18.4 | 15.4 | 10.2 | 43.9 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 7.9 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 14.7 | 45.9 | 17.4 | 21.9 | 85.3 | 100 |
|  | Portugal | 15-19 | a | 1.9 | 0.7 | 75.0 | 77.6 | 15.6 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 22.4 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 5.7 | 0.8 | 31.8 | 38.3 | 46.4 | 6.0 | 9.3 | 61.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 4.6 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 11.4 | 71.9 | 5.1 | 11.6 | 88.6 | 100 |
|  | Slovak Republic | 15-19 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 68.3 | 79.4 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 20.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 21.9 | 25.1 | 40.7 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 74.9 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 55.5 | 15.4 | 26.0 | 96.9 | 100 |
|  | Spain | 15-19 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 80.8 | 85.5 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 14.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 0.7 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 37.3 | 48.4 | 33.6 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 51.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.3 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 7.6 | 17.6 | 54.6 | 11.4 | 16.4 | 82.4 | 100 |
|  | Sweden | 15-19 | a | 15.5 | 4.7 | 69.1 | 89.4 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 10.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 15.3 | 2.0 | 29.1 | 46.4 | 43.0 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 53.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 10.4 | 1.1 | 12.7 | 24.3 | 65.3 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 75.7 | 100 |
|  | Switzerland | 15-19 | 31.6 | 10.5 | c | 40.6 | 83.9 | 10.2 | c | 5.0 | 16.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 9.2 | 16.2 | c | 12.9 | 38.9 | 52.5 | c | 6.0 | 61.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | c | 6.4 | c | c | 11.0 | 71.3 | c | 13.9 | 89.0 | 100 |
|  | Turkey | 15-19 | a | 0.9 | 0.2 | 35.4 | 36.5 | 18.0 | 3.4 | 42.0 | 63.5 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 1.7 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 10.7 | 26.5 | 5.9 | 56.9 | 89.3 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 27.6 | 4.1 | 65.9 | 97.6 | 100 |
|  | United Kingdom | 15-19 | 2.3 | 23.9 | 2.3 | 48.8 | 77.3 | 13.8 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 22.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 2.3 | 15.4 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 34.4 | 45.5 | 3.8 | 16.3 | 65.6 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 1.4 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 17.1 | 56.8 | 3.9 | 22.2 | 82.9 | 100 |
|  | United States ${ }^{2}$ | 15-19 | a | 26.0 | 3.2 | 52.8 | 82.0 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 18.0 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 21.2 | 1.3 | 12.8 | 35.3 | 45.7 | 4.5 | 14.4 | 64.7 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 9.0 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 13.0 | 62.2 | 3.9 | 20.9 | 87.0 | 100 |
|  | Country mean | 15-19 | 4.4 | 12.4 | 1.8 | 64.4 | 83.0 | 8.8 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 17.0 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 2.0 | 11.2 | 1.5 | 25.5 | 40.1 | 40.3 | 6.1 | 13.5 | 59.9 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 13.7 | 59.6 | 6.0 | 20.6 | 86.3 | 100 |
|  | Israel | 15-19 | a | 3.5 | 1.0 | 65.7 | 70.2 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 22.0 | 29.8 | 100 |
|  |  | 20-24 | a | 11.8 | 2.4 | 16.7 | 30.9 | 32.4 | 8.3 | 28.5 | 69.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 25-29 | a | 12.9 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 17.6 | 49.4 | 7.0 | 26.0 | 82.4 | 100 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour market status according to the ILO definition.
2. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

CHAPTER C Access to education, participation and progression

Table C4.3. Percentage of the population not in education and unemployed in the total population (2002) By level of educational attainment, age group and gender

|  |  | Below upper secondary education |  |  | Upper secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education |  |  | Tertiary education |  | All levels of education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 15-29 |
| Australia | Males | 9.3 | 17.6 | 13.1 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 |
|  | Females | 8.7 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 9.0 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| Austria | Males | 8.3 | 16.3 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
|  | Females | 16.6 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
|  | M +F | 12.2 | 11.9 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| Belgium | Males | 2.5 | 15.0 | 13.6 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 6.3 |
|  | Females | 0.4 | 22.3 | 17.1 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 6.4 |
|  | M +F | 1.5 | 18.0 | 15.1 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 6.3 |
| Canada | Males | 2.9 | 17.5 | 16.3 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 7.4 |
|  | Females | 1.5 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 2.2 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.7 |
| Czech Republic | Males | 8.4 | 29.2 | 22.0 | 2.3 | 10.9 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 9.6 | 5.3 | 6.2 |
|  | Females | 7.6 | 15.3 | 14.6 | 3.1 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 6.6 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 8.0 | 21.9 | 18.1 | 2.7 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| Denmark | Males | 1.5 | 10.7 | 2.7 | a | 5.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
|  | Females | n | 6.3 | 12.7 | a | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.7 | n | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 0.8 | 8.2 | 7.6 | a | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Finland | Males | 2.1 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 5.4 |
|  | Females | 0.9 | 5.1 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 4.5 |
|  | M +F | 1.5 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 5.0 |
| France | Males | 1.7 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
|  | Females | 1.2 | 17.2 | 15.3 | 3.6 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 6.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 1.4 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 6.8 |
| Germany | Males | 3.1 | 22.7 | 18.4 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 6.2 |
|  | Females | 2.4 | 13.5 | 9.8 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.8 |
|  | M +F | 2.8 | 18.1 | 13.7 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.0 |
| Greece | Males | 9.3 | 13.4 | 9.6 | 1.4 | 16.3 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 10.6 | 2.9 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 8.3 |
|  | Females | 13.8 | 19.7 | 13.1 | 2.5 | 24.4 | 18.3 | 4.4 | 16.2 | 4.3 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 13.2 |
|  | M +F | 11.2 | 15.9 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 2.9 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 13.6 | 13.2 | 10.7 |
| Hungary | Males | 1.2 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 5.5 |
|  | Females | 0.8 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
|  | M +F | 1.0 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 4.2 |
| Iceland | Males | c | c | c | a | a | a | a | c | c | c | c | 4.6 |
|  | Females | c | c | a | a | c | a | a | a | c | c | a | c |
|  | M + F | c | c | c | a | c | a | a | c | c | c | c | c |
| Ireland | Males | 2.9 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
|  | Females | 1.2 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 2.1 | 10.0 | 8.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| Italy | Males | 3.9 | 16.9 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 9.7 | 13.0 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 8.9 |
|  | Females | 3.4 | 15.5 | 11.7 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 23.4 | 14.1 | 4.0 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 9.4 |
|  | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{F}$ | 3.7 | 16.3 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 17.7 | 13.6 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 9.1 |

Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.3. (continued) Percentage of the population not in education and unemployed in the total population (2002) By level of educational attainment, age group and gender


Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4.4. Change in the percentage of the youth population in education and not in education (1995-2002)
By age group and work status

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { group } \end{aligned}$ | 1995 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In education | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 플 }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ज }}{0}$ |  |  | ت | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ت゙ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 气్ల | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Australia | 15-19 | 73.4 | 16.7 | 9.9 | 77.3 | 13.8 | 8.8 | 78.2 | 14.4 | 7.4 | 79.5 | 13.7 | 6.8 | 79.5 | 13.0 | 7.6 | 79.7 | 13.3 | 7.0 |
|  | 20-24 | 27.0 | 56.1 | 16.9 | 32.7 | 51.3 | 16.0 | 34.9 | 50.6 | 14.5 | 35.9 | 50.9 | 13.3 | 36.5 | 49.6 | 13.9 | 38.7 | 48.1 | 13.2 |
|  | 25-29 | 11.4 | 67.1 | 21.5 | 13.7 | 67.1 | 19.2 | 15.0 | 66.5 | 18.5 | 15.5 | 65.5 | 19.0 | 15.8 | 67.0 | 17.2 | 16.5 | 65.7 | 17.8 |
| Austria | 15-19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 81.5 | 12.1 | 6.3 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 29.4 | 58.9 | 11.7 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 10.3 | 77.3 | 12.4 |
| Belgium | 15-19 | 86.1 | 3.3 | 10.5 | 85.3 | 3.9 | 10.8 | 89.4 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 89.9 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 89.7 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 89.6 | 3.6 | 6.8 |
|  | 20-24 | 37.5 | 43.6 | 19.0 | 40.6 | 42.5 | 16.9 | 43.7 | 38.6 | 17.7 | 43.8 | 40.2 | 16.0 | 44.2 | 42.8 | 13.0 | 38.2 | 44.4 | 17.4 |
|  | 25-29 | 6.8 | 74.2 | 19.0 | 9.3 | 72.4 | 18.2 | 14.4 | 67.7 | 17.9 | 11.8 | 72.5 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 69.5 | 15.5 | 5.8 | 77.0 | 17.2 |
| Canada | 15-19 | 83.6 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 83.2 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 82.7 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 82.6 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 83.4 | 10.5 | 6.1 | 82.7 | 10.8 | 6.5 |
|  | 20-24 | 36.8 | 46.0 | 17.2 | 39.5 | 44.1 | 16.5 | 39.6 | 45.8 | 14.6 | 38.7 | 47.1 | 14.2 | 39.2 | 46.4 | 14.4 | 39.3 | 46.8 | 14.0 |
|  | 25-29 | 11.7 | 67.2 | 21.1 | 12.5 | 69.2 | 18.3 | 12.3 | 70.4 | 17.3 | 12.4 | 71.3 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 71.1 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 69.0 | 16.7 |
| Czech Republic | 15-19 | 69.8 | 23.7 | 6.5 | 77.1 | 15.8 | 7.2 | 75.6 | 14.8 | 9.7 | 82.1 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 87.0 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 88.3 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
|  | 20-24 | 13.1 | 67.1 | 19.8 | 17.1 | 64.3 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 59.8 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 60.0 | 20.3 | 23.1 | 58.9 | 18.1 | 25.7 | 56.2 | 18.1 |
|  | 25-29 | 1.1 | 76.1 | 22.9 | 1.8 | 75.1 | 23.1 | 2.4 | 71.7 | 25.9 | 2.4 | 72.1 | 25.6 | 3.0 | 72.1 | 25.0 | 2.9 | 73.3 | 23.8 |
| Denmark | 15-19 | 88.4 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 90.3 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 85.8 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 89.9 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 86.8 | 9.4 | 3.8 | 88.7 | 8.9 | 2.4 |
|  | 20-24 | 50.0 | 39.3 | 10.7 | 55.0 | 38.0 | 7.0 | 55.8 | 36.6 | 7.6 | 54.8 | 38.6 | 6.6 | 55.3 | 38.1 | 6.6 | 55.3 | 37.4 | 7.3 |
|  | 25-29 | 29.6 | 59.0 | 11.4 | 34.5 | 57.8 | 7.7 | 35.5 | 56.7 | 7.8 | 36.1 | 56.4 | 7.5 | 32.4 | 60.0 | 7.6 | 35.0 | 58.3 | 6.7 |
| Finland | 15-19 | m | m | m | 86.1 | 4.3 | 9.6 | 86.6 | 4.7 | 8.7 | 86.0 | 4.7 | 9.3 | 86.3 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 80.4 | 4.7 | 14.8 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | 47.8 | 32.7 | 19.5 | 50.2 | 32.9 | 16.9 | 52.7 | 30.8 | 16.5 | 53.9 | 31.7 | 14.4 | 56.1 | 25.1 | 18.8 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | 24.0 | 57.0 | 19.0 | 23.4 | 57.0 | 19.6 | 32.5 | 50.7 | 16.8 | 29.8 | 54.5 | 15.8 | 26.7 | 53.6 | 19.7 |
| France | 15-19 | 96.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 95.6 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 95.7 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 95.3 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 94.9 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 94.6 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
|  | 20-24 | 51.2 | 31.3 | 17.5 | 53.5 | 30.0 | 16.5 | 53.1 | 29.4 | 17.5 | 54.2 | 31.7 | 14.1 | 53.6 | 33.1 | 13.4 | 53.2 | 32.5 | 14.4 |
|  | 25-29 | 11.4 | 67.5 | 21.0 | 11.4 | 66.5 | 22.1 | 11.9 | 66.6 | 21.4 | 12.2 | 69.2 | 18.6 | 11.4 | 70.3 | 18.3 | 11.7 | 70.1 | 18.2 |
| Germany | 15-19 | m | m | m | 91.6 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 89.5 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 87.4 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 88.5 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 90.1 | 5.2 | 4.7 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | 36.3 | 48.8 | 15.0 | 34.3 | 49.0 | 16.7 | 34.1 | 49.0 | 16.9 | 35.0 | 48.7 | 16.4 | 38.1 | 46.0 | 15.9 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | 13.9 | 68.4 | 17.7 | 13.6 | 68.2 | 18.1 | 12.7 | 69.8 | 17.5 | 13.5 | 68.5 | 18.0 | 16.3 | 66.3 | 17.4 |
| Greece | 15-19 | 80.0 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 80.5 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 82.4 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 83.5 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 85.7 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 86.8 | 6.9 | 6.2 |
|  | 20-24 | 29.2 | 43.0 | 27.8 | 29.3 | 43.8 | 26.9 | 31.4 | 42.8 | 25.7 | 34.8 | 41.5 | 23.7 | 36.5 | 40.2 | 23.4 | 36.3 | 41.7 | 22.0 |
|  | 25-29 | 4.7 | 65.2 | 30.2 | 4.4 | 66.4 | 29.1 | 5.2 | 67.3 | 27.6 | 6.8 | 65.7 | 27.5 | 6.7 | 67.4 | 25.9 | 6.1 | 68.7 | 25.2 |
| Hungary | 15-19 | 82.5 | 6.7 | 10.8 | 78.2 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 79.3 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 83.7 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 85.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 87.5 | 4.5 | 8.0 |
|  | 20-24 | 22.5 | 44.4 | 33.1 | 26.5 | 45.9 | 27.6 | 28.6 | 47.7 | 23.6 | 32.3 | 45.7 | 22.0 | 35.0 | 45.1 | 20.0 | 37.7 | 42.0 | 20.3 |
|  | 25-29 | 7.3 | 56.8 | 35.9 | 7.4 | 58.9 | 33.7 | 8.7 | 60.1 | 31.3 | 9.4 | 61.4 | 29.2 | 9.4 | 63.4 | 27.1 | 10.6 | 61.8 | 27.6 |
| Iceland | 15-19 | 59.5 | 25.7 | 14.8 | 82.2 | 15.1 | c | 81.6 | 17.0 | c | 83.1 | 14.8 | c | 79.5 | 19.0 | c | 80.9 | 14.8 | c |
|  | 20-24 | 33.3 | 52.6 | 14.0 | 47.8 | 45.9 | 6.3 | 44.8 | 48.4 | 6.8 | 48.0 | 47.7 | c | 50.3 | 45.6 | c | 53.8 | 40.1 | 6.2 |
|  | 25-29 | 24.1 | 64.7 | 11.1 | 32.8 | 57.4 | 9.8 | 34.7 | 58.8 | 6.5 | 34.9 | 59.2 | 5.9 | 33.8 | 61.5 | c | 36.5 | 58.8 | c |
| Ireland | 15-19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 79.4 | 15.4 | 5.2 | 80.0 | 15.6 | 4.4 | 80.3 | 15.5 | 4.1 | 81.6 | 13.6 | 4.8 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 24.6 | 64.6 | 10.8 | 26.7 | 63.6 | 9.7 | 28.3 | 62.4 | 9.3 | 29.0 | 60.2 | 10.8 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 3.1 | 82.4 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 83.4 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 83.1 | 13.5 | 3.5 | 81.8 | 14.7 |
| Italy | 15-19 | m | m | m | 75.4 | 9.5 | 15.2 | 76.9 | 8.3 | 14.8 | 77.1 | 9.8 | 13.1 | 77.6 | 9.8 | 12.6 | 80.8 | 8.7 | 10.5 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | 35.8 | 34.1 | 30.1 | 35.6 | 34.5 | 29.9 | 36.0 | 36.5 | 27.5 | 37.0 | 36.9 | 26.1 | 38.2 | 37.5 | 24.3 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | 16.5 | 54.1 | 29.4 | 17.7 | 53.4 | 28.9 | 17.0 | 56.1 | 26.9 | 16.4 | 58.0 | 25.6 | 15.6 | 59.5 | 24.8 |

[^8]Table C4.4. (continued) Change in the percentage of the youth population in education and not in education (1995-2002) By age group and work status


[^9]Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C4．4a．Change in the percentage of the young male population in education and not in education（1995－2002）

By age group and work status

|  | Age group | 1995 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | In edu－ cation | Not in education |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J. } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 . \\ & \text { Bun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ | 或 |  | $\stackrel{\widetilde{5}}{0}$ | 或 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { In }}{0}$ | 苞 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Australia | 15－19 | 74.4 | 16.3 | 9.2 | 76.6 | 14.4 | 9.0 | 78.6 | 14.1 | 7.3 | 79.8 | 13.8 | 6.4 | 79.4 | 12.8 | 7.9 | 79.3 | 13.8 | 6.9 |
|  | 20－24 | 28.6 | 58.8 | 12.6 | 33.5 | 53.9 | 12.5 | 34.8 | 54.3 | 10.9 | 34.9 | 54.6 | 10.5 | 38.1 | 50.5 | 11.4 | 38.4 | 51.3 | 10.3 |
|  | 25－29 | 12.3 | 76.1 | 11.5 | 13.3 | 75.5 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 73.9 | 10.8 | 14.9 | 75.4 | 9.7 | 15.8 | 74.7 | 9.5 | 16.8 | 72.9 | 10.3 |
| Austria | 15－19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 80.8 | 11.0 | 8.1 |
|  | 20－24 | m |  | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 26.9 | 59.7 | 13.4 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 11.1 | 80.7 | 8.3 |
| Belgium | 15－19 | 85.9 | 4.2 | 9.9 | 84.3 | 4.9 | 10.8 | 88.5 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 88.7 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 88.2 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 88.0 | 4.7 | 7.3 |
|  | 20－24 | 38.4 | 46.4 | 15.2 | 39.0 | 47.3 | 13.7 | 41.4 | 43.1 | 15.5 | 42.1 | 44.7 | 13.2 | 43.3 | 45.8 | 10.9 | 36.2 | 48.0 | 15.8 |
|  | 25－29 | 7.7 | 81.1 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 78.1 | 11.7 | 14.6 | 72.2 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 76.5 | 11.7 | 17.2 | 73.4 | 9.4 | 5.2 | 83.5 | 11.3 |
| Canada | 15－19 | 82.5 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 81.6 | 10.4 | 8.0 | 81.3 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 80.7 | 11.7 | 7.6 | 81.4 | 11.8 | 6.8 | 80.8 | 12.0 | 7.2 |
|  | 20－24 | 36.1 | 47.6 | 16.3 | 37.8 | 47.3 | 14.9 | 36.7 | 48.7 | 14.7 | 35.8 | 50.8 | 13.5 | 36.7 | 48.8 | 14.5 | 35.8 | 50.2 | 14.0 |
|  | 25－29 | 11.9 | 70.9 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 72.3 | 15.2 | 12.3 | 74.4 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 75.1 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 76.1 | 12.2 | 13.6 | 72.0 | 14.4 |
| Czech Republic | 15－19 | 68.2 | 25.8 | 6.0 | 75.1 | 18.2 | 6.7 | 72.9 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 81.5 | 11.2 | 7.3 | 86.3 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 87.4 | 6.8 | 5.8 |
|  | 20－24 | 13.0 | 79.6 | 7.4 | 17.5 | 74.6 | 7.9 | 20.0 | 67.5 | 12.5 | 18.7 | 67.2 | 14.1 | 21.6 | 65.8 | 12.7 | 24.7 | 62.9 | 12.4 |
|  | 25－29 | 1.4 | 92.9 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 91.5 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 88.6 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 87.6 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 88.5 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 89.2 | 7.9 |
| Denmark | 15－19 | 91.1 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 89.1 | 9.5 | 1.5 | 84.0 | 11.8 | 4.2 | 90.5 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 87.4 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 88.9 | 8.7 | 2.4 |
|  | 20－24 | 49.3 | 44.8 | 6.0 | 54.6 | 39.5 | 6.0 | 53.2 | 40.8 | 6.1 | 50.8 | 44.1 | 5.2 | 50.5 | 45.7 | 3.8 | 52.0 | 41.1 | 6.9 |
|  | 25－29 | 27.9 | 66.2 | 5.8 | 33.4 | 62.7 | 3.9 | 31.5 | 64.0 | 4.5 | 31.7 | 63.6 | 4.7 | 32.8 | 62.8 | 4.4 | 32.0 | 64.3 | 3.7 |
| Finland | 15－19 | m | m | m | 82.5 | 3.9 | 13.6 | 83.7 | 4.1 | 12.2 | 82.1 | 4.0 | 13.9 | 82.7 | 5.3 | 12.0 | 75.7 | 3.3 | 21.0 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 43.2 | 36.4 | 20.4 | 45.4 | 36.8 | 17.8 | 46.8 | 34.7 | 18.5 | 48.5 | 35.6 | 15.9 | 50.8 | 28.5 | 20.7 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 23.2 | 62.9 | 14.0 | 23.7 | 63.2 | 13.1 | 30.9 | 57.1 | 12.0 | 29.3 | 61.6 | 9.1 | 25.7 | 59.9 | 14.5 |
| France | 15－19 | 95.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 94.8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 95.2 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 94.7 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 94.5 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 93.7 | 2.7 | 3.7 |
|  | 20－24 | 48.6 | 36.9 | 14.5 | 51.9 | 34.3 | 13.7 | 50.4 | 33.7 | 15.9 | 51.5 | 36.6 | 11.9 | 50.5 | 38.5 | 10.9 | 49.8 | 37.6 | 12.6 |
|  | 25－29 | 11.1 | 75.5 | 13.5 | 11.0 | 73.5 | 15.5 | 11.6 | 73.9 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 76.5 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 78.4 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 76.4 | 13.0 |
| Germany | 15－19 | m | m | m | 91.1 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 88.7 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 86.9 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 87.6 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 89.8 | 5.9 | 4.3 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 34.7 | 52.7 | 12.6 | 32.4 | 53.1 | 14.5 | 32.5 | 52.8 | 14.6 | 32.9 | 52.8 | 14.3 | 36.1 | 49.6 | 14.2 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 17.0 | 72.0 | 11.0 | 16.1 | 72.0 | 11.9 | 14.8 | 74.4 | 10.8 | 16.1 | 72.3 | 11.6 | 19.4 | 69.1 | 11.6 |
| Greece | 15－19 | 81.0 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 80.4 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 82.1 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 83.4 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 85.8 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 86.1 | 8.8 | 5.2 |
|  | 20－24 | 28.1 | 55.2 | 16.7 | 28.4 | 53.9 | 17.7 | 31.0 | 51.9 | 17.1 | 31.8 | 50.4 | 17.8 | 34.2 | 48.2 | 17.7 | 34.3 | 50.2 | 15.4 |
|  | 25－29 | 5.0 | 82.1 | 12.9 | 4.5 | 82.0 | 13.6 | 5.5 | 80.3 | 14.2 | 6.6 | 79.0 | 14.4 | 7.2 | 79.4 | 13.3 | 6.1 | 81.0 | 12.9 |
| Hungary | 15－19 | 81.9 | 6.3 | 11.8 | 77.6 | 10.0 | 12.4 | 78.6 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 83.9 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 84.1 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 86.8 | 5.0 | 8.2 |
|  | 20－24 | 23.0 | 50.6 | 26.4 | 25.0 | 52.3 | 22.7 | 26.5 | 54.1 | 19.4 | 31.4 | 50.5 | 18.1 | 32.7 | 51.7 | 15.6 | 36.7 | 46.4 | 16.9 |
|  | 25－29 | 7.7 | 72.2 | 20.1 | 7.1 | 72.9 | 20.0 | 8.2 | 73.9 | 18.0 | 8.7 | 74.7 | 16.6 | 8.2 | 75.9 | 15.8 | 10.3 | 73.7 | 16.0 |
| Iceland | 15－19 | 58.4 | 26.9 | 14.8 | 77.2 | 20.0 | c | 82.4 | 17.1 | c | 82.5 | 16.5 | c | 75.3 | 22.7 | c | 77.3 | 16.5 | c |
|  | 20－24 | 28.2 | 58.1 | 13.7 | 51.0 | 47.4 | c | 45.3 | 51.1 | c | 48.9 | 48.4 | c | 48.3 | 48.3 | c | 51.8 | 42.1 | c |
|  | 25－29 | 23.2 | 69.5 | 7.4 | 31.3 | 65.0 | c | 35.2 | 64.3 | c | 35.1 | 64.9 | c | 28.2 | 70.3 | c | 33.5 | 63.3 | c |
| Ireland | 15－19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 75.3 | 19.7 | 5.0 | 75.0 | 20.5 | 4.5 | 75.4 | 20.3 | 4.3 | 77.2 | 17.6 | 5.2 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 22.7 | 68.4 | 8.9 | 23.4 | 69.9 | 6.7 | 24.8 | 68.5 | 6.7 | 26.0 | 64.8 | 9.1 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 3.1 | 87.8 | 9.1 | 3.4 | 88.0 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 89.0 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 85.8 | 10.3 |
| Italy | 15－19 | m | m | m | 73.3 | 12.2 | 14.5 | 75.5 | 10.5 | 14.0 | 75.8 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 76.3 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 78.5 | 10.7 | 10.8 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 31.9 | 39.8 | 28.2 | 32.4 | 40.2 | 27.4 | 32.5 | 41.5 | 26.0 | 33.3 | 42.3 | 24.4 | 34.4 | 43.8 | 21.8 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 16.6 | 64.0 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 63.4 | 18.7 | 16.5 | 65.7 | 17.8 | 15.8 | 67.4 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 69.2 | 15.8 |

[^10]Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．

Table C4．4a．（continued）Change in the percentage of the young male population in education and not in education（1995－2002）

By age group and work status

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { group } \end{gathered}$ | 1995 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In <br> edu－ <br> cation | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | In edu－ cation | Not in education |  | In <br> edu－ <br> cation | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\Xi}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 宽 } \\ & \text { Bin } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Xi}{\mathrm{E}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\Xi}{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { 薄 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J. } \\ & \text { 容 } \\ & \text { Biy } \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\stackrel{.}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 㻤 } \\ & \text { Bin } \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | تِّ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Luxembourg | 15－19 | 83.1 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 87.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 89.9 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 90.3 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 91.3 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 91.6 | 6.7 | 1.7 |
|  | 20－24 | 39.8 | 54.0 | 6.2 | 44.2 | 50.8 | 4.9 | 50.9 | 42.2 | 6.9 | 43.6 | 52.6 | 3.8 | 46.1 | 46.7 | 7.2 | 48.1 | 49.4 | 2.5 |
|  | 25－29 | 11.1 | 82.7 | 6.2 | 12.6 | 84.9 | 2.6 | 14.9 | 80.9 | 4.2 | 13.9 | 81.7 | 4.3 | 14.1 | 80.5 | 5.4 | 14.0 | 80.3 | 5.8 |
| Mexico | 15－19 | 45.5 | 42.5 | 12.0 | 47.6 | 44.9 | 7.5 | 50.0 | 43.7 | 6.3 | 50.0 | 43.7 | 6.3 | 50.2 | 42.6 | 7.2 | 53.3 | 39.2 | 7.4 |
|  | 20－24 | 17.4 | 72.8 | 9.8 | 18.5 | 75.2 | 6.4 | 20.6 | 74.3 | 5.1 | 20.6 | 74.3 | 5.1 | 20.9 | 73.6 | 5.6 | 22.2 | 71.4 | 6.4 |
|  | 25－29 | 5.0 | 86.7 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 89.6 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 90.3 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 90.3 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 90.4 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 89.5 | 4.8 |
| Netherlands | 15－19 | m | m | m | 89.4 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 89.8 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 78.6 | 17.5 | 3.8 | 76.6 | 19.6 | 3.8 | 79.9 | 15.4 | 4.7 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 54.2 | 40.9 | 5.0 | 53.6 | 40.6 | 5.8 | 37.4 | 57.3 | 5.3 | 36.3 | 58.1 | 5.6 | 35.3 | 58.3 | 6.4 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 27.4 | 65.9 | 6.6 | 27.4 | 67.3 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 88.4 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 86.6 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 86.2 | 6.6 |
| Norway | 15－19 | m | m | m | 90.2 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 90.9 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 90.6 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 83.8 | 12.9 | 3.3 | 81.8 | 14.5 | 3.7 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 33.3 | 60.1 | 6.7 | 31.8 | 62.2 | 6.0 | 32.7 | 60.2 | 7.1 | 33.3 | 58.7 | 8.0 | 33.6 | 57.5 | 8.9 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 13.7 | 81.0 | 5.3 | 15.9 | 79.1 | 5.0 | 16.4 | 75.3 | 8.3 | 11.7 | 80.7 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 79.1 | 8.0 |
| Poland | 15－19 | 87.2 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 89.6 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 91.9 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 91.7 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 90.9 | 2.9 | 6.2 | 95.1 | 1.4 | 3.5 |
|  | 20－24 | 24.6 | 48.4 | 27.1 | 30.1 | 50.5 | 19.3 | 32.0 | 44.7 | 23.4 | 34.5 | 38.4 | 27.2 | 43.0 | 31.4 | 25.6 | 51.5 | 23.3 | 25.2 |
|  | 25－29 | 3.0 | 79.0 | 18.0 | 6.3 | 81.3 | 12.4 | 5.9 | 76.4 | 17.8 | 8.3 | 72.6 | 19.1 | 11.0 | 69.9 | 19.1 | 15.0 | 60.6 | 24.5 |
| Portugal | 15－19 | 70.4 | 20.9 | 8.7 | 69.1 | 23.9 | 6.9 | 70.3 | 23.0 | 6.7 | 69.7 | 24.1 | 6.2 | 70.3 | 24.3 | 5.4 | 67.4 | 24.9 | 7.7 |
|  | 20－24 | 32.7 | 53.0 | 14.3 | 28.3 | 62.0 | 9.7 | 32.0 | 59.0 | 9.0 | 32.5 | 59.2 | 8.3 | 30.6 | 61.3 | 8.1 | 31.2 | 60.1 | 8.6 |
|  | 25－29 | 11.0 | 78.5 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 79.4 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 81.7 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 81.9 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 81.8 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 82.3 | 7.7 |
| Slovak Republic | 15－19 | 69.2 | 13.4 | 17.4 | 68.1 | 10.2 | 21.7 | 69.4 | 8.1 | 22.5 | 67.4 | 4.8 | 27.8 | 68.0 | 4.1 | 27.9 | 77.8 | 4.5 | 17.7 |
|  | 20－24 | 15.0 | 64.4 | 20.6 | 15.6 | 62.6 | 21.8 | 15.6 | 55.7 | 28.7 | 17.1 | 50.5 | 32.4 | 16.5 | 47.6 | 35.9 | 19.2 | 47.2 | 33.6 |
|  | 25－29 | 2.5 | 79.4 | 18.1 | 1.7 | 83.3 | 14.9 | 1.8 | 79.4 | 18.8 | 1.3 | 75.0 | 23.8 | 2.4 | 72.7 | 24.9 | 2.8 | 77.4 | 19.8 |
| Spain | 15－19 | 73.6 | 15.2 | 11.2 | 75.9 | 14.0 | 10.1 | 75.3 | 15.3 | 9.4 | 76.9 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 77.1 | 16.3 | 6.6 | 78.4 | 14.7 | 6.9 |
|  | 20－24 | 35.6 | 41.7 | 22.7 | 39.1 | 43.6 | 17.3 | 38.2 | 47.4 | 14.5 | 39.9 | 48.3 | 11.7 | 40.9 | 48.3 | 10.8 | 38.6 | 49.0 | 12.4 |
|  | 25－29 | 13.2 | 63.6 | 23.2 | 13.8 | 67.5 | 18.7 | 14.1 | 70.5 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 71.8 | 12.7 | 15.8 | 72.1 | 12.1 | 14.6 | 73.3 | 12.1 |
| Sweden | 15－19 | 85.2 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 89.4 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 90.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 89.5 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 87.9 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 87.5 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
|  | 20－24 | 37.0 | 43.9 | 19.1 | 38.5 | 47.1 | 14.4 | 39.2 | 49.5 | 11.4 | 37.2 | 51.4 | 11.4 | 36.9 | 52.6 | 10.6 | 37.3 | 50.9 | 11.8 |
|  | 25－29 | 20.2 | 68.8 | 11.0 | 22.1 | 70.1 | 7.8 | 20.5 | 72.1 | 7.4 | 19.9 | 73.1 | 6.9 | 20.8 | 74.0 | 5.2 | 20.7 | 73.5 | 5.8 |
| Switzerland | 15－19 | 68.6 | 8.4 | 22.9 | 87.7 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 86.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 85.9 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 86.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 88.3 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
|  | 20－24 | 32.4 | 58.2 | 9.4 | 37.3 | 54.9 | 7.9 | 38.2 | 54.4 | 7.4 | 38.8 | 56.0 | 5.2 | 42.2 | 48.5 | 9.3 | 37.2 | 52.1 | 10.7 |
|  | 25－29 | 13.4 | 81.9 | 4.7 | 13.1 | 80.0 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 84.8 | 4.0 | 21.0 | 74.5 | 4.5 | 16.4 | 79.2 | 4.4 | 14.5 | 78.3 | 7.2 |
| Turkey | 15－19 | 46.4 | 39.1 | 14.5 | 47.0 | 39.0 | 14.0 | 46.3 | 38.5 | 15.3 | 46.0 | 36.3 | 17.7 | 48.1 | 33.0 | 19.0 | 48.8 | 29.7 | 21.5 |
|  | 20－24 | 14.7 | 64.7 | 20.6 | 18.6 | 61.7 | 19.6 | 16.6 | 60.1 | 23.3 | 16.0 | 60.5 | 23.5 | 16.6 | 58.3 | 25.1 | 18.5 | 54.3 | 27.1 |
|  | 25－29 | 3.3 | 86.5 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 87.3 | 9.2 | 3.9 | 84.2 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 84.2 | 12.6 | 3.2 | 82.2 | 14.6 | 3.7 | 79.9 | 16.4 |
| United Kingdom | 15－19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 76.1 | 15.7 | 8.2 | 75.0 | 16.7 | 8.3 | 73.5 | 18.3 | 8.2 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m |  | m | m | m | m | m | m | 32.2 | 56.7 | 11.1 | 33.1 | 56.4 | 10.5 | 28.1 | 60.6 | 11.3 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 11.4 | 79.3 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 79.6 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 81.0 | 8.5 |
| United States | 15－19 | 82.1 | 11.5 | 6.4 | 81.3 | 12.2 | 6.5 | 81.5 | 12.4 | 6.1 | 80.2 | 13.0 | 6.8 | 80.3 | 12.7 | 6.9 | m | m | m |
|  | 20－24 | 31.0 | 57.0 | 12.0 | 32.3 | 58.0 | 9.7 | 32.1 | 57.6 | 10.3 | 30.8 | 58.6 | 10.5 | 32.5 | 55.3 | 12.2 | m | m | m |
|  | 25－29 | 11.0 | 79.6 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 80.3 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 80.9 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 81.0 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 79.3 | 10.2 | m | m | m |
| Countrymean | 15－19 | 75.3 | 14.9 | 9.8 | 78.9 | 13.2 | 7.9 | 79.9 | 12.6 | 7.5 | 79.9 | 12.7 | 7.3 | 79.6 | 12.9 | 7.4 | 80.5 | 11.9 | 7.6 |
|  | 20－24 | 30.2 | 54.5 | 15.3 | 35.0 | 51.9 | 13.1 | 34.9 | 51.6 | 13.4 | 34.4 | 52.7 | 12.9 | 35.5 | 51.5 | 12.9 | 36.0 | 50.4 | 13.6 |
|  | 25－29 | 10.6 | 77.5 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 76.1 | 10.6 | 13.6 | 76.0 | 10.4 | 13.3 | 76.4 | 10.3 | 13.1 | 76.9 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 76.3 | 10.8 |

[^11]Table C4．4b．Change in the percentage of the young female population in education and not in education（1995－2002）

By age group and work status

|  | Age group | 1995 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{gathered}$ | Not in education |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \end{array}$ | Not in education |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 草 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 或 |  | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ | 苞 |  |  | 苞 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Э | 苞 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Australia | 15－19 | 72.2 | 17.1 | 10.6 | 78.1 | 13.2 | 8.7 | 77.8 | 14.7 | 7.5 | 79.2 | 13.5 | 7.3 | 79.7 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 80.0 | 12.8 | 7.2 |
|  | 20－24 | 25.4 | 53.3 | 21.3 | 31.8 | 48.7 | 19.5 | 34.9 | 46.8 | 18.3 | 36.8 | 47.0 | 16.2 | 34.9 | 48.6 | 16.5 | 38.9 | 44.9 | 16.2 |
|  | 25－29 | 10.5 | 58.1 | 31.4 | 14.0 | 58.7 | 27.3 | 14.7 | 59.1 | 26.1 | 16.1 | 55.6 | 28.2 | 15.7 | 59.3 | 25.0 | 16.2 | 58.5 | 25.3 |
| Austria | 15－19 | m |  | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 82.2 | 13.3 | 4.4 |
|  | 20－24 | m |  | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 32.1 | 58.1 | 9.9 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 9.6 | 74.0 | 16.4 |
| Belgium | 15－19 | 86.3 | 2.4 | 11.2 | 86.4 | 2.9 | 10.8 | 90.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 91.1 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 91.1 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 91.2 | 2.4 | 6.4 |
|  | 20－24 | 36.5 | 40.7 | 22.8 | 42.3 | 37.5 | 20.2 | 46.0 | 34.1 | 20.0 | 45.6 | 35.5 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 39.7 | 15.2 | 40.3 | 40.6 | 19.1 |
|  | 25－29 | 5.8 | 67.1 | 27.1 | 8.4 | 66.6 | 24.9 | 14.2 | 63.2 | 22.6 | 11.9 | 68.3 | 19.9 | 12.9 | 65.5 | 21.6 | 6.4 | 70.3 | 23.3 |
| Canada | 15－19 | 84.9 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 84.9 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 84.1 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 84.6 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 85.5 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 84.7 | 9.6 | 5.7 |
|  | 20－24 | 37.6 | 44.3 | 18.1 | 41.2 | 40.8 | 18.0 | 42.7 | 42.7 | 14.5 | 41.7 | 43.3 | 15.0 | 41.8 | 43.9 | 14.2 | 42.8 | 43.2 | 13.9 |
|  | 25－29 | 11.5 | 63.6 | 25.0 | 12.6 | 66.0 | 21.3 | 12.4 | 66.4 | 21.3 | 12.3 | 67.4 | 20.3 | 14.6 | 66.1 | 19.3 | 14.9 | 66.0 | 19.1 |
| Czech Republic | 15－19 | 71.6 | 21.5 | 6.9 | 79.1 | 13.2 | 7.7 | 78.3 | 12.6 | 9.1 | 82.8 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 87.7 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 89.2 | 4.5 | 6.3 |
|  | 20－24 | 13.2 | 54.1 | 32.7 | 16.8 | 53.6 | 29.6 | 19.2 | 51.8 | 29.0 | 20.7 | 52.4 | 26.9 | 24.6 | 51.7 | 23.7 | 26.6 | 49.2 | 24.1 |
|  | 25－29 | 0.8 | 58.5 | 40.8 | 1.7 | 58.0 | 40.3 | 2.0 | 54.1 | 43.9 | 1.8 | 55.9 | 42.3 | 2.6 | 55.1 | 42.3 | 2.8 | 56.8 | 40.3 |
| Denmark | 15－19 | 85.4 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 91.6 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 87.7 | 9.7 | 2.6 | 89.2 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 86.3 | 11.0 | 2.7 | 88.5 | 9.0 | 2.4 |
|  | 20－24 | 50.6 | 34.2 | 15.3 | 55.4 | 36.7 | 7.9 | 58.0 | 33.1 | 8.9 | 58.5 | 33.5 | 7.9 | 59.9 | 30.8 | 9.3 | 58.3 | 34.0 | 7.7 |
|  | 25－29 | 31.5 | 51.1 | 17.4 | 35.7 | 52.6 | 11.7 | 39.2 | 49.7 | 11.1 | 40.2 | 49.6 | 10.2 | 32.0 | 57.0 | 11.0 | 37.9 | 52.6 | 9.5 |
| Finland | 15－19 | m | m | m | 89.8 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 89.5 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 90.1 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 90.2 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 85.8 | 6.3 | 7.8 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 52.7 | 28.7 | 18.5 | 55.2 | 28.8 | 15.9 | 58.9 | 26.7 | 14.4 | 59.2 | 27.9 | 12.9 | 61.3 | 21.8 | 16.9 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 24.9 | 50.8 | 24.4 | 23.1 | 50.3 | 26.5 | 34.2 | 43.8 | 21.9 | 30.3 | 46.6 | 23.2 | 27.7 | 47.0 | 25.3 |
| France | 15－19 | 96.7 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 96.5 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 96.2 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 95.9 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 95.3 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 95.6 | 1.2 | 3.2 |
|  | 20－24 | 53.8 | 25.7 | 20.5 | 55.2 | 25.7 | 19.2 | 55.9 | 25.0 | 19.1 | 56.8 | 26.8 | 16.4 | 56.6 | 27.6 | 15.8 | 56.6 | 27.2 | 16.2 |
|  | 25－29 | 11.8 | 59.8 | 28.5 | 11.9 | 59.5 | 28.6 | 12.3 | 59.5 | 28.2 | 12.9 | 61.9 | 25.2 | 12.3 | 62.3 | 25.3 | 12.8 | 63.8 | 23.4 |
| Germany | 15－19 | m | m | m | 92.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 90.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 88.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 89.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 90.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 38.0 | 44.5 | 17.5 | 36.2 | 44.7 | 19.0 | 35.8 | 44.8 | 19.4 | 37.2 | 44.1 | 18.7 | 40.1 | 42.3 | 17.6 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 10.6 | 64.5 | 24.9 | 11.1 | 64.2 | 24.7 | 10.5 | 65.1 | 24.4 | 10.7 | 64.6 | 24.7 | 13.2 | 63.4 | 23.4 |
| Greece | 15－19 | 79.0 | 7.0 | 14.1 | 80.7 | 6.9 | 12.4 | 82.8 | 5.1 | 12.1 | 83.6 | 5.7 | 10.7 | 85.6 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 87.6 | 5.1 | 7.3 |
|  | 20－24 | 30.2 | 32.2 | 37.6 | 30.2 | 34.4 | 35.4 | 31.8 | 34.7 | 33.5 | 37.4 | 33.5 | 29.1 | 38.5 | 33.1 | 28.4 | 38.1 | 33.7 | 28.2 |
|  | 25－29 | 4.4 | 50.0 | 45.6 | 4.4 | 51.5 | 44.0 | 4.8 | 54.4 | 40.8 | 6.9 | 52.3 | 40.8 | 6.3 | 55.0 | 38.8 | 6.1 | 55.9 | 38.0 |
| Hungary | 15－19 | 83.2 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 78.9 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 79.9 | 8.7 | 11.3 | 83.5 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 85.9 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 88.2 | 4.0 | 7.8 |
|  | 20－24 | 22.0 | 38.5 | 39.5 | 27.9 | 39.6 | 32.5 | 30.7 | 41.5 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 41.1 | 25.7 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 24.2 | 38.7 | 37.8 | 23.5 |
|  | 25－29 | 7.0 | 42.6 | 50.4 | 7.8 | 45.2 | 47.1 | 9.1 | 46.8 | 44.1 | 10.1 | 48.9 | 41.0 | 10.6 | 51.6 | 37.8 | 10.9 | 50.4 | 38.8 |
| Iceland | 15－19 | 60.6 | 24.5 | 14.8 | 87.7 | c | c | 80.7 | 16.8 | c | 83.7 | 13.0 | c | 83.8 | 15.1 | c | 84.6 | 13.0 | c |
|  | 20－24 | 38.7 | 46.8 | 14.4 | 44.3 | 44.3 | 11.4 | 44.4 | 45.5 | c | 47.0 | 47.0 | c | 52.4 | 42.6 | c | 55.9 | 37.9 | c |
|  | 25－29 | 25.1 | 60.1 | 14.8 | 34.4 | 49.7 | 15.9 | 34.1 | 52.9 | 12.9 | 34.7 | 53.2 | c | 39.8 | 52.0 | c | 39.6 | 54.1 | c |
| Ireland | 15－19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 83.7 | 10.9 | 5.5 | 85.4 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 85.6 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 86.3 | 9.3 | 4.5 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 26.5 | 60.7 | 12.8 | 30.0 | 57.3 | 12.7 | 31.8 | 56.2 | 11.9 | 31.9 | 55.6 | 12.5 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | 3.0 | 76.9 | 20.0 | 3.2 | 78.7 | 18.1 | 3.4 | 77.1 | 19.4 | 3.1 | 77.6 | 19.3 |
| Italy | 15－19 | m | m | m | 77.6 | 6.6 | 15.9 | 78.5 | 6.0 | 15.6 | 78.5 | 7.4 | 14.1 | 79.0 | 8.0 | 13.0 | 83.1 | 6.6 | 10.3 |
|  | 20－24 | m | m | m | 39.8 | 28.2 | 32.1 | 38.9 | 28.8 | 32.4 | 39.5 | 31.5 | 29.0 | 40.7 | 31.4 | 27.8 | 42.2 | 31.1 | 26.8 |
|  | 25－29 | m | m | m | 16.5 | 44.0 | 39.5 | 17.5 | 43.2 | 39.3 | 17.6 | 46.2 | 36.1 | 17.0 | 48.4 | 34.5 | 16.3 | 49.7 | 34.0 |

[^12]Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．

Table C4.4b. (continued) Change in the percentage of the young female population in education and not in education (1995-2002)

By age group and work status

|  | Age group | 1995 |  |  | 1998 |  |  | 1999 |  |  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { edu- } \\ \text { cation } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  | In education | Not in education |  |
|  |  | ت్ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{H} \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\Xi}{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Luxembourg | 15-19 | 82.2 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 89.7 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 88.6 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 94.3 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 91.1 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 91.1 | 4.7 | 4.3 |
|  | 20-24 | 33.1 | 51.3 | 15.6 | 36.3 | 49.4 | 14.3 | 43.3 | 44.2 | 12.5 | 42.1 | 45.2 | 12.7 | 47.3 | 41.8 | 10.9 | 47.5 | 40.9 | 11.5 |
|  | 25-29 | 5.3 | 60.1 | 34.6 | 11.1 | 62.7 | 26.2 | 7.6 | 67.1 | 25.2 | 9.2 | 69.2 | 21.6 | 9.2 | 71.3 | 19.5 | 13.9 | 68.8 | 17.3 |
| Mexico | 15-19 | 44.5 | 21.1 | 34.4 | 46.2 | 23.0 | 30.8 | 49.2 | 21.9 | 28.9 | 49.2 | 21.9 | 28.9 | 50.4 | 21.4 | 28.2 | 53.5 | 19.0 | 27.4 |
|  | 20-24 | 14.4 | 35.0 | 50.6 | 15.9 | 37.4 | 46.7 | 17.8 | 37.1 | 45.1 | 17.8 | 37.1 | 45.1 | 17.6 | 36.4 | 46.0 | 19.4 | 35.7 | 44.8 |
|  | 25-29 | 4.2 | 40.4 | 55.3 | 3.4 | 43.2 | 53.5 | 4.5 | 42.0 | 53.5 | 4.5 | 42.0 | 53.5 | 3.5 | 42.3 | 54.1 | 3.7 | 43.7 | 52.6 |
| Netherlands | 15-19 | m | m | m | 88.4 | 8.0 | 3.6 | 89.6 | 7.6 | 2.9 | 82.6 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 82.7 | 12.8 | 4.5 | 81.6 | 14.0 | 4.4 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | 47.7 | 43.0 | 9.4 | 47.4 | 43.4 | 9.2 | 35.6 | 53.1 | 11.2 | 32.6 | 55.6 | 11.8 | 35.2 | 55.3 | 9.5 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | 19.7 | 62.6 | 17.7 | 21.3 | 62.5 | 16.2 | 3.9 | 77.5 | 18.6 | 4.9 | 78.0 | 17.2 | 5.2 | 75.6 | 19.2 |
| Norway | 15-19 | m | m | m | 94.2 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 93.0 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 94.2 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 87.9 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 88.8 | 8.5 | 2.8 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | 47.4 | 42.4 | 10.1 | 45.3 | 45.2 | 9.6 | 51.1 | 39.9 | 9.0 | 46.1 | 44.5 | 9.4 | 43.5 | 45.9 | 10.6 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | 15.1 | 70.9 | 14.0 | 18.6 | 69.7 | 11.7 | 18.7 | 68.7 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 70.9 | 13.0 | 15.6 | 70.8 | 13.5 |
| Poland | 15-19 | 92.1 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 92.5 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 94.5 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 94.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 92.8 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 96.8 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
|  | 20-24 | 22.9 | 37.1 | 40.0 | 31.4 | 40.3 | 28.3 | 34.2 | 35.0 | 30.8 | 35.4 | 30.4 | 34.2 | 47.4 | 24.1 | 28.5 | 56.1 | 18.4 | 25.5 |
|  | 25-29 | 3.1 | 55.8 | 41.1 | 5.0 | 59.4 | 35.6 | 5.0 | 59.3 | 35.7 | 7.7 | 53.0 | 39.3 | 11.9 | 49.6 | 38.5 | 14.7 | 45.9 | 39.4 |
| Portugal | 15-19 | 74.5 | 15.9 | 9.6 | 74.1 | 16.3 | 9.7 | 74.4 | 16.0 | 9.6 | 75.6 | 15.1 | 9.2 | 75.4 | 15.1 | 9.5 | 77.6 | 15.6 | 6.8 |
|  | 20-24 | 42.9 | 40.2 | 16.9 | 36.4 | 49.4 | 14.2 | 37.9 | 47.3 | 14.8 | 40.4 | 46.0 | 13.5 | 41.9 | 45.3 | 12.7 | 38.3 | 46.4 | 15.4 |
|  | 25-29 | 12.2 | 63.7 | 24.1 | 8.7 | 70.1 | 21.2 | 12.3 | 68.4 | 19.3 | 10.5 | 71.2 | 18.3 | 10.8 | 72.8 | 16.4 | 11.4 | 71.9 | 16.7 |
| Slovak Republic | 15-19 | 71.1 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 70.7 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 69.8 | 12.1 | 18.1 | 67.2 | 8.1 | 24.7 | 66.5 | 8.6 | 24.9 | 79.4 | 7.1 | 13.5 |
|  | 20-24 | 14.5 | 45.0 | 40.5 | 19.2 | 49.9 | 31.0 | 19.3 | 46.4 | 34.3 | 19.1 | 47.1 | 33.8 | 22.4 | 43.8 | 33.8 | 25.1 | 40.7 | 34.2 |
|  | 25-29 | 0.7 | 51.2 | 48.1 | 0.5 | 59.6 | 39.9 | 1.4 | 60.6 | 38.0 | 1.3 | 58.7 | 40.0 | 2.2 | 57.2 | 40.6 | 3.1 | 55.5 | 41.4 |
| Spain | 15-19 | 81.2 | 6.9 | 11.9 | 84.7 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 83.5 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 84.5 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 86.0 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 85.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
|  | 20-24 | 44.6 | 26.3 | 29.0 | 49.6 | 27.4 | 23.0 | 49.3 | 29.8 | 20.9 | 49.5 | 32.0 | 18.5 | 49.3 | 32.8 | 17.9 | 48.4 | 33.6 | 18.0 |
|  | 25-29 | 16.1 | 39.0 | 45.0 | 16.8 | 46.6 | 36.5 | 16.3 | 48.3 | 35.3 | 16.8 | 52.7 | 30.5 | 18.4 | 53.8 | 27.9 | 17.6 | 54.6 | 27.8 |
| Sweden | 15-19 | 89.8 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 92.6 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 92.5 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 91.8 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 88.9 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 89.4 | 7.3 | 3.3 |
|  | 20-24 | 40.7 | 43.5 | 15.8 | 47.0 | 41.3 | 11.7 | 48.7 | 40.6 | 10.7 | 47.3 | 42.8 | 9.9 | 45.7 | 43.6 | 10.6 | 46.4 | 43.0 | 10.6 |
|  | 25-29 | 19.5 | 65.1 | 15.4 | 27.8 | 59.8 | 12.4 | 24.5 | 63.9 | 11.6 | 24.0 | 64.5 | 11.6 | 24.8 | 65.9 | 9.3 | 24.3 | 65.3 | 10.5 |
| Switzerland | 15-19 | 62.4 | 12.1 | 25.5 | 83.3 | 11.0 | 5.7 | 82.8 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 83.3 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 84.5 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 83.9 | 10.2 | 5.8 |
|  | 20-24 | 26.7 | 60.1 | 13.2 | 32.2 | 53.5 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 57.3 | 9.4 | 35.9 | 57.4 | 6.6 | 36.2 | 56.3 | 7.5 | 38.9 | 52.5 | 8.6 |
|  | 25-29 | 7.8 | 70.3 | 22.0 | 7.3 | 75.8 | 16.9 | 9.7 | 74.4 | 15.9 | 9.0 | 73.3 | 17.7 | 10.5 | 71.0 | 18.5 | 11.0 | 71.3 | 17.8 |
| Turkey | 15-19 | 30.9 | 27.5 | 41.6 | 35.1 | 22.9 | 41.9 | 34.9 | 23.3 | 41.8 | 34.0 | 19.6 | 46.3 | 35.5 | 17.5 | 47.0 | 36.5 | 18.0 | 45.5 |
|  | 20-24 | 6.5 | 29.7 | 63.8 | 9.0 | 29.3 | 61.7 | 10.3 | 31.0 | 58.7 | 10.2 | 25.5 | 64.4 | 10.0 | 26.5 | 63.5 | 10.7 | 26.5 | 62.8 |
|  | 25-29 | 2.1 | 29.7 | 68.2 | 2.4 | 29.9 | 67.7 | 2.8 | 28.5 | 68.7 | 2.8 | 28.2 | 69.0 | 2.0 | 27.0 | 71.1 | 2.4 | 27.6 | 70.0 |
| United Kingdom | 15-19 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 78.0 | 14.2 | 7.9 | 77.3 | 14.7 | 8.0 | 77.3 | 13.8 | 8.9 |
|  | 20-24 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 32.7 | 47.6 | 19.8 | 33.9 | 46.9 | 19.2 | 34.4 | 45.5 | 20.2 |
|  | 25-29 | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 15.3 | 61.1 | 23.6 | 15.8 | 61.4 | 22.8 | 17.1 | 56.8 | 26.1 |
| United States | 15-19 | 80.8 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 83.1 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 81.1 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 82.3 | 10.4 | 7.3 | 82.0 | 9.9 | 8.0 | m | m | m |
|  | 20-24 | 31.9 | 44.6 | 23.5 | 33.6 | 47.4 | 19.0 | 33.4 | 46.8 | 19.8 | 34.1 | 47.5 | 18.3 | 35.3 | 45.7 | 19.0 | m | m | m |
|  | 25-29 | 12.2 | 63.5 | 24.3 | 12.9 | 65.4 | 21.7 | 11.4 | 66.0 | 22.6 | 12.7 | 65.1 | 22.2 | 13.0 | 62.2 | 24.8 | m | m | m |
| Country mean | 15-19 | 75.2 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 81.1 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 81.3 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 81.8 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 81.8 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 83.0 | 8.7 | 8.2 |
|  | 20-24 | 30.9 | 41.2 | 28.0 | 36.7 | 40.4 | 23.0 | 37.6 | 40.9 | 21.5 | 38.2 | 41.2 | 20.6 | 39.5 | 40.8 | 19.8 | 40.3 | 40.1 | 19.6 |
|  | 25-29 | 10.1 | 55.2 | 34.7 | 13.2 | 56.9 | 29.9 | 13.3 | 58.1 | 28.6 | 13.4 | 58.9 | 27.7 | 13.5 | 59.4 | 27.1 | 13.7 | 59.5 | 26.7 |

[^13]Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

## INDICATOR C5: THE SITUATION OF THE YOUTH POPULATION WITH LOW LEVELS OF EDUCATION

- In eight OECD countries the proportion of young people not in education without upper secondary education in the 20 to 24 -year-old age group remains under $10 \%$.
- In 11 out of 27 OECD countries, this potentially "at risk" group represents between 10 and $18 \%$ of the age group. For the remaining eight OECD countries, more than $20 \%$ of the age group falls under this category.
- The problem affects more young males than females in 19 out of 27 countries including Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The reverse is true in Denmark, Luxembourg and Turkey.

Chart C5.1. Percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds who are not in education and have not attained upper secondary education, by gender (2002)


[^14]
## Policy context

Entering the labour market is often a difficult period of transition. While the length of time spent in education has increased, a significant proportion of young people remain neither in education nor working (i.e., they are either unemployed or in non-employment). This situation gives particular cause for concern for younger age groups, many of whom have no unemployment status or welfare coverage.
As the inter-relationships among education, the economy and the well-being of nations become ever closer, providing effective educational careers for young people and ensuring successful transitions from initial education to working life become major policy concerns. Rising skill demands in OECD countries have made upper secondary diplomas a minimum requirement for successful entry into the labour market and a basis for further participation in lifelong learning. Young people with lower qualifications run a higher risk of long-term unemployment or unstable or unfulfilling employment, which can have additional consequences, such as social exclusion.

## Evidence and explanations

## Young people not in education or work

Over $80 \%$ of persons between the ages of 15 and 19 are in education in most OECD countries. A small proportion of this age group is employed after having left school, although this figure is as high as $10 \%$ for 10 OECD countries and even more than $20 \%$ in three others (Table C4.2).

There is, however, a group of young people who are neither in education nor at work. Some are officially unemployed, if they are actively seeking work, while those who are not doing so are considered to be in non-employment. Their reasons may be many and varied, such as discouragement due to the difficulty of finding work or voluntary withdrawal because of family circumstances. In 19 out of 27 OECD countries, the proportion of these young people is higher than the proportion of those with unemployment status.

To be out of education and out of employment is very uncommon in Denmark, France, Luxembourg, Norway and Poland; it is common in Finland, Italy, Mexico, the Slovak Republic and Turkey. In these countries, more than 10\% of young people aged 15 to 19 are neither at school nor in work (Table C4.2). In other OECD countries, the proportion is lower but not insignificant, ranging from 4 to $9 \%$. The problem affects more young males than females in Austria, Finland, Iceland, the Slovak Republic and Sweden, and the reverse is true in Mexico and Turkey (Chart C5.2). Differences according to gender remain small in the other countries, even if young males are generally more affected.
Young people with low qualifications may run an increased risk of long-term unemployment or of unstable, unfulfilling employment, which can have other negative consequences such as social exclusion. Early drop-out has become one of the most important educational policy problems. For students aged between 20 and 24 years, compared with those aged 15 to 19 , the scale of the problem grows and changes, since most 20 to 24-year-olds are entering the labour market for the first time after

This indicator reflects the situation of young people who are neither in education nor in employment.

Most 15 to 19-year-olds are still in school. In many OECD countries, a high percentage of those who are not are either unemployed or not in the labour force.

Between the ages of 20 and 24 , the scale of the problem grows and changes since most young people enter the labour market at that age.

Chart C5.2. Percentage of 15 to 19-year-olds who are neither in education nor at work, by gender (2002)


1. Year of reference 2001.

Countries are ranked in ascending order of 15 to 19-year-olds who are neither in education nor at work.
Source: OECD. Tables C4.2a and C4.2b. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).
having completed initial education. Individuals often experience a period of unemployment and adjustment before finding a secure and satisfying job.

In eight OECD countries, including the Nordic and Eastern European countries as well as Switzerland and the United Kingdom, the proportion of young people (aged 20 to 24) no longer in education without upper secondary education remains under $10 \%$. This is a small group, but one that is certainly in a difficult position. In 11 out of 27 OECD countries, this potentially "at risk" group represents between 10 and $18 \%$ of the age group. The challenge in terms of increasing upper secondary graduation rates is significant here. For the remaining eight OECD countries, more than $20 \%$ of the age group falls into this category. The problem affects more young males than females in 19 out of 27 countries including Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The reverse is true in Denmark, Luxembourg and Turkey (Chart C5.1). Differences according to gender remain small in the other countries.

The consequences of leaving school without an upper secondary qualification can be observed by comparing the work status of those with and those without an upper secondary qualification. In all OECD countries, higher educational attainment is associated with an increase in the employment rate, on average 19 percentage points (Chart C5.3). The comparison also reveals some patterns related to the specific organisation of the labour market. The gap in employment rates between those with upper secondary qualifications and those without is

Chart C5.3. Employment rates for 20 to 24-year-olds who are not in education, by level of educational attainment (2002)


1. Year of reference 2001.

Countries are ranked in descending order of the employment rates of 20 to 24-year-olds who are not in education and who have not attained upper secondary education.
Source: OECD. Table C5.1. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).
remarkably small in all Mediterranean countries, which suggests a good match between qualifications - even if these are low - and employment. The United Kingdom is an interesting case; the prevalence of low qualifications is one of the lowest among OECD countries, but the unemployment differentials are particularly high, suggesting that the few persons who have not obtained an upper secondary qualification are particularly disadvantaged. In a different economic context, this is also the case in Eastern European countries: Hungary and the Czech and Slovak Republics.

Young persons with a low level of qualifications are more likely to have been born outside of the country in wich they live. In some countries, a sizeable proportion of the youth population has come to the country as immigrants. In 10 out of 18 countries reporting data, immigrants represent more than $10 \%$ of the 20 to 24-year-old population. In order of increasing proportion, these countries are: Portugal (10\%), Austria, Sweden, Canada, Germany (13 \%), the United States, Switzerland, Australia (19\%), Netherlands and Luxembourg (28\%). The proportion of 20 to- 24 year-olds not born in the country is much higher among those who are not in education and have not completed upper secondary education (Chart C5.4). Being born out of the country is a clear disadvantage in all but five countries: Australia, Canada, Ireland, Portugal and Spain. In other countries the proportion of non-native young persons is remarkably high among low-qualified individuals, on average twice as high

Non-native individuals are very often associated with a low level of educational attainment.

Chart C5.4. Percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds not born in the country (2002)


1. Year of reference 2001.

Countries are ranked in descending order of the percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds not in education with less than upper secondary attainment not born in the country.
Source: OECD. Table C5.2. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Chart C5.5. Percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds with less than upper secondary attainment, who are not in the labour force and have never had a job, by gender (2002)


Countries are ranked in ascending order of the percentage for the female population.
Source: OECD. Table C5.3. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).
as for persons born in the country, and much more in Austria, the Czech Republic, Switzerland and the United States.

A significant proportion of under-qualified young people is continuously left out of the labour market (Chart C5.5). Focusing on those not in the labour force (i.e. who are not actively seeking a job) one in 10 males and one in 4 females, on average, has never had a job. The percentage remains low in Portugal, Spain and Sweden, but increases dramatically in Eastern European countries and in Greece. Females are very frequently left out of the labour market, not only in these countries, but also in Italy, Ireland and the United Kingdom.

## Definitions and methodologies

The indicator is based on labour force survey data on age-specific proportions of young people in each of the specified categories. The definitions of the labour force statuses of those not in education (and not enrolled in work-study programmes) are based on ILO guidelines. Data for this indicator were calculated from the special OECD data collection on transition from education to work (see Indicator C4). In 2003, the OECD Network B carried out a specific and enriched data collection for which requirements coincide with the requirements for the transition data collection. In the absence of data submission from the country itself Network B obtained data from the Eurostat Labour Force Survey. As different definitions are used for people "in education", inconsistencies might occur between the regular OECD transition data collection and the specific data collection; this is partly addressed by Eurostat data regarding the indicator "percentage of 20 to 24 -year-olds who are not in education and who have not attained upper secondary education". As a result, percentages for early school leavers published in Education at a Glance 2004 will not necessarily be reproduced in the planned separate publication of detailed results on the young adults with low levels of education.

An "early school leaver" could broadly be defined as "a young person who has not attained upper secondary education and is not in education, or in a work-study programme leading to an upper secondary qualification or higher". However, such a definition must include the specification of an age group within which very few people can still be attending school at the primary or secondary level. Young people aged 18 and 19, in a significant number of OECD countries, are still enrolled in upper secondary education. Very early leavers may eventually return to school. Moreover, labour market outcomes at early ages may not be representative of outcomes at later ages. The OECD therefore defines a young adult with low level of education as "a person aged 20 to 24 years who has not attained upper secondary education and who is not enrolled in education nor in a work-study programme".

Data for this indicator were calculated from the special OECD data collection on transition from education to work.

Table C5.1. Percentage of 20 to 24 -year-olds,
by level of educational attainment, work status and gender (2002)


Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C5.1. (continued) Percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds, by level of educational attainment, work status and gender (2002)


Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C5.2. Percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds by place of birth (2002)
Total population and population not in education, below upper secondary attainment

|  | All 20 to 24-year-olds |  |  |  | 20 to 24-year-olds not in education, below upper secondary attainment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Born in the country | Born in another country | No information about country of birth | Total | Born in the country | Born in another country | No information about country of birth | Total |
| Australia | 81 | 19 | n | 100 | 89 | 11 | n | 100 |
| Austria | 90 | 10 | n | 100 | 74 | 26 | n | 100 |
| Belgium | 93 | 7 | n | 100 | 84 | 16 | n | 100 |
| Canada ${ }^{1}$ | 78 | 11 | 11 | 100 | 88 | 12* | n | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 99 | 1 | n | 100 | 95 | 5 | n | 100 |
| Denmark | 92 | 8 | n | 100 | 89 | 10* | n | 100 |
| France | 93 | 7 | n | 100 | 87 | 13 | n | 100 |
| Germany | 80 | 13 | 7 | 100 | 65 | 26 | 9 | 100 |
| Greece | 92 | 8 | n | 100 | 82 | 18* | n | 100 |
| Ireland | 91 | 9 | n | 100 | 93 | 7 | n | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 72 | 28 | n | 100 | 38 | 62 | n | 100 |
| Netherlands | 78 | 22 | n | 100 | 69 | 31 | n | 100 |
| Portugal | 90 | 10 | n | 100 | 90 | 10 | n | 100 |
| Spain | 96 | 4 | n | 100 | 95 | 5 | n | 100 |
| Sweden | 88 | 11 | 1 | 100 | 84 | 14 | 2 | 100 |
| Switzerland | 83 | 17 | n | 100 | 54 | 46 | n | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 92 | 8 | n | 100 | 86 | 14 | n | 100 |
| United States | 87 | 13 | n | 100 | 67 | 33 | n | 100 |
| Country mean | 87 | 11 | 1 | 100 | 79 | 20 | 1 | 100 |

* Data to be considered with caution due to small sample size.

1. Year of reference 2001.

Source: OECD and EULFS. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

Table C5.3. Percentage of 20 to 24-year-old non-students with low level of educational attainment, who are not in the labour force and have never had a job, by gender

|  | Males |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Austria | 10 | Females |
| Belgium | 12 | 20 |
| Canada | 4 | 24 |
| Czech Republic | 11 | 19 |
| France | $7 *$ | 34 |
| Germany | 9 | 21 |
| Greece | 7 | 27 |
| Hungary | 20 | 37 |
| Ireland | $9 *$ | 36 |
| Italy | 10 | 32 |
| Poland | 19 | 32 |
| Portugal | $4 *$ | 35 |
| Spain | 3 | $7 *$ |
| Sweden | $m$ | 11 |
| United Kingdom | 10 | 13 |
| Country mean | 10 | 32 |

[^15]
## CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS PUBLICATION

Many people have contributed to the development of this publication. The following lists the names of the country representatives, researchers and experts who have actively taken part in the preparatory work leading to the publication of this edition of Education at a Glance - OECD Indicators. The OECD wishes to thank them all for their valuable efforts.

## National Co-ordinators

Mr. Dan ANDERSSON (Sweden)
Mr. Jorge BARATA (Portugal)
Mr. Dominique BARTHÉLÉMY (Belgium)
Mr. Eric DALMIJN (Netherlands)
Mr. Michal FEDEROWICZ (Poland)
Mr. Guillermo GIL (Spain)
Mr. Heinz GILOMEN (Switzerland)
Ms. Margrét HARĐARDOTTIR (Iceland)
Mr. G. Douglas HODGKINSON (Canada)
Ms. Judit KADAR-FULOP (Hungary)
Mr. Gregory KAFETZOPOULOS (Greece)
Mr. I.Z. KARABIYIK (Turkey)
Mr. Kwan-Bok KIM (Korea)
Mr. Matti KYRÖ (Finland)
Mr. Antonio Giunta LA SPADA (Italy)
Mr. David LAMBIE (New Zealand)
Mr. Jérôme LEVY (Luxembourg)

Mr. Dietrich MAGERKURTH (Germany)
Mr. Victor MANUELVELÁZQUEZ CASTAÑEDA (Mexico)
Mr. Lubomir MARTINEC (Czech Republic)
Mr. Gerardo MUÑOZ SANCHEZ-BRUNETE (Spain)
Mr. Mark NEMET (Austria)
Mr. Torlach O'CONNOR (Ireland)
Mr. Laurence OGLE (United States)
Mr. Brendan O’REILLY (Australia)
Mr. Vladimir POKOJNY (Slovak Republic)
Ms. Janice ROSS (United Kingdom)
Mr. Ingo RUSS (Germany)
Mr. Claude SAUVAGEOT (France)
Mr. Ole-Jacob SKODVIN (Norway)
Mr. Ken THOMASSEN (Denmark)
Ms. Ann VAN DRIESSCHE (Belgium)
Mr. Jerzy WISNIEWSKI (Poland)
Mr. Michio YAMADA (Japan)

## Technical Group on Education Statistics and Indicators

Mr. Ruud ABELN (Netherlands)
Mr. Paul AMACHER (Switzerland)
Ms. Birgitta ANDRÉN (EUROSTAT)
Ms. Marie ARNEBERG (Norway)
Ms. Karin ARVEMO-NOTSTRAND (Sweden)
Ms. Alina BARAN (Poland)
Ms. Eva BOLIN (Sweden)
Mr. Fernando CELESTINO REY (Spain)
Mr. Eduardo DE LA FUENTE (Spain)
Ms. Gemma DE SANCTIS (Italy)
Mr. Philippe DIEU (Belgium)
Mr. Kjetil DIGRE (Norway)
Ms. Maria DOKOU (Greece)
Ms. Mary DUNNE (Ireland)
Ms. Nilgün DURAN (Turkey)
Mr. Timo ERTOLA (Finland)
Mr. Pierre FALLOURD (France)

Ms. Judit LUKÁCS (Hungary)
Mr. Dietrich MAGERKURTH (Germany)
Mr. Robert MAHEU (Canada)
Ms. Sabine MARTINSCHITZ (Austria)
Ms. Giuliana MATTEOCCI (Italy)
Ms. Midori MIYATA (Japan)
Mr. Yoshiro NAKAYA (Japan)
Ms. Anna NOWOZYNSKA (Poland)
Mr. Geir NYGARD (Norway)
Mr. Muiris O'CONNOR (Ireland)
Mr. Brendan O'REILLY (Australia)
Mr. Miikka PAAJAVUORI (Finland)
Mr. Jose PAREDES (Portugal)
Mr. Wolfgang PAULI (Austria)
Mr. Adrian PAWSEY (Australia)
Mr. João PEREIRA DE MATOS (Portugal)
Mr. Jose PESSOA (Canada)

Ms. Alzbeta FERENCICOVA (Slovak Republic)
Ms. Catherine FREEMAN (United States)
Mr. Yosef GIDANIAN (Israel)
Mr. Paul GINI (New Zealand)
Mr. Bengt GREF (Sweden)
Mr. Heinz-WERNER HETMEIER (Germany)
Mr. Steve HEWITT (United Kingdom)
Ms. Maria HRABINSKA (Slovak Republic)
Mr. Jesus IBANEZ MILLA (Spain)
Mr. Klaus JACOBSEN (Denmark)
Ms. Michèle JACQUOT (France)
Ms. Nathalie JAUNIAUX (Belgium)
Ms. Alison KENNEDY (UNESCO)
Ms. Michaela KLENHOVÁ (Czech Republic)
Mr. Felix KOSCHIN (Czech Republic)
Ms. Natalia KOVALEVA (Russia)
Mr. Steve LEMAN (United Kingdom)
Mr. Jérôme LEVY (Luxembourg)
Mr. László LIMBACHER (Hungary)

## Network A on Educational Outcomes

Lead Country: United States
Network Leader: Mr. Eugene OWEN
Mr. Helmut BACHMANN (Austria)
Ms. Anna BARKLUND (Sweden)
Mr. Giray BERBEROGLU (Turkey)
Ms. Iris BLANKE (Luxembourg)
Ms. Christiane BLONDIN (Belgium)
Mr. Fernando CORDOVA CALDERON (Mexico)
Ms. Chiara CROCE (Italy)
Mr. Guillermo GIL (Spain)
Ms. Zsuzsa HAMORI-VACZY (Hungary)
Mr. Jürgen HORSCHINEGG (Austria)
Ms. Anne-Berit KAVLI (Norway)
Mr. Jorma KUUSELA (Finland)
Ms. Mariann LEMKE (United States)
Mr. Felipe MARTINEZ RIZO (Mexico)
Mr. Jay MOSKOWITZ (United States)
Mr. Jerry MUSSIO (Canada)
Mr. Michael O'GORMAN (Canada)
Mr. Jules PESCHAR (Netherlands)

Mr. Spyridon PILOS (EUROSTAT)
Ms. Elena REBROSOVA (Slovak Republic)
Mr. Alexander RENNER (Germany)
Mr. Ingo RUSS (Germany)
Mr. Pascal SCHMIDT (EUROSTAT)
Mr. Thomas SNYDER (United States)
Ms. Maria Pia SORVILLO (Italy)
Ms. Dalia SPRINZAK (Israel)
Mr. Konstantinos STOUKAS (Greece)
Mr. Dick TAKKENBERG (Netherlands)
Mr. Ken THOMASSEN (Denmark)
Mr. Mika TUONONEN (Finland)
Mr. Shuichi UEHARA (Japan)
Ms. Manon UNSEN (Luxembourg)
Ms. Ásta URBANCIC (Iceland)
Mr. Matti VAISANEN (Finland)
Ms. Erika VALLE BUTZE (Mexico)
Ms. Ann VAN DRIESSCHE (Belgium)
Mr. Rik VERSTRAETE (Belgium)

Ms. Glória RAMALHO (Portugal)
Mr. Erich RAMSEIER (Switzerland)
Mr. Thierry ROCHER (France)
Mr. Vladislav ROSA (Slovak Republic)
Mr. Jochen SCHWEITZER (Germany)
Ms. Elois SCOTT (United States)
Mr. Gerry SHIEL (Ireland)
Mr. Joern SKOVSGAARD (Denmark)
Ms. Maria STEPHENS (United States)
Mr. Jason TARSH (United Kingdom)
Mr. Luc VAN DE POELE (Belgium)
Mr. Paul VAN OIJEN (Netherlands)
Ms. Evangelia VARNAVA-SKOURA (Greece)
Mr. Ryo WATANABE (Japan)
Ms. Anita WESTER (Sweden)
Ms. Wendy WHITHAM (Australia)
Ms. Lynne WHITNEY (New Zealand)
Ms. Pavla ZIELENCIOVA (Czech Republic)

## Network B on Education and Socio-economic Outcomes

Lead country: Sweden
Network Leader: Mr. Dan ANDERSSON

Ms. Yupin BAE (United States)
Ms. Ariane BAYE (Belgium)
Ms. Irja BLOMQVIST (Finland)
Ms. Anna BORKOWSKY (Switzerland)
Mr. Fernando CELESTINO REY (Spain)
Ms. Jihee CHOI (Korea)
Mr. Erik DAHL (Norway)
Mr. Eric DALMIJN (Netherlands)
Mr. Patrice DE BROUCKER (Canada)
Mr. Kjetil DIGRE (Norway)
Ms. Isabelle ERAUW (Belgium)
Ms. Lisa HUDSON (United States)
Mr. Evangelos INTZIDIS (Greece)
Ms. Anna JONSSON (Sweden)
Mr. Olof JOS (Sweden)
Mr. Jens KROGSTRUP (Denmark)
Ms. Christiane KRÜGER-HEMMER (Germany)
Mr. Jérôme LEVY (Luxembourg)
Ms. Anne-France MOSSOUX (European Commission)
Mr. Philip O'CONNELL (Ireland)

Mr. Brendan O'REILLY (Australia)
Mr. Ali PANAL (Turkey)
Mr. Kenny PETERSSON (Sweden)
Ms. Simona PIKALKOVA (Czech Republic)
Mr. Spyridon PILOS (EUROSTAT)
Ms. Pascale POULET-COULIBANDO (France)
Ms. Cheryl REMINGTON (New Zealand)
Ms. Aila REPO (Finland)
Ms. Emilia SAO PEDRO (Portugal)
Ms. Astrid SCHORN-BUCHNER (Luxembourg)
Mr. Peter SCRIMGEOUR (United Kingdom)
Mr. Dan SHERMAN (United States)
Ms. Irena SKRZYPCZAK (Poland)
Ms Maria-Pia SORVILLO (Italy)
Mr. Stig FORNENG (Sweden)
Ms. Pauline THOOLEN (Netherlands)
Ms. Mariá THURZOVÁ (Slovak Republic)
Ms. Éva TÓT (Hungary)
Mr. Johan VAN DER VALK (Netherlands)

## Network C on School Features and Processes

Lead Country: Netherlands
Network Leader: Mr. Jaap SCHEERENS

Ms. Dominique ALLAIN (France)
Ms. Bodhild BAASLAND (Norway)
Mr. Vassilios CHARISMIADIS (Greece)
Mr. Jerzy CHODNICKI (Poland)
Ms. Maria DO CARMO CLÍMACO (Portugal)
Mr. Eric DALMIJN (Netherlands)
Mr. Philippe DELOOZ (Belgium)
Ms. Alexia DENEIRE (Belgium)
Ms. Nilgün DURAN (Turkey)
Ms. Flora GILTRAVER (Spain)
Mr. Paul GINI (New Zealand)
Mr. Sean GLENNANE (Ireland)
Ms. Kerry GRUBER (United States)
Mr. Helder GUERREIRO (Portugal)
Ms. Annika HAGLUND (Sweden)
Ms. Maria HENDRIKS (Netherlands)

Ms. Alison Kennedy (UNESCO)
Ms. Michaela KLENHOVÁ (Czech Republic)
Mr. Christian KRENTHALLER (Austria)
Mr. Hannu-Pekka LAPPALAINEN (Finland)
Ms. Ulla LINDQVIST (Sweden)
Ms. Nelly MCEWEN (Canada)
Mr. Gerd MÖLLER (Germany)
Ms. Hyun-Jeong PARK (Korea)
Mr. Jørgen Balling RASMUSSEN (Denmark)
Ms. Astrid SCHORN (Luxembourg)
Mr. Joel SHERMAN (United States)
Ms. Pavlina STASTNOVA (Czech Republic)
Mr. Eugene STOCKER (Switzerland)
Mr. Jason TARSH (United Kingdom)
Ms. Erika VALLE BUTZE (Mexico)
Mr. Peter VAN PETEGEM (Belgium)

Ms. Maria HRABINSKA (Slovak Republic)
Ms. Anna IMRE (Hungary)

## World Education Indicators

Ms. Caterina VEGLIONE (Italy)

Ms. Zhi hua LIN (China)
Ms. Khalijah MOHAMMAD (Malaysia)
Mr. Eliezer MOREIRA PACHECO (Brazil)
Ms. Irene Beatriz OIBERMAN (Argentina)
Ms. Mara PEREZTORRANO (Uruguay)
Mr. Mohammed RAGHEB (Egypt)
Ms. Sirivarn SVASTIWAT (Thailand)
Ms. Patricia VALDIVIA (Peru)
Ms. Dalila ZARZA PAREDES (Paraguay)

## Others contributors to this publication

Mr. Kai v. AHLEFELD (Layout)
Mr. Gilles BURST (Layout)
Ms. Delphine GRANDRIEUX (OECD)
Ms. Katja HETTLER (Layout)

Mr. Thomas KRÄHENBÜHL (Layout)
Ms. Melissa PEERLESS (Editor)
Mr. Ingo RUSS (German Ministry of Education)
Mr. Stephan VINCENT-LANCRIN (OECD)

## RELATED OECD PUBLICATIONS

| Classifying Educational ISBN 92-64-17037-5 | EUR 41 | for ISCED US\$ 43 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nenta } \\ & £ 26 \end{aligned}$ | ountries $\text { ¥ } 5050$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Initial Education to Working Life: Making Transitions Work (2000) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-17631-4 | EUR 39 | US\$ 37 | £ 23 | $¥ 3900$ |
| Knowledge and Skills for Life: First Results from PISA 2000 (2001) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-19671-4 | EUR 21 | US\$ 19 | £ 13 | $¥ 2110$ |
| Teachers for Tomorrow's Schools: Analysis of the 2000 World Education Indicators (2001) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-18699-9 | EUR 22 | US\$ 20 | £ 14 | $¥ 2200$ |
| Financing Education: Investments and Returns - Analysis of the World Education Indicators (2002) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-19971-3 | EUR 25 | US\$ 25 | £ 16 | $¥ 3050$ |
| PISA 2000Technical Report (2002) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-19951-9 | EUR 30 | US\$ 30 | £ 19 | $¥ 3500$ |
| Manual for the PISA 2000 Database (2002) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-19822-9 | EUR 20 | US\$ 19 | £ 12 | $¥ 2300$ |
| Sample Tasks from the PISA 2000 Assessment: Reading, Mathematical and Scientific Literacy (2002) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-19765-6 | EUR 20 | US\$ 19 | £ 12 | $¥ 2300$ |
| Reading for Change: Performance and Engagement across Countries (2003) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-09926-3 | EUR 24 | US\$ 24 | £ 15 | $¥ 2800$ |
| Literacy Skills for the World ofTomorrow: Further Results from PISA 2000 (2003) |  |  |  |  |
| ISBN 92-64-10286-8 | EUR 21 | US\$ 24 | £ 14 | $¥ 2700$ |

The PISA 2003 Assessment Framework: Mathematics, Reading, Science and Problem Solving Knowledge and Skills (2003)
ISBN 92-64-10172-1 EUR $24 \quad$ US\$ $28 \quad$ £ $16 \quad \neq 3100$

Learners for Life: Student Approaches to Learning: Results from PISA 2000 (2003)
ISBN 92-64-10390-2 EUR $21 \quad$ US\$ $24 \quad$ £ 14 ¥ 2700

Student Engagement at School: A Sense of Belonging and Participation: Results from PISA 2000 (2003)

ISBN 92-64-01892-1 EUR 21 US\$24 | ¥ 2700 |
| :---: |

OECD Handbook for Internationally Comparative Education Statistics: Concepts, Standards, Definitions and Classifications (2004)
ISBN 92-64-10410-0
EUR 45
US\$ 56
£ 31
$¥ 5800$
Completing the Foundation for Lifelong Learning: An OECD Survey of Upper Secondary Schools (2004)
ISBN 92-64-10372-4 EUR 28 US\$ 32 £20 $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¥ } 800\end{aligned}$

| OECD Survey of Upper Secondary Schools:Technical Report (2004) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISBN 92-64-10572-7 | EUR 32 | US 37 | $£ 22$ | $¥ 400$ |

Internationalisation and Trade in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges (2004)
ISBN 96-64-01504-3 EUR 50 US\$ 63 $\quad$ £35 $\quad \neq 6400$

Education Policy Analysis 2004 (to be published in the fourth quarter of 2004)
First Results from PISA 2003 (to be published on 7 December 2004)
PISA 2003 report on problem solving (to be published on 7 December 2004)
TABLE OF CONTENTS
Foreword ..... 3
Executive Summary ..... 11
Introduction: the indicators and their framework ..... 25
Reader's Guide ..... 35
Chapter A: The output of educational institutions and the impact of learning ..... 39
Indicator A1: Educational attainment of the adult population ..... 41
Table A1.1. Educational attainment: adult population
Table A1.1a. Educational attainment: males
Table A1.1b. Educational attainment: females
Table A1.2. Population at the age of basic, upper secondary and tertiary education
Indicator A2: Current upper secondary graduation rates and educational attainment of the adult population. ..... 51
Table A2.1. Upper secondary graduation rates
Table A2.2. Population that has attained at least upper secondary education
Table A2.3. Post-secondary non-tertiary graduation rates
Indicator A3: Current tertiary graduation and survival rates and educational attainment of the adult population ..... 60
Table A3.1. Tertiary graduation rates
Table A3.2. Survival rates in tertiary education
Table A3.3. Population that has attained tertiary education
Table A3.4a. Trends in educational attainment of the 25 to 64 -year-old population
Table A3.4b. Trends in educational attainment of the 25 to 34 -year-old population
Table A3.4c. Trends in educational attainment of the 25 to 34 -year-old population, by gender
Indicator A4: Tertiary graduates by field of study ..... 78
Table A4.1. Tertiary graduates, by field of study
Table A4.2. Percentage of tertiary qualifications awarded to females, by type oftertiary education and field of study
Indicator A5: Trends in $4^{\text {th }}$-grade students' reading literacy performance ..... 86
Table A5.1. Trends in reading literacy performance
Table A5.2. Trends in gender differences in reading literacy performance
Table A5.3. Trends in reading literacy performance, by subscale
Indicator A6: Reading literacy of 15-year-olds96
Table A6.1. Reading proficiency of 15 -year-olds
Table A6.2. Variation in performance in reading literacy of 15 -year-olds
Table A6.3. Mean performance in reading literacy of $4^{\text {th }}$-grade students and15-year-olds
Indicator A7: Mathematical and scientific literacy of 15-year-olds ..... 108
Name of the
Indicator A8: 15-year-olds' engagement in school - A sense of belonging and participation ..... 117
Table A8.1. Mean scores on two indices of students' engagement in school
Table A8.2. Prevalance of students with low sense of belonging and low participation
Indicator A9: Gender differences in student performance. ..... 130
Table A9.1. 15-year-olds' occupational expectations by age 30, by gender
Table A9.2. Performance of $4^{\text {th }}$-grade students and gender
Table A9.3. Performance of 15 -year-olds by gender
Table A9.4. Civic knowledge of 14 -year-olds by gender
Table A9.5. Gender differences among 15 -year-olds in self-regulated learning
Indicator A10: Labour force participation by level of educational attainment 146A11Table A10.1a. Employment ratio and educational attainmentTable A10.1b. Unemployment ratio and educational attainment
Table A10.1c. Ratio of the population not in the labour force and educational attainment
Table A10.2a. Trends in employment ratio by educational attainment
Table A10.2b. Trends in unemployment ratio by educational attainment
Table A10.2c. Trends in the ratio of the population not in the labour force by educa- tional attainment
Indicator A11: The returns to education: education and earnings ..... 164Table A11.1a. Relative earnings of the population with income from employmentTable A11.1b. Differences in earnings between females and males
Table A11.2. Trends in relative earnings: adult population
Table A11.2a. Trends in relative earnings: male population
Table A11.2b. Trends in relative earnings: female population
Table A11.3. Trends in differences in earnings between females and males
Table A11.4. Private internal rates of return for individuals obtaining an upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education from a lower secondary level of education
Table A11.5. Private internal rates of return for individuals obtaining atertiary-level degree or an advanced research qualification from anupper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary level of education
Table A11.6. Social internal rates of return for individuals obtaining an uppersecondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education from a lowersecondary level of education
Table A11.7. Social internal rates of return for individuals obtaining atertiary-level degree or an advanced research qualification from anupper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary level of education
Indicator A12: The returns to education: links between human capital and economic growth ..... 183
Chapter B: Financial and human resources invested in education ..... 195
Indicator B1: Educational expenditure per student ..... 198
Table B1.1. Annual expenditure on educational institutions per student
Table B1.2. Annual expenditure on educational institutions per student relative to GDP per capita
Table B1.3. Cumulative expenditure on educational institutions per student over the average duration of tertiary studies
Table B1.4. Distribution of expenditure on educational institutions compared to number of students enrolled at each level of education
Table B1.5. Change in expenditure on educational institutions per student relative to different factors, by level of education
Table B1.6. Change in expenditure on educational institutions per student and national income, by level of education
Indicator B2: Expenditure on educational institutions relative to gross domestic product ..... 222
Table B2.1. Expenditure on educational institutions as a percentage of GDP
Table B2.2. Change in expenditure on educational institutions
Indicator B3: Relative proportions of public and private investment in educational institutions ..... 233
Table B3.1. Relative proportions of public and private expenditure on educational institutions for all levels of education
Table B3.2a. Relative proportions of public and private expenditure on educational institutions, by level of education
Table B3.2b. Relative proportions of public and private expenditure on educational institutions, for tertiary education
Table B3.3. Distribution of total public expenditure on education
Indicator B4: Total public expenditure on education ..... B4
Table B4.1. Total public expenditure on education
Table B4.1. Total public expenditure on education
Indicator B5: Support for students and households through public subsidies ..... 250
Table B5.1. Public subsidies for households and other private entities as a percen- tage of total public expenditure on education and GDP for primary, secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education
Table B5.2. Public subsidies for households and other private entities as a percen-tage of total public expenditure on education and GDP for tertiaryeducation
Indicator B6: Expenditure on institutions by service category and by resource category ..... 259
Table B6.1. Expenditure on institutions by service category as a percentage of GDP Table B6.2. Annual expenditure per student on instruction, ancillary services and R\&D Table B6.3. Expenditure on educational institutions by resource category and level of education
Name of the
indicator in the 2003 edition
Chapter C: Access to education, participation and progression ..... 269
Indicator C1: School expectancy and enrolment rates. ..... 271
Table C1.1. School expectancy
Table C1.2. Enrolment rates
Table C1.3. Transition characteristics at ages $15,16,17,18,19$ and 20
Indicator C2: Entry into and expected years in tertiary education and participation in secondary education ..... 280
Table C2.1. Entry rates into tertiary education and age distribution of new entrants
Table C2.2. Expected years in tertiary education and change in total tertiary enrolment
Table C2.3. Students enrolled in public and private institutions and full-time and part-time programmes in tertiary education
Table C2.4. Students enrolled in public and private institutions and full-time and part-time programmes in primary and secondary education
Table C2.5. Upper secondary enrolment patterns
Indicator C3: Foreign students in tertiary education ..... 293
Table C3.1. Exchange of students in tertiary education
Table C3.2. Foreign students in tertiary education, by country of origin
Table C3.3. Citizens studying abroad in tertiary education, by country of destination
Table C3.4. Distribution of foreign students, by level and type of tertiary education
Table C3.5. Distribution of tertiary foreign students, by field of study
Table C3.6. Trends in the number of foreign students enrolled outside theircountry of origin
Indicator C4: Education and work status of the youth population ..... 314Table C4.1a. Expected years in education and not in education for 15 to 29 -year-oldsTable C4.1b. Change in expected years in education and not in education for 15 to29-year-olds
Table C4.2. Percentage of the youth population in education and not in education
Table C4.2a. Percentage of young males in education and not in education
Table C4.2b. Percentage of young females in education and not in education
Table C4.3. Percentage of the population not in education and unemployed in the total population
Table C4.4. Change in the percentage of the youth population in education and not in education
Table C4.4a. Change in the percentage of the young male population in education and not in education
Table C4.4b. Change in the percentage of the young female population in educationand not in education
Indicator C5: The situation of the youth population with low levels of education ..... 344Table C5.1. Percentage of 20 to 24 -year-olds, by level of educational attainment,work status and gender
Table C5.2. Percentage of 20 to 24 -year-olds by place of birth
Table C5.3. Percentage of 20 to 24-year-old non-students with low level ofeducational attainment, who are not in the labour force and havenever had a job, by gender
Name of the
Chapter D: The learning environment and organisation of schools ..... 353
Indicator D1: Total intended instruction time for students in primary and secondary education ..... 355
D1
Table D1.1. Compulsory and non-compulsory instruction time in public institutionsTable D1.2a. Instruction time per subject as a percentage of total compulsoryinstruction time for 9 to 11-year-olds
Table D1.2b. Instruction time per subject as a percentage of total compulsory instruction time for 12 to 14 -year-olds
Indicator D2: Class size and ratio of students to teaching staff ..... 367Table D2.1. Average class size, by type of institution and level of educationTable D2.2. Ratio of students to teaching staff in educational institutions
Table D2.3. Teaching staff and non-teaching staff employed in educational institutionsIndicator D3: Teachers' salaries379
Table D3.1. Teachers' salaries
Table D3.2a. Adjustments to base salary for teachers in public institutions
Table D3.2b. Adjustments to base salary for teachers in public institutions made by head teacher/school principal
Table D3.2c. Adjustments to base salary for teachers in public institutions made by the local or regional authority
Table D3.2d. Adjustments to base salary for teachers in public institutions made by the national authority
Table D3.3. Change in teachers' salaries
Indicator D4: Teaching time and teachers' working time ..... 399
Table D4.1. The organisation of teachers' working time
Table D4.2. Number of teaching hours per year
Indicator D5: Student admission, placement and grouping policies in upper secondary schools ..... 408
Table D5.1. Student admission and placement policies in upper secondary education, as reported by school principals
Table D5.2. Indices of admission and placement policies related to student's performance
Table D5.3. Frequency of using various criteria in grouping students in uppersecondary schools, as reported by school principals
Table D5.4. Index of selective grouping policies within schools, as reported by school principals
Indicator D6: Decision making in education systems ..... 423
Table D6.1. Percentage of decisions relating to public sector, lower secondaryeducation, taken at each level of government
Table D6.2. Percentage of decisions relating to public sector, lower secondary educa-tion, taken at each level of government, by domain of decision makingTable D6.3. Percentage of decisions taken at the school level in relation to publicsector, lower secondary education, by mode of decision making
Table D6.4. Percentage of decisions taken at the school level in relation to public sector,lower secondary education, by mode and domain of decision making
Table D6.5. Level of government at which different types of decisions about curriculum are taken in public sector, lower secondary education
Table D6.6. Percentage of decisions taken at each level of government relating to public sector, lower secondary education
Annex 1: Characteristics of the educational systems ..... 439
Table X1.1a. Typical graduation ages in upper secondary education
Table X1.1b. Typical graduation ages in post-secondary non-tertiary education
Table X1.1c. Typical graduation ages in tertiary education
Table X1.2. School year and financial year used for the calculation of indicators
Table X1.3. Summary of completion requirements for upper secondary programmes
Annex 2: Reference statistics ..... 447
Table X2.1. Overview of the economic context using basic variables
Table X2.2. Reference statistics used in the calculation of financial indicators (2001)
Table X2.3. Reference statistics used in the calculation of financial indicators (1995)
Table X2.4a. Reference statistics used in the calculation of teachers' salariesby level of education
Table X2.4b. Reference statistics used in the calculation of teachers' salaries
Annex 3: Sources, methods and technical notes ..... 455
Contributors to this publication. ..... 456
Related OECD publications ..... 460
Name of the
indicator in the 2003 edition

From:


Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2004-en

Please cite this chapter as:
OECD (2004), "Access to Education, Participation and Progression", in Education at a Glance 2004: OECD Indicators, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2004-6-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.


[^0]:    Countries are ranked in descending order of the total school expectancy for all levels of education in 2002.
    Sourc: OECD. Table C1.1. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^1]:    Note: x indicates that data are included in another column. The column reference is shown in brackets after "x", e.g. $\mathrm{x}(2)$ means that data are included in column 2 .

    1. The total (males + females) includes the 5 -year-olds for Norway but is not reported in the distribution of 5 -year-olds by sex.
    2. Year of reference 2001.

    Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^2]:    Note: Net entry rates for tertiary-type A and B programmes cannot be added due to double counting.

    1. Tertiary-type A programmes include tertiary-type B programmes.
    2. Entry rate for tertiary-type A and B programmes calculated as gross entry rate.
    3. Entry rate for tertiary-type B programmes calculated as gross entry rate.

    Countries are ranked in descending order of the total entry rates into tertiary-type A education.
    Source: OECD. Table C2.1. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^3]:    Countries are ranked in descending order of the percentage of students enrolled in private institutions in primary education. Source: OECD. Table C2.4. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^4]:    Note：The proportion of students abroad is based only on the total of students enrolled in countries reporting data to the OECD．The resulting proportions are therefore overestimated，especially so for countries sending large number of students to countries that do not report to the OECD．
    1．Year of reference 2001
    Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．

[^5]:    1. Data refer to 15 to 24 -year-olds.

    Countries are ranked in descending order of the expected years in education of the youth population in 2002.
    Source: OECD. Table C4.1b. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^6]:    Countries are ranked in descending order of the ratio of the 20 to 24-year-old population not in education and not employed in 2002.
    Source: OECD. Table C4.4. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^7]:    1. Data refer to 15 to 24 -year-olds.

    Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^8]:    Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.
    Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^9]:    Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

[^10]:    Note：c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates．

[^11]:    Note：c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates．
    Source：OECD．See Annex 3 for notes（www．oecd．org／edu／eag2004）．

[^12]:    Note：c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates．

[^13]:    Note: c indicates that there are few observations to provide reliable estimates.

[^14]:    1. Year of reference 2001.

    Countries are ranked in ascending order of the percentage of 20 to 24-year-olds who are not in education and who have not attained upper secondary education.
    Source: OECD. Table C5.1. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

[^15]:    * Data to be considered with caution due to small sample size.

    Note: Students in work-study programmes are considered to be both in education and employed, irrespective of their labour
    market status according to the ILO definition.
    Source: OECD and EULFS. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2004).

